LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

OPEN SESSION

Friday, April 25, 2003 9:00 a.m.

The Bishop's Lodge Bishop's Lodge Road Santa Fe, New Mexico

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Frank B. Strickland, Chairman
Robert J. Dieter
Thomas A. Fuentes
Herbert S. Garten
Thomas R. Meites
Maria Luisa Mercado
Florentino A. Subia
Ernestine P. Watlington (by videoconference)

STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:

Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

Randi Youells, Vice President for Programs

Mauricio Vivero, Vice President for Government Relations & Public Affairs

John Eidleman, Acting Vice President for Compliance and Administration

David L. Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller

Leonard Koczur, Acting Inspector General

Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel

David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Resource Management

Patricia Hanrahan, Special Counsel to the Vice President for Programs

Alice Dickerson, Director, Office of Human Resources Michael Genz, Director, Office of Program Performance

Don Saunders, Director for Civil Legal Services,

National Legal Aid and Defenders Association (NLADA)

Julie Clark, Vice President for Government Relations, NLADA David Hall, LSC Board Nominee

Lisa Rosenberg, LSC Congressional Liaison

Elizabeth Cushing, LSC Board Liaison

Thomas Smegal, Former Board Member

Edna Fairbanks-Williams, Former Board Member

Hon. M. Christina Armijo, Judge, United States District Court, District of New Mexico

John Arango, Executive Director, New Mexico Legal Aid (NMLA)

Ismael Alvarez, Deputy Director, NMLA

Olga Pedroza, Managing Attorney, NMLA

Karen Marquez, Technology Person, NMLA

Gloria Molinar, Assistant to Director, NMLA

Lisa Krooth, Staff Attorney, NMLA

Judge John W. Pope, Chair, NMLA Board of Directors

Ann Burnham, Client Volunteer, NMLA

Sarah Singleton, New Mexico State Bar

C O N T E N T S

	PAGE
1. Swearing in of new board members	4
2. Approval of Agenda	12
3.Election of Chair and Vice Chair	13
4. Update by Randi Youells, LSC Vice President for Programs, on LSC's Performance Measurement Activities: The State Planning Evaluation Instrument and Activities to Assess the Desirability of Developing a System to Measure Outcomes for Clients	18
5. Presentation by Randi Youells on LSC Reports on the Rural Issues and Delivery Conference, the Technology Initiative Grant Program, and the Trainer Training for the LSC Diversity Initiative	27
6. Delivery of Legal Services in New Mexico: Panel presentation with Sarah Singleton, former co-chair of the State Bar of New Mexico's Legal Services and Programs Committee John Arango, Executive Director, New Mexico Legal Aid; Olga Pedroza, Supervising Attorney, Migrant Program, New Mexico Legal Aid; and Ann Burnham, Client Volunteer, New Mexico Legal Aid Santa Fe Office	
7. Serving the Navajo Nation in New Mexico: Presentation by Anna Marie Johnson, Executive Director, DNA-People's Legal Services	106
8. Adjournment	116

MOTIONS: 12, 14, 17

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE ARMIJO: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 3 I'm Judge Christina Armijo. I sit on the United States 4 District Court for the District of New Mexico. I happen to 5 reside here in Santa Fe. So I say to Ms. Singleton this 6 morning, it's not a problem for me to drive up here. I'm based in Albuquerque. We have a lovely 8 courthouse here, an old stone building that's a block off the 9 plaza. And I hope that when you get down into the city, that 10 you take the time to visit that building. It's very II historic. It was constructed really with the idea that it 12 might be the capital for the state of New Mexico. 13 Circuit Judge Paul Kelly is housed in the 14 courthouse, as is Distrct Judge Martha Vasquez. And I on 15 occasion have hearings and use the facility, but my office is 16 in Albuquerque.

I'm very honored to have been asked on behalf of 18 the board, the Corporation, by Sarah Singleton to conduct the 19 swearing in of these members of the new Legal Services Board 20 of Directors. I am a native New Mexican. My family can 21 trace its presence here about 13 generations. I was born on 22 Las Vegas, New Mexico, which is just an hour north of here on 23 Interstate 25. Albuquerque is an hour south on Interstate 24 25.

And a bit of a legal family. My grandfather for me 26 was a great inspiration as far as my interest in law at a 27 very early age. He became a lawyer in 1915, at a time when 28 it was very difficult for folks to achieve that profession. 29 There was no law school in New Mexico.

He read the law with a gentleman by the name of 31 Elijah V. Long. Judge Long was appointed by the President of 32 the United States in approximately 1884 to come to New 33 Mexico. He was from Indiana. And he was appointed to come 34 to the territory of New Mexico as the chief judge of the 35 territorial supreme court.

And Judge Long served in Santa Fe for many, many 37 years, and when he left the territorial court, he went into 38 private practice in both Santa Fe and Las Vegas. And it was 39 my grandfather who read the law with him, and when he became 40 licensed, then practiced law with Judge Long for a few years.

And my grandfather became a trial judge in 1924, 42 and he was, I think, probably the longest-serving trial judge 43 in state history. He served from 1924 until he died in 1965.

There was a one-year term where he lost an 45 election. It was during the Roosevelt New Deal, the sweep of 46 the country. As we know, politically it was in the '30s. 47 And the very next time that -- the end of that term he ran 48 and of course he regained his seat, and continued to serve 49 all of New Mexico.

It was a period in our state where we didn't have 2 many trial judges. We're a big state, as you know, 3 geographically. But during those years, there were a handful 4 of trial judges, and they were, in my view, real circuit 5 judges. They traveled out of district all the time to try 7 cases, whether it was down to southern New Mexico or 8 southeast New Mexico or west or north. So it was a very 9 interesting time, and he had, I think, a tremendous influence I was about 16 years old when he passed away. When I left law school, I took a job with a very 11 12 tiny, and I have to tell you it was a very, very tiny, legal 13 services program known as Sandoval County Legal Services. 14 And that was in a county that adjoins Bernalillo County, 15 Albuquerque. The county is a very interesting county. On one 17 end of it you have part of the Navajo reservation. 18 around Bernalillo, which is a little town that you pass just 19 about 17 miles out of Albuquerque -- it's really a suburb any 20 more -- you've got seven pueblos, Santa Ana, Sandia, Cochiti, 21 Santo Domingo, Jemez, Zia -- there are a tremendous number of 22 pueblos there, concentrated. 23 And to a great extent, my clientele were members of 24 the various tribes and part of the Navajo reservation. 25 twice a month, my job required me to drive to Cuba, New 26 Mexico, a great distance, and see clients who were off of the 27 Navajo reservation up in that area. And it was an incredible challenge for me because 29 it was a wonderful opportunity to come to know my state in a 30 way that I had never known it. And it was a wonderful three 31 years. I enjoyed it tremendously. 32 I prefaced my remarks by saying that the county 33 program was tiny, and it didn't survive more than about a 34 year and a half after I started work. It was rolled in or 35 was really consumed by what was then a larger program known 36 as Northern New Mexico Legal Services, which was based here 37 in Santa Fe and also had offices in Taos and Las Vegas. So the little corporation which was my employer, 39 Sandoval County Legal services, was dissolved as a 40 corporation and merged into Northern New Mexico, and our 41 office then became one of the satellite offices of the larger 42 corporation. 43 Of course, we've seen that over the years, 44 obviously. The Northern New Mexico Legal Services has --And so there's been that pattern. 45 doesn't exist any more. 46 And we now have, as I understand it from visiting with Sarah 47 and folks who were involved in legal services in New 48 Mexico -- we now have a statewide program. So the

49 Albuquerque program doesn't exist as an entity, nor does

1 Northern New Mexico or Southern New Mexico or some of the 2 others that existed.

I worked with legal services for three years, and 4 at about the end of that three-year period decided that I 5 wanted to go into practice on my own. And so I left the 6 program in December of 1978, and thought that Albuquerque had 7 too many attorneys at that time and Santa Fe -- had I been 8 wiser, I suppose, I might have invested here.

6

But I thought it was a little too expensive and too 10 many attorneys at that time, and decided really what I wanted 11 to do was try going back to my home town and opening up a 12 private practice. And I did that, and worked in northeastern 13 New Mexico out of Las Vegas, a clientele in Tucumcari, Raton, 14 Taos, Santa Fe, kind of the north central/northeast region of 15 the state.

And I did that until I was appointed by the 17 governor in February of 1996 to serve as a judge on the New 18 Mexico Court of Appeals. And I served there from 1976 until 19 November 18, 2001, when President Bush appointed me to the 20 United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. 21 And that's where I've been and where I hope to be for many 22 years.

I'm very honored to be here. Thank you for the 24 invitation, Sarah. I think that legal services is important 25 to the community, and I speak for my community, which is New 26 Mexico, as you know. We're a big state. Statistically 27 sometimes we're not in the best of shape when we look at 28 where we stand in terms of the economy and issues that we 29 have, problems.

And I think that there is -- will always be; you always want to work yourself out of a job when you tackle these hard problems -- but there is an ongoing need for services to be performed, whether it's issues down in southern New Mexico affecting the border. Our case load is one of the highest in the country.

The weighted case load for a district judge in New 37 Mexico is close to 400 case a year. I contrast that with 38 Wyoming, which is less than a hundred. So you can appreciate 39 the significance of New Mexico being a border state and the 40 issues that arise because of that.

There are people here from Texas who I think can 42 appreciate that. Arizona. Southern California has that same 43 concern. And many of these kinds of problems that don't wind 44 up in, for example, federal court are problems that can be 45 addressed or mediated or require attention at a different 46 level. And I think it's important to recognize the need to 47 address whatever issues that arise.

46 level. And I think it's important to recognize the need to
47 address whatever issues that arise.
48 Sarah, I've taken up a little bit too much time. I
49 want to introduce myself again formally to the board and

```
I congratulate you, gentlemen. And let me now administer the
2 oath.
            And what I'd like to do, as I call your names, if
4 you would each raise your right hands. Robert Dieter.
5 Thomas Fuentes. Herbert Garten.
                                    Thomas Meites. Frank
6 Strickland.
               And Florentino Subia.
            (Whereupon, the oath of office was administered.)
8
            JUDGE ARMIJO: Congratulations, gentlemen.
9
            (Applause.)
10
            MR. VIVERO:
                         The board meeting will begin at 10:00.
   Thank you very much.
11
12
            (A brief recess was taken.)
13
            MR. ERLENBORN: Good morning. I'm John Erlenborn.
   I'm the president and ex officio member of the board of
15 directors of the Legal Services Corporation.
            I bid welcome to all of you and to our new board
17 members who are here today that have just been sworn in a few
18 minutes ago. I congratulate them on their nomination and
19 being the new members who are going to carry on the work of
20 the past boards -- and I say that plural -- of the Legal
21 Services Corporation.
            I look forward to working with this new board in
22
23 the future for some indeterminate period of time -- not that
24 I would quit working with them, but I might not have the
25 position any longer.
            The first order of business is to approve the
26
           Before we do that, however, I would like to period
27 agenda.
28 that we amend item No. 2 by deleting the word "temporary" so
29 that it reads, "Election of chair and vice chair." Our
30 agenda was prepared before a majority of the new board was
31 appointed, and I believe that we should make this adjustment
32 at the outset of this meeting.
33
            Is there a motion to approve the amendment?
34
                           MOTION
35
            MS. MERCADO:
                          So moved.
            MR. DIETER: Second.
36
            MR. ERLENBORN: It's been moved and seconded on the
37
38 motion to amend.
                    Those in favor say aye.
            (A chorus of ayes.)
39
40
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                            Those opposed?
41
            (No response.)
42
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                            It's carried. Or as they say on
43 the House floor, without objection, so ordered. It goes a
44 lot faster that way.
            The agenda as amended has been approved, so we will
46 now move on to item No. 2, the election of board chair and
47 vice chair. I would like now to open up the floor for
48 nominations for the election of board chair.
            MR. FUENTES: Mr. President, it is with genuine
```

```
I pleasure that I would like to offer for the consideration of
2 this body as we newly gather the name of a gentleman to serve
3 as our chairman whose professional ability, whose commitment
4 to the cause of equal access to justice, is well known, with
5 whom we have enjoyed working in these preliminary meetings
6 coming to this day. He is esteemed by this administration.
                           MOTION
            MR. FUENTES:
                          His experience and knowledge is
9 fitting.
            And so it's with pleasure that I move the
10 nomination for the office of chairman of director Frank B.
11 Strickland of Georgia.
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                            Mr. Fuentes makes the motion to
12
13 elect the chairman.
14
            Is there a second?
            MR. GARTEN: I'll take the pleasure of seconding
15
16 the motion.
                            A second has been received.
17
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                                                          All
18 those in favor, vote aye.
19
            (A chorus of ayes.)
20
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                            Those opposed, vote no.
21
            (No response.)
            MR. ERLENBORN:
22
                            The motion is carried.
23 Congratulations, Frank. Now I will turn it over.
            (Applause.)
            MR. ERLENBORN:
                            Now I will turn the mike and the
25
26 authority to go forward over to our new chairman.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I've got my own mike.
27
28 good morning, everybody, and welcome to our meeting here in
29 Santa Fe. I can't think of a more fitting place to have a
30 transition than the atmosphere we're enjoying here.
                                                        So I'm
31 glad all of you are with us, and we're looking forward to a
32 productive meeting, and particularly our visit to a
33 reservation this afternoon.
            I would be remiss, I think, if I didn't say that
34
35 some of the nominees who were recently confirmed have been
36 meeting with what now call the old board for about a year
37 through the courtesy of Doug Eakeley, the chair, who
38 immediately invited us as soon as we were nominated to begin
39 attending meetings.
            And in my case, I made substantially all the
40
             I think some of the nominees probably made all of
41 meetings.
42 the meetings between last April and now. And the courtesy
43 extended to us by the old board, I thought, was
44 extraordinary. And I want to state publicly my appreciation,
45 and I'm sure I speak for the other new members of the board
46 as well.
            A couple of people who are retiring from the board
48 are in the audience today, Tom Smegal, from San Francisco,
49 and Edna Fairbanks-Williams, from Vermont. We're glad that
```

```
I you're here today. And have I overlooked any retiring board
2 members?
            (No response.)
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   And also in the audience I'd
5 like to recognize David Hall from Boston, who is a nominee
6 from the board and is involved in the confirmation process.
7 And I hope that goes smoothly for you, David, and we're glad
8 to have you here today.
            And I'm looking forward to working with my new
10 colleagues here on this board, and I'm delighted that Maria
11 Luisa Mercado will be with us for a period of time, as is the
12 case with Ernestine Watlington, who is participating by video
13 today.
         I guess this is a first for our board in having a
14 videoconference, so Ernestine, I hope you can hear us.
            MS. MERCADO:
15
                         Good morning.
16
            MS. WATLINGTON:
                             Very good.
17
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Good.
                                          Well, you're the TV
18 star today because all of us can see you.
19
            MS. WATLINGTON:
                              You look very well, too.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Well, thank you so much.
20
21 We've been looking at your smiling face here for about 30
22 minutes, so -- we will move on.
23
            I think we may -- with the board's approval, we may
24 hold the vice chair election. We have one more board member
25 who will be here tomorrow.
                              And perhaps it would be better if
26 we held that until tomorrow morning.
27
            And does any board member have any comments he or
28 she wishes to make at this point before we move into the
29 business part of our meeting?
                            MOTION
30
31
            MR. FUENTES:
                           Mr. Chairman, I would like to move
32 the direction of the new board to express appreciation for
33 the service of the retiring board members, and the directing
34 of our staff to prepare appropriate commendation and
35 appreciation from this board in formal fashion.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                  You're making that in the
37 form of a motion?
38
            MR. FUENTES:
                          Right.
                                   I so move.
39
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Is there a second to that
40 motion?
41
            MR. MEITES:
                          Second.
42
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Any discussion on the motion?
43
            (No response.)
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Hearing none, all those in
45 favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
            (A chorus of ayes.)
46
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
47
                                   Those opposed, nay?
48
            (No response.)
```

CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: And the ayes have it.

49

If we need to flesh that out a little bit, 1 motion carries. 2 we'll do that at the appropriate time. Our first item of business this morning is an 4 update by Randi Youells. Randi, are you ready? Ready. MS. YOUELLS: CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Well, welcome to the table 7 and we'll let you go ahead. MS. YOUELLS: Thank you, and welcome to the board. 9 Good morning, Ernestine. This is the part of the agenda usually when we 11 discuss the provision of legal services to low income clients 12 and issues as they relate to the provision of legal services. 13 And we do that in one of your three standing committees, the 14 provisions committee. But because today we are meeting as a committee of 15 16 the whole, the first items on the agenda today are items that 17 would traditionally be taken up by the provisions committee. 18 I'm going to talk about five initiatives and give you an 19 update as to where we are on those initiatives because we are 20 at a pretty delicate point in all of them. And then I'm going to turn the bulk of the agenda 22 over today to a presentation of the unique opportunities and 23 challenges faced by our grantees in New Mexico as they 24 deliver services, basic field services, to low income 25 clients, and as they deliver services to Native American 26 Indians. 27 The first thing I'm going to talk about is where we 28 are with the state planning evaluation instrument. 29 believe, as most of you know since you have been attending 30 board meetings for quite some time, state planning is one of 31 the major initiatives that has been pursued in the last 32 several years by the past board of directors and by the 33 staff. 34 State planning is an initiative to create 35 comprehensive, integrated, coordinated delivery systems in 36 each of the states and territories where LSC provides legal 37 services to low income people. Approximately one year ago, we made the decision 39 that we had spent so much time and energy on state planning 40 that it was time that we develop an instrument to evaluate 41 the results of our efforts. And we proceeded into the 42 development of what we call the state planning evaluation 43 instrument by hiring a consultant, who happens to be from New 44 Mexico, John Griesen, who is the former Supreme Court 45 administrator of New Mexico, who has extensive experience in 46 the development of evaluation systems and protocols. Mr. Griesen has been working with a design team

48 composed of LSC representatives and representatives from the 49 field, from the ABA, and from the National Legal Aid and

1 Defenders Association to develop the instrument. And the ism 2 is in your board materials today.

The instrument is rapidly drawing to the point 4 where it will be ready to implement as a tool of LSC in the 5 field. It has been tested twice in the last two months, in 6 the state of Kentucky and in the state of Washington. In 7 both of those tests, we learned some things that worked well 8 about the instrument, and we made some changes in the 9 instrument.

We are doing one more field test in Ohio the week 11 of May 12. We are actually going to test something a little 12 different in Ohio. We are going to test the ism, but we are 13 going to test it in three days using three staff.

We are going to try to figure out if we can take 15 what looks to be like a very long document, and is actually 16 not as long as you use it and it appears on paper -- but can 17 we send three people for three days and can they get an 18 understanding of what is happening in the legal services 19 delivery system by using this instrument?

We're assuming they can, and assuming that they 21 can, the design team will hold its last and final meeting in 22 May, and then the instrument will begin to be used by LSC to 23 begin to evaluate how our grantees are doing in developing 24 coordinated, integrated legal services delivery systems in 25 each state and territory.

It has been an exciting process. It's been a time27 consuming process. It's been an arduous process. And
28 sometimes it's been a little controversial. But I think
29 we're beyond that, and I think that the instrument will have
30 a benefit that I never anticipated.

I always saw it as an evaluation instrument. But 32 we have found that in fact setting out broad parameters of 33 our expectations and the expectations of those of us who have 34 been together in this process as to what a good delivery 35 system looks like -- and so it sets that out very clearly and 36 then is used to evaluate.

I like to call this a formative evaluation as 38 opposed to a summative evaluation. And by that I mean that 39 we are not going to use this evaluation instrument to hammer 40 our grantees and say, you did good or you did bad. We are 41 going to use this instrument in a formative fashion, to tell 42 them where we think they are doing well and where we think 43 they need to do improvement and then work with them to that 44 improvement. So hopefully by the end of the year we'll be 45 able to take that evaluation instrument on the road.

Part of the instrument did involve an anticipated 47 analysis of outcomes for clients, how we figure out what 48 results our grantees are doing for clients as a result of 49 their important work.

In part this comes out of the Bush administration 2 mandate that results are important, that we must look at how 3 government money is used in terms of results. And we agree 4 with that, and we began some time ago to begin to talk about 5 how we measure outcomes for clients. How do we assess the 6 value of our work?

Developing a state planning evaluation instrument, 8 however, was so time-consuming that we took the outcomes 9 component out of that process and put it on its own track. 10 Late last year we published what is called an RFI, a request 11 for information, in the Federal Register, and we asked anyone 12 who cared about legal services who was measuring results to 13 let us know how they were measuring results and what they 14 were doing.

We received a wide variety of information in 16 response to that RFI. We then hired a consultant to work 17 with myself and with Mike Genz, who runs our Office of 18 Program Performance. And she has been conducting interviews 19 across the country trying to figure out how legal services 20 programs currently assess results, but more importantly, how 21 do other government agencies assess results and what can we 22 take from their assessment of results and borrow as we begin 23 to figure out how we assess results for clients?

In June the International Legal Aid Group, which is 25 an international group of legal aid providers from across the 26 world, throughout the world, are coming to Cambridge for 27 their meeting, which occurs every two years. It's a unique 28 opportunity for everyone in the world who's involved in legal 29 services to come together.

And we're taking advantage of that opportunity by laving at the tail end of that conference a day-long summit devoted to performance measurement and outcomes. And we are inviting people from across the country to join us in the summit, and we will be extending an invitation now to you, so Mr. Strickland, in your capacity as the new chair.

And we will be spending a day talking about how do 37 we analyze and assess results? Should LSC be developing a 38 national reporting system for assessment of results? Or 39 should LSC be working with our grantees to develop local or 40 state-based mechanisms to assess results? And what are the 41 pitfalls in assessing results, and can we come up with some 42 common definitions?

After that summit that will occur in June, then 44 we'll go back to the drawing table and begin to actually try 45 to put some things in writing that we can bring before you as 46 we struggle with what has turned out to be a much bigger task 47 than I ever would have dreamed. I was one of those people 48 who thought, outcomes, how hard can that be? But it turns 49 out to be much more difficult than we would have thought.

Also in your materials, although I have talked to 2 you about this before -- I'm sorry? MS. MERCADO: May I just ask you a quick question? 4 In looking at the outcome results, if we're requiring this 5 of all our grantees or programs to do, have we looked at the 6 component -- and I assume that this would probably be through 7 Dave Richards' office or in combination with the other team 8 that you're working with -- what the cost would be in 9 addition to the programs to actually do this component of 10 outcome and results as far as staff and personnel and 11 resources and data gathering that you would have. And have we incorporated that in our budget for 13 requests for the upcoming year in order to implement this? 14 Do you know what the costs will be? 15 MS. YOUELLS: The outcomes project is at the very 16 early stages. And one of the things the consultant is in 17 fact researching are the cost aspects. 18 But we are not talking, to be blunt, about coming 19 up with an instrument to assess outcomes if in fact that's 20 what we decide we want to do. And that's up in the air, too. 21 But we're still talking a couple years down the road. So yes, assessing costs, both to LSC and to the 22 23 grantees, will be part of that. Some of that work has stated 24 now, but this is a slow-moving process that's involving much 25 consultation and research and study. Thank you. 26 MS. MERCADO: Okay. 27 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: May I interrupt you for just 28 minute? MS. YOUELLS: Sure. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Mike McKay is supposed to 31 join us by telephone, and I forgot to inquire as to whether 32 he's on the line. Mike, are you with us? 33 (No response.) 34 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I guess not. Sorry for the 35 interruption. Go right ahead. That's okay. In your materials is a MS. YOUELLS: 37 report of a conference that we held last November that 38 explored the unique problems faced by our rural clients and 39 by our programs who serve vast rural areas. I talked about this to you before at the time that 41 the conference occurred, but the conference report has now 42 been issued and I wanted to call it to your attention. 43 was a real interesting conference, as I think as I told you 44 some months ago. Maria Luisa attended on behalf of the board, and we 46 spent three days discussing the interesting problems that our 47 programs face, rural and isolated populations face, the extra 48 costs that they face, the fear that is often associated and 49 the pride factor in rural areas in accessing a legal services

1 office, and then we discussed some ways that our programs can 2 get over those barriers.

Part of that conference was also showcasing best practices. Some of our programs who serve rural area, that Colorado that serves a vast rural area, have developed some very unique models to reach out to isolated pockets of populations in Colorado. And some of what we did at the conference, which we refer to by the acronym as RIDS, Rural Issues and Delivery, was to model what's going on in some parts of the country so that other people can borrow from those learnings. And I just wanted to call to your attention that that report is in your materials.

Also in your materials is a report that has been 14 issued by the technology staff who work in the Office of 15 Program Performance. For the past several years, LSC has 16 been the recipient of a special appropriation from Congress 17 that allows us to fund the technology initiative project.

And that is a project that puts money in the hands 19 of our grantees so that they can experiment with ways in 20 which they can use technology to enhance access to legal 21 services and to enhance quality legal services delivery 22 systems.

Those of you who attended past board meetings have 24 heard about technology initiative grants, and I think saw a 25 demonstration of one of our most important projects, the I-26 CAN! project in L.A. But the technology staff have issued a 27 report, and I'll let you read that at your leisure also.

It delineates what we have learned in the past 29 several years about technology. It delineates what we have 30 learned about the importance of technology funding. And it 31 gives you a thumbnail sketch as to how those dollars have 32 been used experimentally by our grantees.

33 The other thing I would like to say before I wind 34 this up and turn it over to our wonderful panel is, believe 35 it or not, this month starts the competition for the 2004 36 grant funds.

We always start the competition in April by 38 publishing service areas of those grants that are going to be 39 in competition for the following year in the Federal 40 Register. And we have two tracks of competition this year.

We have a group of early programs, and they tend to 42 be programs who have not gone through significant structural 43 change or merger. They have an earlier application deadline. 44 And then we have a later application deadline that occurs in 45 the midsummer.

Those applications are reviewed by a panel of 47 outside consultants and review people and by the staff of the 48 Office of Program Performance. They make their 49 recommendations as to which of those competitive applications

1 they will fund. If there is concern when they review the paper 3 application, they will conduct what's called an onsite 4 capability assessment visit to determine if it was just a 5 lousy application. Sometimes people run a very good program 6 but they don't do a very good job with the paper, and we have 7 to determine if that's the case or if in fact the application 8 has some indications that the program or applicant itself is 9 deficient. That capability assessment is done by the staff 10 and the panel, usually using one or two consultants. If there is dispute, if there are several grants in 11 12 competition for each other for the same service area, if for 13 example the Legal Services Corporation of Iowa and the Iowa 14 Bar were competing for a grant, then a capability assessment 15 is always done or almost always done, and it then goes to an 16 independent review panel. After the preliminary recommendations are made for 18 the 2004 grant cycle, those come to me. I review them. 19 present them to the president, and the president of LSC then 20 makes the final decision as to who wins the competition for 21 the following year. That process lasts from about April to November. 22 23 It is a very time-consuming and intensive process for the 24 staff. The announcements are made usually in November as to 25 who won the competition for the following year. LSC gives grant terms for, currently, one, two, and 26 So we might -- if a program is extraordinary or 27 three years. 28 a grant application is extraordinary, we might give that 29 grantee a three-year grant award and not put them in 30 competition for several years. If, however, we believe that we have chosen the 32 best competitor but there are some problems, we might give 33 them one or two years and ask them to work on some things and 34 come back to us. But that process actually started this 35 month. And that ends my report. 36 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Are you going to bring on 37 Is that the next item? 38 your panel at this point? MS. YOUELLS: I am going to bring on my panel if 40 that's okay with you. Good. Go right ahead. 41 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: 42 MS. YOUELLS: Great. 43 MS. HANRAHAN: Good morning. Congratulations, Mr. 44 Chair. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Good morning. Thank you very 45 We're glad to have you. 46 much. Welcome, new members and seasoned MS. HANRAHAN: 48 members, and members in Pennsylvania. I'm very happy to be 49 here today and present to you a wonderful panel on the

I delivery of legal services and the work to create an 2 integrated, comprehensive delivery system here in New Mexico. There are materials in your book, which you may 4 have had the opportunity to look through, on page 235, which 5 John Arango, the executive director of the program and one of 6 the panelists today, gave us to give you some background 7 material on the program and the work that's going on here. I just want to announce before we go any further 9 that there have been a couple of changes from the roster of 10 participants listed in the agenda. Felicia Sanchez will not 11 be here. Some of us were able to visit the program in 12 Albuquerque yesterday and met her. She participated in a 13 small presentation there. So she will not be joining us 14 today. Kathleen Brockel will also not participate today. 15 But we do have a fine array of people, of advocates and 16 leaders in the New Mexico legal services community who I 17 think will give you a real flavor of what's going on here. 18 Our panelists include Judge John Pope, who is the 19 chair of the program and also a district court judge; and 20 John Arango, on my right, who's the executive director of the 21 program and who will facilitate the panel; Sarah Singleton, 22 who's behind me, who I will give my chair to in a minute, and 23 Sarah has been a real leader and champion of legal services 24 in the state for many years. We also have Olga Pedroza, who's an advocate for 25 26 the migrant program; and Ann Burnham, who's a client and a 27 volunteer at the program now. So welcome, panel. I will 28 step aside and let you enjoy the presentation. 29 multimedia. Oh, one thing I would ask, please. We've designed 30 31 the panel so that the panelists can give you as much 32 information as possible, and then at the end there will be 33 opportunity for you to comment and ask questions of them. 34 And I would ask that you hold your questions until that point 35 in the interest of time and the duration of the panel. 36 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: 37 Thank you. 38 MR. ARANGO: Judge Pope, who is our board chair, is 39 going to lead off. JUDGE POPE: Mr. Chairman, Mr. President, and board 41 members, first of all I'd like to extend greetings from New 42 Mexico and hope you have a good time here and leave your 43 money. As was indicated, I'm the president of New Mexico 45 Legal Aid. I've been on a board for about ten years. 46 started with Northern Legal Aid, and that was merged with the 47 Pueblo Indian Legal Services to form Community Indian Legal 48 Services, and I became chair of that board, and then became 49 the first chair of New Mexico Legal Aid. It sort of sounds

1 like I can't keep a job, but I guess that's the way of the 2 world in the changing atmosphere of legal services.

I've been a district judge for ten years, and I
find it really interesting, the combination of being on a
board, legal services board, and being a district judge
because I kind of see things in a more global fashion, I
guess, because I can see really up front and personal the
problems of the under-represented in this country when you're
a district judge and then also when you're serving on a
board.

I found out early in my district judgeship things 12 like I knew from practice and other things, but it didn't 13 really hit me, is the severe problem that people who can't 14 afford legal services have in accessing the system, and then 15 when they -- even when they access the system, being able to 16 represent themselves.

And I have one statistic: About 40 percent of the 18 DR or family law cases in my court are unrepresented by 19 counsel, and a great many of those don't have counsel on 20 either side, and some of them have one attorney and then the 21 other ones represent themselves pro se.

22 And I would say that probably somewhere between 15 23 and 20 percent of the civil litigation has at least one side 24 who has to represent themselves. And, of course, that's a 25 burden on the people who have to do it. It's also a burden 26 on the legal system.

In New Mexico, I think our best -- where we do the 28 best is that we have a very good public defender system. So 29 in the criminal aspects, if you commit a crime or are accused 30 of a crime, you can be well represented. If you don't commit 31 a crime and just want to preserve your rights in the civil 32 area, you have a great deal of problems.

I started very early working on the idea of the pro 34 ses to make it as easy as possible to access the system, and 35 as a judge I can do that because we've set up a system of 36 forms so that pro se litigants can more easily access the 37 system.

But that's just the door. I'm helping them get 39 through the door. But once they get through the door, as a 40 judge I have very little -- I can do very little for them in 41 the courtroom, obviously, as all of you are aware, because as 42 a judge I cannot be an advocate and I have to treat them as 43 if they were attorneys.

And obviously, the pro se litigants can't perform 45 in that way. You know, I can make it a little easier for 46 them sometimes, but I can't -- you know, I can't just -- I 47 can't, you know, be one side or the other.

So, you know, with that, and having been on the 49 boards for this length of time, I understand what the problem

I on the other side is, is that we have very limited resources. And you have to pick and choose what cases that 3 legal services can provide and won't provide and, you know, 4 take -- I was trying to explain this to somebody the other 5 day, and I was saying, you know, the problem is you have to 6 choose sometimes between can you help 2,000 people in Social 7 Security cases, or can you take ten cases in litigation? You know, the quantity would be towards the Social 9 Security cases, but the, you know, social good may be in 10 those ten litigation cases. But, you know, who do you pick? 11 And I think that's the crux of our problem. And obviously you on the national level are aware 12 13 of the finances and the limitations. But getting down on 14 the -- you know, getting down on the playing field, it's a 15 very serious situation. And that's basically what I wanted 16 to say. But I would feel remiss, being considered the 17 18 unofficial historian of the New Mexico Bar, without telling 19 you at least a little story. And this is actually a true 20 story. Back in the 1880s, New Mexico was a territory and 22 we had five Supreme Court justices. But they also rode the 23 circuit and were district judges at the same time. And we had some real colorful characters, and one 25 was Justice Axel, a very outspoken man. And he was trying a 26 case in Raton, which is the northeast part of the state, and 27 it was a farmer who was being foreclosed. And the farmer was 28 pro se. And the bank obviously was represented by an 29 attorney. And the case was going on, and it was going very 30 31 badly for the farmer. And finally it came to closing 32 argument, and the banker made his case for the foreclosure 33 and the farmer was about to make his case. And the judge 34 said -- told the farmer to sit down. And the justice, judge, stepped off the bench and 35 36 made the closing argument for the farmer. And part of his 37 closing argument was that it takes more than thirteen men to 38 take a farm from a New Mexican. 39 And I always like that story because, you know, I 40 can't be the -- as a judge, I can't be that thirteenth man. 41 But I think as legal services, we can be that thirteen man. 42 And I would urge you to keep up the good work and continue to 43 try to provide that thirteenth man for New Mexicans. 44 Thank you. 45 MR. ARANGO: Thank you, Judge.

I just wanted to show you a couple of slides that 47 will sort of fill in the statistical picture for what the 48 judge has just spoken about. There's about 1.8 million 49 people in New Mexico. 336,000 of them, or about 18.5

1 percent, have incomes below the 100 percent poverty 2 threshold. So this is -- as we mentioned in the materials we 4 sent to you earlier, New Mexico how ranks 47th in terms of 5 per capita income. This is a low income state. There are approximately 437,000 persons living in 7 about 144,000 households that are eligible to receive 8 services from New Mexico Legal Aid. That's using the fairly 9 standard eligibility level of 125 percent of poverty. In those households, using some data from the 11 American Bar Association's legal needs study, which was 12 conducted over four years from '92 to '96, there will be 13 about 159,000 legal needs in those 144,000 households. And looking at just New Mexico Legal Aid -- we have 15 other partners in the system that we'll talk about in a 16 minute -- but in 2002, the three programs that merged to form 17 New Mexico Legal Aid handled about 6,000 cases, or about 3.8 18 percent of the legal needs. And within that 3.8 percent, about 85 percent of 19 20 the clients that we dealt with received what are called 21 limited services, that is, advice or a brief service such as 22 writing a letter or making a phone call on behalf of a 23 client. 24 So that will give you some sense of how large the 25 gap is between the number of people that are eligible for 26 services and the number of legal problems that they have, and 27 our ability to extend legal services to them and provide them 28 access to the courts or to justice. Now, we haven't sat still in the face of this We've been engaged for several years in a process 30 problem. 31 of figuring out how we can attack this problem more directly. 32 And throughout that process, Sarah Singleton has 33 been in various capacities -- incoming president of the New 34 Mexico Bar, president of the New Mexico Bar, and then chair 35 of various committees including the transition committee that 36 led to the formation of New Mexico Legal Aid -- a key figure 37 in figuring out how, as a state, New Mexico addresses the 38 problem that the judge has described. 39 And so I'd like Sarah to talk briefly about how 40 we've approached this problem. MS. SINGLETON: Thank you, John. 41 I would like also 42 to echo Judge Pope's welcome to all of you. I'm a lawyer 43 here in Santa Fe in private practice, and we're very glad 44 that you could meet here in our city. We hope you will enjoy My office is about five minutes from here. If you need 46 anything, please don't hesitate to call me. And I do hope to 47 see all of you tonight at the reception there. 48 The judge has given you some graphic examples of 49 things he saw that showed there were unmet legal needs. John

1 has put some numbers to it in the 159,000 unmet legal needs.
2 Well, in 1995, a number of us became very worried
3 about what we were going to do to meet even a fraction of
4 these needs. Who was it that were concerned at that time?
5 There were bar leaders. There were people from the
6 legislature, people from the executive branch, legal aid
7 directors, other lawyers, judges.
8 We all were concerned, and we were very concerned

We all were concerned, and we were very concerned 9 that we have a largely rural population. You've heard that 10 we're a big state. We're the fifth largest state 11 geographically. Most of our -- not most of our people, but 12 most of our area is truly rural.

13 We have people who were ineligible for services
14 from the LSC-funded programs. We were concerned about people
15 who are in special populations because of various barriers to
16 the court system, not only inability to obtain a lawyer but
17 language barriers, people who have different kinds of
18 disabilities that could keep them from getting into court.
19 And we were worried about the people who in fact needed
20 extended service, but we just didn't have the resources to
21 provide it for them.

22 This is when we began our state planning efforts. 23 It was under the auspices of the state bar, but it involved 24 many more people other than just people who were active in 25 the bar.

The basic concept that we came up with was that we 27 wanted a plan that would provide us with a system where we 28 could provide appropriate legal service for every low income 29 household that had a legal need. This was our basic goal. 30 We did not want to retreat from that goal.

Now, we decided we would accomplish that through a 32 broad range of services and methods. We knew it could never 33 be one lawyer for every legal need, so we had to be more 34 creative and broad-based than that.

Part of our efforts would go towards public information, which would have two emphases. One would be to prevent people from getting into problems where they needed legal help, but the other would be to assist the self-presented people that Judge Pope was talking about.

We wanted to give some people who need advice
41 advice. We wanted to give brief services to the people whose
42 problems would be helped that way. We also wanted, though,
43 to be able to give individual representation, but we knew we
44 could never have enough staff attorney programs to provide
45 the poor people in New Mexico with a lawyer using only those
46 staffed programs. So we knew we had to increase our pro bono
47 representation.

And we also wanted to have group or systemic 49 representation that could make some of these more broad kinds

1 of changes that Judge Pope was talking about. 2 included within that the concept of community development. And we decided that we wanted to accomplish all of 4 those things by involving multiple providers, not only the 5 LSC-funded providers -- although at that time probably 95 6 percent of the money that was spent in New Mexico came from 7 your corporation. But we knew that we had to broaden that. 9 get the other people who provide legal aid who don't receive 10 money from you. We had to get the private bar, who provides 11 most of the representation of poor people in New Mexico. 12 we had to involve various community-based programs. 13 So we decided that we would implement our plan, our And I must say this wasn't born full-blown out 14 state plan. This has been an evolutionary process. 15 of our heads. But among the things that we did when we tried to 17 design the system was we wanted to make better use of 18 technology. We thought that we could develop a plan where 19 across our state, we could use technology, mostly the 20 telephone, to provide more services to the people in the 21 rural parts of the state than they were currently getting. We also thought that it would be good -- eventually 23 we thought that it would be good -- if we could consolidate 24 most of the state into one LSC-funded program so that they 25 could provide services efficiently throughout almost all of 26 our state. 27 And the thing that we realized really early on was 28 what we needed to accomplish any of this was to get more 29 money into the system. We decided then that we would try to 30 get state funding, and we worked very hard -- we had a 31 bipartisan effort that worked very hard to get state funding. 32 We had bipartisan support in our legislature. 33 the bill that was passed was a surcharge on our filing fees. 34 That was vetoed twice, and on the third time the governor 35 finally signed it. So it came into law. We were able to raise about \$1.2 million a year. 37 Now, those of you from big states, that will not sound like a 38 lot of money. But for a state the size of New Mexico, with 39 our poverty population, that is a sizeable influx of money 40 into the system. 41 And that bill that created the filling fee 42 surcharge also created the Civil Legal Services Commission, 43 which in June of 2002 awarded approximately \$1.2 million in 44 contracts to various types of providers. And they are a 45 broad range of providers. We provided money to New Mexico Legal Aid and DNA, 46 They receive collectively the 47 our two LSC-funded recipients. 48 second-largest grant that we gave. We also funded an

49 organization that was a startup organization called Law

1 Access, which is a non-LSC-funded organization which provides 2 a telephonic advice, and it will provide brief service to 3 people across the state with an 800 number that is going to 4 be one number for the whole state.

I think that it's fair to say that this was modeled 6 after the program they have in Washington state, for those of 7 you who are familiar with that. And we did hope through that 8 to be able to provide more service to people in our rural 9 areas.

We also fund the Center on Law and Poverty, which We works on systemic reform. We fund Catholic Charities, which is a group that works with immigrants. And there are seven other smaller organizations that get some funding. They help mostly special groups, but they also do a lot with the public information types of programs that I was speaking about.

In 1995, we had four goals, I think: To increase 17 our resources; to increase our pro bono efforts; to use 18 technology to increase service; and to improve delivery 19 statewide. We have truly made progress on all of those 20 areas.

I have to say something personal here just because 22 you'll know that I need to get a life. The other night I had 23 a bad dream, and the dream was some legal aid lawyer from 24 Mississippi moved here and started talking about how bad New 25 Mexico was.

And this was a real nightmare to me because I went to this rural delivery conference, and there we talked about the L states. That's the Rocky Mountain west and the deep South. And when you look at those L states, New Mexico is really quite progressive. But in my nightmare, somebody from Mississippi was saying how bad we were. So I think when you start dreaming legal aid, it's time to get a new avocation.

In any event, we have made progress, but we have a 34 long way to go. Our rural population still needs to get 35 better service, and we have to always work on that and not 36 let them be forgotten.

Today you'll visit one of our pueblos and you'll 38 see some of the difficulties with providing service for our 39 Native American population. As Judge Armijo mentioned, we 40 have numerous pueblos in the Rio Grande River Valley area, 41 and we have Navajos and Hopi people who live in New Mexico, 42 all of whom have different cultures, they have different 43 languages, and they have different governmental entities to 44 whom they have to be responsible.

We have many poor people in New Mexico, as John 46 said, who need legal services. We cannot -- while we can be 47 justifiably proud of our accomplishments, we cannot rest on 48 our laurels. And we all have to keep working, and we're in 49 it for the long haul.

But as I say, I'm very glad that you're meeting I'm glad that you get to see something of what we've 3 done in New Mexico. And please, if there is anything that we 4 can do while you're here, let us know. Thank you. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Thank you, Sarah. I think, as you realize, that Sarah is MR. ARANGO: 7 an exceptional bar leader that's given just amazing 8 dedication and time to the development of the legal services 9 system, not only here in New Mexico but also in various 10 capacities with the American Bar Association. 11 currently a member of the standing committee on legal aid and 12 indigent defendants. We're hoping that she'll continue to 13 work with us because she's been an invaluable leader in our 14 state. 15 I wanted to tell you a little bit about -- give you 16 a little bit more detail about New Mexico Legal Aid, which as 17 several people had mentioned was formed on January 1st of 18 this year. So it is a three-month-old program. But it has a long history, and I don't think you 19 20 can quite read this, but what I did was to create a little 21 chart that shows -- off to the left, beyond the image, is in 22 the 1950s, the first Legal Aid program in New Mexico was the 23 Legal Aid Society of Albuquerque. It essentially continued 24 uninterrupted through roughly 50 years until it merged to 25 form New Mexico Legal Aid. In the 1960s -- Judge Armijo just mentioned another 26 27 one that I wasn't aware of. But as you may know, the Office 28 of Economic Opportunity created the legal services program as 29 part of the community action program. And so where there 30 were local community action programs, at their option they 31 could receive extra funding to create legal services 32 programs. 33 And many community action agencies around the 34 country created legal services programs -- actually called 35 them projects, and that's why you occasionally will still 36 hear directors of legal services programs called project 37 directors because that's the term way back into the '60s. In the 1960s in New Mexico, in the Taos area we had 39 a program called Rural Legal Services. In Santa Fe we had 40 Santa Fe Legal Services. In Sandoval County, as we heard 41 this morning, we had Sandoval Legal Services. And the Legal 42 Aid Society of Albuquerque received federal funding. In the 1970s, the very small rural programs were 44 all merged by the Legal Services Corporation to form, in the 45 northern part of the state, Northern New Mexico Legal 46 Services. Also, a new grant was created, we believe the 47 first grant to an Indian tribe, but this may not -- I think 48 DNA may have been first, but to a small group was Zuni-Pueblo

49 Legal Services in the very western part of -- central western

1 part of the state.

And then that program grew and eventually evolved to expand to cover the Indian pueblos up and down the Rio Grande, and changed its name to Indian Pueblo Legal Services late in the '70s. Also, one of the very last legal services programs created in the country was Southern New Mexico Legal Services, which essentially handles the area south of Albuquerque.

9 Those programs -- Indian Pueblo Legal Services, 10 Northern New Mexico Legal Services, Legal Aid Society of 11 Albuquerque, and Southern New Mexico Legal Services --12 continued in operation through the '80s and '90s.

In the very late '90s/early 2000, Indian Pueblo 14 Legal Services and Northern New Mexico Legal Services merged 15 to form Community and Indian Legal Services, and then two 16 years later that program merged with Legal Aid Society of 17 Albuquerque and Southern New Mexico Legal Services to form 18 New Mexico Legal Aid.

So I think the point in this is, I'm sure you'll be 20 hearing a lot about mergers and so forth. But this history 21 is not at all atypical of many states in a sense that there 22 have been a series of mergers over a period of time, but 23 because many of them occurred in the '60s and '70s, some 24 people have forgotten that in fact we have not programs 25 formed a long time ago and continued uninterrupted, but 26 rather a series of mergers and reconfigurations, all in an 27 attempt to find the best structure for serving poor people in 28 the state.

This is a map of New Mexico -- there is a copy in 30 your larger board book -- that shows where our offices are 31 located. Our central office is in Albuquerque. That's where 32 our administrative office is located.

But we have twelve other offices -- eleven other 34 offices around the rest of the state, in Taos to the north; 35 Las Vegas just slightly below and to the right, which is the 36 community where Judge Armijo practiced; Santa Fe.

Then way over on the west, Gallup. Down a little 38 bit, Santa Ana, which is right outside -- that's where you'll 39 be going today, and that is the community where Judge Armijo 40 practiced. Then Albuquerque right in the center, which as I 41 said is our largest office.

And then going down south, just going from north to 43 south, way over on the Texas border we have an office in 44 Clovis. Going down a ways, we have an office in Roswell. A 45 brand-new office that's been open about a month -- it had 46 been closed earlier because of a lack of funds -- but because 47 of the money that was available from the filing fee, we were 48 able to reopen the office in Carlsbad.

49 Las Cruces, which is right near El Paso, is one of

1 our longstanding offices and was the headquarters of Southern
2 New Mexico Legal Services. And then over again on the
3 western side of the state, Silver City, another office that
4 had been closed in the '80s because of lack of funds but has
5 been reopened because of money available from the state.
6 We have a big hole in the middle, as you can see,
7 with a fair number of poor people living in the Socorro area.
8 But at the moment, we have no further money available to
9 open other offices.
10 Our staff, we have 31 attorneys, 20 paralegals, 12
11 legal secretaries, five people in the executive and
12 administrative function -- that's largely dealing with

10 our staff, we have 31 attorneys, 20 paralegals, 12 11 legal secretaries, five people in the executive and 12 administrative function -- that's largely dealing with 13 money -- and four others: a webmaster; a private attorney 14 involvement coordinator in the south where we have -- where 15 we largely deliver our private attorney services through 16 contracts with private attorneys; a fair housing testing 17 coordinator; and one receptionist.

18 As you can see, this is a program that -- our 19 predecessor programs were programs that invested as much 20 money as they possibly could in attorneys and paralegals.

All of our paralegals represent clients in 22 administrative hearings. They are not sort of the classic 23 private law firm paralegals where they function as assistants 24 to attorneys, although our paralegals do some of that work. 25 But all of them represent clients, chiefly in the welfare 26 area and in disabilities area.

And similarly, our legal secretaries have a much 28 broader function than you would typically find in a private 29 law firm. Many of them are involved in the clinics that we 30 conduct to enable people to represent themselves in court in 31 relatively straightforward family law matters.

As you probably know, the Legal Services
33 Corporation for the last several years has placed emphasis on
34 ensuring that our programs provide opportunities for women
35 and minorities. So I thought you might be interested in
36 seeing how various staff groups break down.

Our attorneys, we have ten males and sixteen sefemales, twelve Hispanics, two American Indians, and twelve Anglos. Anglo is the term for essentially everybody else in New Mexico. Paralegals, three male, fourteen female, twelve Hispanics, one American Indian, and four Anglos.

Secretaries and others, one male, nineteen females; 43 of those, sixteen Hispanics, two American Indians, and two 44 Anglos. And in the executive administration, one male, four 45 females. And that breaks down into four Hispanics and one 46 Anglo.

So we think that -- again, this is not us. This is 48 our predecessor programs did a good job of ensuring that our 49 programs represent the population that we serve.

In terms of priorities, again as I'm sure you're 2 all aware, each local legal services program, in particular 3 its board of directors, is charged with setting priorities 4 for services. Essentially, priorities, on the positive side, 5 are the issues that we have selected where we believe we can 6 have the most impact. Looked at more negatively, if you can 7 imagine the virtually infinity of issues that we could 8 address that aren't up there, those are the issues that we 9 are not able to address because of our limited resources. But our current priorities -- and we will in this Il year be conducting a required needs assessment process in 12 which we will go out to the community and get a sense from 13 them of the issues that they think we should address, and 14 then our board will reset our priorities next January. But our current priorities are: Adequate housing 15 16 available to all; enhanced economic security, especially for 17 persons with disabilities and those eligible for government 18 benefits; safe, stable families; strong, self-sustaining 19 communities; fair pay and decent working conditions; and 20 protection of consumer rights. And in a minute I can 21 elaborate on those if you'd like to know more about those 22 priorities.

23 In terms of how our program is organized, we really 24 have three fundamental units, although I put up a fourth one 25 because I thought you might be interested in it. We have the 26 basic field, which are the vast majority of our activities, 27 and that's funded by the LSC in terms of our basic field 28 grant, which is again the largest of all our grants; two LSC 29 technology improvement grants, which I'll talk about in a 30 moment; funds from the New Mexico legal services fund that 31 Sarah just talked about -- and by the way, she is the chair 32 of the commission that distributes the funds of the New 33 Mexico legal services fund; Department of Housing and Urban 34 Development; the New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority; 35 United Way, and we have a brand new, just announced two days 36 ago, grant from United Way; contracts with several domestic 37 violence shelters; Justice Works fellowship -- that's a 38 national fellowship program that if we can raise the money, 39 private money, locally in New Mexico, we'll match that money, 40 and we were able to raise the money and have a fellow working 41 in southern New Mexico; and then private donations raised in 42 an annual campaign here that covers the whole state. Our second major component is our Native American

46 some of the pueblos that we work with.
47 We also have a migrant program that operates
48 chiefly in the southern part of the state. That is funded by
49 the LSC through a migrant grant, and also is now branching

44 program that you'll visit this afternoon. That's funded by 45 grants from the LSC Native American grant and contracts with

1 out and has funds from Housing and Urban Development to work 2 with particularly impacted communities called colonias in 3 southern New Mexico, and also private donations.

And then as part of our basic field, but a program 5 you might be interested in, is our land and water program.
6 New Mexico, as you know, has a long history. A key event in 7 that history was the Mexican War of 1848 and the treaty that 8 settled that war called the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo.

That treaty conferred many rights to water and land 10 to people that were living in New Mexico at that time. 11 Telescoping history a great, great deal, there is still 12 considerable controversy over exactly what rights were 13 conferred under that treaty.

And for many years, our staff has been working with 15 low income people that may potentially be beneficiaries of a 16 settlement or a final understanding of what that treaty 17 involves, specifically on issues of access to water for their 18 farms and in terms of their rights as either current or 19 former landholders of very large plots of land that were 20 given to communities and have since, in some cases, been 21 preserved and in other cases become national forests and in 22 other cases been divided up.

New Mexico land law is particularly complicated. 24 If you want a quiet title to a piece of property in northern 25 New Mexico, your newspaper advertisement citing former owners 26 will typically be an entire newspaper page.

And so we have been involved in that project and 28 have been fortunate to receive funding, mostly from private 29 foundations. The Ford Foundation funded this work for many 30 years. The General Services Foundation in Colorado is now 31 also supporting it. And we also have received private 32 donations specifically targeted for this work.

In terms of the practice areas, because sometimes 34 the priorities don't give you a real good idea, we are 35 involved in housing. We have a major fair housing project, 36 basically dealing with housing discrimination in Albuquerque, 37 that we are currently expanding to the southeast part the 38 state. We do, again with HUD funds, housing counseling, 39 which enables us to provide a very broad range of housing 40 services to low income people.

We have the colonias project that I just mentioned, 42 which is a brand-new project funded by HUD, intended to get 43 us working with low income communities that have a number of 44 serious problems, including lack of basic services. We do a 45 great deal of landlord/ tenant work. And we do some work on 46 foreclosures.

Income support and government benefits: We do work 48 on income support with TANF, which is the old federal welfare 49 program, and with general assistance, which is a state

1 program intended to provide some cash to very low income 2 people, typically people that are disabled.

New Mexico, as many other states, has a major 4 crisis right now in Medicaid, and so particularly some of our 5 partner programs are very much involved in that. But we 6 represent individuals that have issues with Medicaid.

And food stamps: New Mexico, according to most 8 surveys, has the highest rate of hunger of any state in the 9 country, and yet only about 48 percent of the eligible people 10 in New Mexico are enrolled in the food stamp program. So we 11 have a special project targeted at trying to figure out why 12 we have such a low enrollment and what we can do in 13 conjunction with the state government to increase enrollment 14 in food stamps.

In the disabilities area, we represent many people 16 that are disabled and are attempting to become qualified for 17 Social Security. That's called the SSI program. We also do 18 a number of cases with children in schools who had special 19 education issues.

In family law, we're involved in domestic violence 21 programs all over the state. We also work on adoptions. We 22 do some guardianship work. We do a fair number of pro se 23 divorce clinics and child support clinics in Albuquerque and 24 the southern part of the state. I already talked about land 25 and water.

In consumer, particularly our offices that are 27 involved with people living on Indian reservations, I have a 28 very active consumer protection practice involving auto 29 loans, used cars, and mobile homes, although we do some 30 consumer work in other parts of the state. You'll hear about 31 the Native American program this afternoon.

And we also are developing a capacity in each one 33 of our offices to do what we call a general practice, which 34 is basically ensure that we have the resources to handle 35 meritorious cases that are referred to us by Law Access, the 36 telephone hotline that is now gearing up to cover the rest of 37 the state, and then the rest of our partners.

Sarah already mentioned technology as being one of 39 the areas that's important to us. We've received over the 40 past years, I believe, three technology improvement grants 41 from the Legal Services Corporation, and with those we have 42 created a wide area network that links all of our offices, 43 which permits us to consolidate all of our case tracking and 44 timekeeping, and makes it possible for every attorney and 45 paralegal in our program to have access to Lexis.

That's now in operation. Every paralegal and 47 attorney in our state in the morning signs onto the wide area 48 network and begins -- and keeps their time and tracks all 49 their cases on that single program. That makes it much

1 easier for us to keep track of our cases and to generate the 2 reports that our funding sources, including the Legal 3 Services Corporation, require.

We also have on every desk in the program access to 5 a fast e-mail program and to the web, and that's operational.

We are in the process, again using the LSC technology improvement grant, of creating what's called voice-over-IP, which will essentially make it possible for us to dial a four-digit number on any of our telephones and reach any staff member in our state over our wide area network as proposed to using long distance. That should cut our long distance charges probably in half. And just to give you a concept of why that's important, last year we spent \$75,000 for long distance charges. So in this state, anything that we seen to come to cut down on the cost of long distance is going to the mean more services for clients.

17 Again, with a special grant from the Legal Services 18 Corporation, we are creating a website. It's not just New 19 Mexico Legal Aid that's doing it. We have five other 20 partners that are working with us.

The intent is to create an electronic library that 22 clients and other -- and eligible people throughout the state 23 can use to access information that will enable them to handle 24 their legal problems on their own without having to come to 25 us, not necessarily by going into court, but by understanding 26 better their rights and what they can do in a whole variety 27 of social service and government organizations to avoid 28 having to use a lawyer.

And last of all, we are creating a centralized 30 computer system management and support unit that will enable 31 us -- instead of having computer experts in all of our 32 offices, we are centralizing all computer management in one 33 place and are about to enter into a contract with a single 34 computer consultant that will manage all of our system over 35 the system itself.

That is, they will be able to get into our system, 37 discover the problem, and fix it over the web as opposed to 38 having to physically visit our offices. This should 39 result -- although our system functions well, this should 40 enable us to significantly reduce what we're paying to 41 computer consultants right now.

Now, that's roughly -- that's the picture, a quick 43 picture of where we are now. I just wanted to show two more 44 slides to you that deal with sort of where we're headed. 45 Over the -- because as a new program, we have a lot of things 46 in process. But I wanted to sort of give you some sense of 47 where this may all be coming up.

48 Over the next year, Law Access, which as you recall 49 is the legal hotline for the state, will take more and more

1 responsibility for providing all advice and for providing
2 brief services in substantive areas, and will also take over
3 doing intake for some programs -- not all the intake for us
4 because we have to certify to you that we have been doing
5 intake at the level of standard that you require. So we're
6 going to continue to do some of our own intake. But some of
7 our other partners in the system, such as the Senior Citizens
8 Law Office, will have Law Access do all of the intake for
9 them.

So New Mexico Legal Aid will get out of the advice 11 business. You remember in one of the earlier slides that 12 last year we closed 85 percent of our cases with advice and 13 brief service.

We intend over a period of maybe four or five years 15 to get down to a level that's closer to 40 percent advice, 16 but actually to increase probably the amount of cases we're 17 closing through brief service since the recent studies that 18 have been done of hotlines show a hotline that provides a 19 brief service to someone that they've talked to on the 20 telephone, such as a letter or a phone call, is much more 21 likely to produce a positive result for the client than one 22 that simply gives advice but doesn't do any follow-up 23 services.

So in New Mexico, we are organizing ourselves to 25 ensure that as many people as possible that need a brief 26 service get a brief service rather than just telephone 27 advice. So Law Access will be doing some of that. We will 28 take over responsibility in certain substantive areas for 29 that.

But the main thing that we're going to do is shift 31 our emphasis from advice over to individual representation, 32 so that actually we expect that our number of cases over the 33 next couple of years will go down, but the quality of service 34 we will be providing clients will go up because we'll be 35 doing more individual representation.

All of the partners in the system are going to 37 develop complementary priorities so we have no overlap, and 38 reinforced procedures for cross-referencing cases. And we'll 39 have a significant expansion of outreach legal education 40 activities, focusing on ensuring that clients have access to 41 the web and are able to use it to get the information they 42 need to solve their own problems.

And so looking now at the picture of where, let's 44 say, we might be in a couple of years when all this gets into 45 place, we're projecting that we'll be providing advice to 46 about 20,000 households in New Mexico per year.

That will be providing brief services to about 48 5,000 households that won't be getting individual 49 representation -- this is the entire system, not just New

1 Mexico Legal Aid -- to about 5,000 clients; that we'll be 2 providing support to about a thousand self-represented 3 litigants, and that the total number of people that have some 4 contact with legal services in the state at that point will 5 be about 80,000, which going back to the data that we looked 6 at at the very beginning, we figure that in addition to the 7 80,000 who have some direct contact with all the programs in 8 the system, that another 80,000 will have indirect contact 9 with us, that is, through our outreach, or community legal 10 education, and our website activities; and that adding those 11 two numbers together, in place of the 3.8 percent that just 12 the New Mexico Legal Aid component served last year, we will 13 be at a level of reaching, either directly or indirectly, 14 about 36 percent of the poor people in New Mexico. And so I think we wanted to put a little bones on 15 16 Sarah's point that we are moving in the direction of 17 achieving our goal in our statewide plan, which is ensuring 18 that every low income person that has a legal need in fact 19 has access to an appropriate service. Now, as I mentioned just a minute ago, another key 20

Now, as I mentioned just a minute ago, another key 21 part of our program is our migrant program. And I've asked 22 Olga Pedroza, who is the director of the migrant program and 23 has been in that position for many years, to briefly talk to 24 you about the migrant program.

MS. PEDROZA: Thank you, John. And thank you, 26 gentlemen, for coming to New Mexico and giving us an 27 opportunity to tell you about ourselves.

My name is Olga Pedroza. I'm the managing attorney 29 of the migrant unit in New Mexico Legal Aid, which is housed 30 in southern New Mexico in Las Cruces. I've been there for 31 about 13 years.

I think when John asked me to tell you a little bit 33 about one of my cases, there was one particular aspect of it 34 that he was interested in. And that was how the community 35 has come together. I'm not sure that John is aware that 36 earlier this week, that same case that we were talking about, 37 John, came to a happy ending. And I'll begin at the 38 beginning.

About a year ago, several farmworkers came to me 40 and they were complaining because at one particular farm, one 41 farm labor contractor was not giving them long-handled hoes 42 with which to thin or weed the crops. They felt that the 43 stress on them was much greater than with a hoe.

And some of them had been around when a few years 45 ago, probably 15 or so years ago, the community had come 46 together and had been able to persuade the legislature to 47 pass a statute which outlawed the use of the short-handled 48 hoe.

So they were concerned. They were saying, well, if

1 the short-handled hoe is not permissible, why is it 2 permissible to require us to use no handled hoe at all, to 3 proceed along the row either bent from the waist, squatting, 4 or on our hands and knees?

It seemed logical to us, and so we formulated our 6 lawsuit not only to have the claims for the regular kinds of 7 violations we generally find, which are failure to pay 8 minimum wage, failure to give the proper receipts with all of 9 the information that's required, but also we were asking for 10 injunctive reflect that the farmers be stopped from requiring 11 the farmworkers to use no hoe at all.

Early on, our federal judge ruled against us on 13 that portion of it. She said that we could not prove -- we 14 had not proved that the legislature intended -- at the time 15 that it prohibited the use of the short-handled hoe, that it 16 intended also to prohibit the use of no hoe at all. And so 17 the farmworkers were back on their hands and knees. But we 18 did go forward with the rest of the lawsuit.

19 At the time that I talked to John, we were trying 20 to do the discovery that was involved inn the regular 21 proceeding. And at that point, it was very, very heartening 22 to see that we had volunteers.

We had students from the Doña Ana Branch Community 24 College, the paralegal program, which is under the direction 25 of Anne Gutierrez, who was a former legal services attorney 26 and who I believe is now still on the board of the state -- 27 the state board of legal services. Her students came to 28 volunteer to help us with the interrogatories.

We had also cooperation from the New Mexico State 30 University, some students from the criminal justice 31 department and some students from a program called CAMP, 32 which is College Assistant Migrant Program. They are former 33 farm workers who have been identified and helped to have 34 tuition and a number of other things that they're given in 35 order to continue their -- or, rather, in order to get into 36 and be successful in college. They came to help.

The Colonias Development Council, which was founded 38 several years ago by the Catholic Diocese of Las Cruces, gave 39 us support and sent volunteers to help. The Womens 40 Intercultural Center, which is a not-for-profit organization 41 in Anthony, New Mexico, sent volunteers, and they came and 42 helped. And finally, the Centro de Trabajadores Agricolas 43 Fronterezos, which is a farmworker shelter in El Paso, Texas, 44 also came to help.

So we had humongous support from the community in 46 helping our clients, who for the most part are monolingual 47 and illiterate, to complete and be able to submit their 48 interrogatories. We were extremely pleased with this 49 outpouring of support. Others who helped was Texas Rural

1 Legal Aid and the Families and Youth, Incorporated.
2 We did in fact finish most of the discovery, and we

3 had a trial date tentatively set for October of this year.

4 Before a trial, of course, under Rule 16 you have a

5 settlement conference where the magistrate judge -- I'm 6 laughing because we were just there together this week --

6 laughing because we were just there together this week --

7 there is a settlement conference in which the magistrate 8 judge attempts to persuade both sides to actually reach a

9 solution short of going to trial.

For the settlement conference, we had some more 11 assistance and help. And again, I think that it's important 12 for you to know this. The private bar -- Nancy Simmons, who 13 used to work for Texas Rural Legal Aid and is now in private 14 practice in Albuquerque, and who also from time to time does 15 some of our private attorney involvement work, was involved 16 with this case from the very beginning.

Angel Saenz, who is a private attorney in Las
18 Cruces and who had been at some point in his life a legal
19 services attorney -- in fact, holding the position that I now
20 hold; he was the migrant program attorney -- helped because
21 we needed somebody to represent one particular person who
22 might have a conflict. He might develop a conflict with the
23 rest of the group. Angel was willing to come and help us
24 should that conflict arise.

And also, Sarah Singleton came to help during the 26 settlement. I won't tell you any more. I'm sure you are all 27 familiar and we are all grateful for all of the work, not 28 only the settlement work but all of the work that she has 29 done for legal services.

On Tuesday, in fact, we did settle. And I believe it's a very important settlement. Some of the items of the settlement are that the defendants agreed to report to Social Security the work that had been done by the farmworkers so that when they reach age 65, they will not have blank screens for the quarters worked that they have worked, but in fact for at least this period of time, they will show that they have worked. So they will be able to claim their Social Security. This is a very pervasive problem among the migrant worker community.

They also agreed to pay the sums owed under the 41 Fair Labor Standards Act. They agreed to pay each plaintiff 42 \$356 for the violations of the Agricultural Workers 43 Protection Act. But I think most importantly, they agreed 44 that from now on, they will always provide long-handled hoes 45 to all of their workers who are thinning and weeding on their 46 farms.

47 MR. ARANGO: Thank you, Sarah.

48 MS. PEDROZA: I wanted to end by reading a poem 49 that I think describes the people who need our services, the

```
I people who do not speak for themselves and for whom we have
             It's a poem by Eduardo Galeano, and I must first
2 to speak.
3 publicly apologize to him because I translated it last night
4 and it may not be perfect. But it's called, "The Nobodies."
       Too extreme of buying themselves a dog,
       and the nobodies of getting out of being poor.
6
7
        That some magical day, suddenly,
8
       good luck will come raining down.
9
       That rain will be that it rained pitchers of good luck.
10
       But good luck does not rain.
       Not yesterday. Not today. Not tomorrow. Nor ever.
11
       Not even sprinkles of good luck fall from the sky.
12
13
       No matter how much the nobodies call it,
       and never does their left hand itch,
14
       nor do they rise from the bed on the right,
15
       nor do they start a new year with a new broom.
16
       The nobodies.
                      The children of no one. The owners of
17
18 nothing.
       The nobodies.
                      The cast aside, running like rabbits.
19
20
       Dying in life.
21
       Fucked over and over-fucked.
22
       Who are not and never will be.
23
       Who do not speak languages but only dialects.
24
       Who profess no religion, but only superstitions.
25
       Who don't make art, but only crafts.
       Who do not practice culture, but only folklore.
26
27
       Who are not human beings, but only human resources.
28
       Who have no faces, but only arms.
29
       Who have no names, but only numbers.
       Who do not appear in universal history, but rather in
30
31 the crime pages of the local press.
32
       The nobodies.
                      Who cost less than the bullet that kills
33 them.
34
            Thank you.
35
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Thank you.
                                                I wonder if you
36 might be able to provide us with a copy of that poem.
            MS. PEDROZA:
                           Absolutely.
37
                                        Sure.
38
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                   Thank you.
            MS. MERCADO:
                           I would like the Spanish version,
39
40 though.
41
            MS. PEDROZA:
                           Sure.
42
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Well, we'll take both
43 versions.
            MR. ARANGO: Clients play a very important part in
                As you know, we have client board members on
45 our program.
46 our board of directors. We also have some clients that --
47 and we have many clients that are involved in our activities
48 in the community, as Olga just told you.
            But every once in a while we have a client who
```

35 I provides exceptional service to the program. And I thought 2 it might be interesting for you to hear from Ann Burnham, who 3 is a volunteer, a client volunteer, in our Santa Fe office. MS. BURNHAM: Thank you, John. And I'd like to 5 thank the New Mexico Legal Services for having me. 6 like to thank the board today for my being able to have an 7 audience with you. I'm really going to -- there are going to be three 9 parts to a very short presentation. The first part, I'm 10 going to tell you a little bit about where I'm from, a little 11 bit about myself; how I came into contact with legal aid 12 services; and the project that I'm presently working on, 13 which is ongoing, a project with which I've only scratched 14 the surface. In fact, the more I do research, the more 15 questions I have, which is a sign to me in some ways of a 16 good research project. A little bit about myself. I'm a nobody. 17 18 up very poor. And we used that word a lot, so I'm going to 19 define it in some real concrete ways today, what poor means. 20 I only had one set of clothes growing up. 21 morning, when I wanted to eat because I had no food -- this 22 is a time when actually the government gave out, you know, 23 blocks of cheese, cheeses -- you may remember this, blocks of 24 cheeses and peanut butter with a white label on it. My 25 mother used to go and get these products. Really terrible, 26 salty pork in a can. 27 And my mother did the best she could with these 28 resources, these limited resources. So I'm really grateful 29 to my mother for being so hardworking under such stressful --30 such duress, really. In the morning I'd get up with one of those cans of 32 peanut butter, and I'd whip it with some jelly, and I'd eat 33 it like cereal. And so I grew up with not enough to eat. 34 And I grew up, even worse in the economic impact of not 35 having any money, the psychological black eye of poverty, 36 which is, I think, in some ways as huge if not huger than the 37 economic impact. There's a silent -- there's a condition that you 39 can see, and it's -- when you approach somebody who's poor, 40 it's the clothes or the lack of clothes. It's, you know, 41 clothes that aren't, you know, up to style. You know, 42 wearing clothes from the 1960s and 1970s. There's immediate 43 impact when someone is approached who is from poverty. But there's a silent condition. And that is the 45 psychological black eye of poverty. And I could say a whole

47 what I want to say to you in about ten minutes. And so basically, I didn't really have much of a 48 49 basic education because -- much of a formal education. I

46 lot today about that, but I won't because I am hoping to say

1 didn't really have the energy to go to school and to learn. 2 I was really much more concerned with surviving on a day-to-3 day -- getting through a day.

And so basically, I got my GED in my 20s, which is really exciting considering the fact that my mother is illiterate and my two brothers are illiterate. And it's rexciting to have such progress, and also stressful. There's a price to pay for progress. I really don't fit in culturally much with my own family, although I love and respect them tremendously for what they've given to me.

Also quite by accident, I ended up going to 12 college, which is huge because I really thought that I was 13 stupid, you know. And this is a part of the black eye of 14 poverty. I really thought that I was stupid, and I went by 15 accident.

Someone said, you should go and take a course. I 17 said, oh, no, no, not me, because that was never part of my 18 future. I was not one of those people who'd ever have an 19 education.

I signed up full-time, and in two years they were landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with, you know, an landing me a degree with applauses and with you know, an landing me a degree with applause and with landing me a degree with applause and with landing me a degree with applause and landing me a degree with applause and with landing me a degree with applause and landing

I had to think about what I wanted to do for a 25 living. I never planned on getting through a day as a child, 26 and now I had to think about a career. Another part of this 27 psychological black eye of poverty, another piece to it.

So here I am, 40 years old, and due to a lack of 29 resources I was unable to finish my education. And so I kind 30 of languished in the world of low-paying jobs. I'm right now 31 flipping burgers at Wendy's for \$6.50 an hour, which is a 32 real adventure.

And I survive that. I have ways of surviving that. I tell myself stories, you know. I tell myself that I'm so researching for other people who are poor, people who are in much worse shape than I am in. I tell myself that standing on that greasy concrete floor with no break, so dehumanizing and infantilizing, is so that when I'm not getting paid, I so can do this work.

And maybe this work keeps me alive. And that poem 41 was so touching, I wanted to Captain Ryan. And so I'm really 42 kind of trying to bounce back from that. This work keeps me 43 alive. I don't get paid for it, but when I leave this life, 44 whether I ever come to finish my education or have a career, 45 maybe I've done something useful with the gifts that I've 46 received.

With that, I just want to really kind of segue into 48 a little about the project that I'm working on, and that is 49 the -- really researching the food stamp program in New

As John has already stated, a very small percentage 1 Mexico. 2 of people who are eligible for food stamps are actually 3 receiving food stamps. And here I just want to tell you a 4 little bit about, very briefly, three points of the history. 1939 to 1943, the commodity distribution program 6 was enacted from the federal government, and at that time, 7 paid a certain X amount of dollars to receive food stamps. 8 For instance, \$100 was paid and \$200 in food stamps was 9 received. 1964, today's food stamp program was passed. 1977, 11 elimination of purchase requirement made the program more 12 like a cash program, although obviously, you know, it was a 13 coupon, you know. And there are, you know, strengths and 14 drawbacks about having a coupon system. Very expensive to 15 administer. Could really, you know, have -- you know, really 16 kind of tracking as far as how these monies were used. Now we have the credit card, and it's really -- the 17 18 credit card system. I don't know what it's called -- EBT? 19 And it's really -- the jury is still out as far as, you know, 20 how much money is being saved with this new way of 21 administering resources in the food stamp program. As I said before, I'm really just scratching the 23 surface. I knew nothing about this. I've never done this 24 kind of research before. I have no education regarding any 25 kind of research or paralegal work. I have really been 26 trying to even just learn the terms, learn the questions, 27 learn the system. And so basically, what I have right now as of this 29 date, as John already really stated, New Mexico has the 30 highest level of food insecurity in the country, and ranks 31 third in the percentage of hungry families. Basically -- I'm 32 going to keep this short -- but I'm really researching ways 33 to improve access. 34 And what I have so far -- and this is, you know, 35 just the source of this information -- is from the Center of 36 Public Policy Priorities, and this is from the year 2000. And really, just trying to find information has 37 38 been really such an incredible odyssey, really, because I'm 39 surprised at how hard it is to find information. 40 trying to nail down right now exactly what the food stamp 41 program in New Mexico is so I can learn the questions. 42 Then I can research other states. How have other 43 states improved access? What is the program right now, the 44 food stamp program as it exists right now in New Mexico? 45 really look for models in other states, you know. 46 And so, anyway, basically here are some -- just a 47 couple of, you know, general suggestions about how to improve 48 food stamp access in New Mexico.

Increase federal financing for training food stamp

1 workers. Myriad policy changes since welfare reform in 1969 2 have increased the responsibilities of caseworkers and 3 transformed their roles. More and more training must be 4 mandated to ensure caseworkers have the tools they need to 5 perform their jobs well and feel a sense of pride about the 6 role they play in helping low income people get and stay on 7 their feet.

8 Another recommendation: Explore ways to mandate 9 that states maintain an adequate and well-trained workforce 10 at eligibility offices.

And finally, I'll just do one -- there are many, 12 many suggestions for improvement: Improve access to food 13 stamps through increased office hours, including mandatory 14 evening and weekend hours to serve the working poor.

With that, the idea -- one of the ideas that I've learn entertaining under the direction of Lisa Krooth, who I'm lawring for, who is special counsel on hunger and lawrelessness, I've begun to, you know, research the idea of lawrelessness.

You know, one of the many, many barriers as far as 21 getting food stamps to families and working poor who are 22 eligible are limited welfare office hours. Basically, 23 workers, you know, have such -- you know, such overwhelming 24 work schedules that they can't get in to even apply for food 25 stamps.

So I have found basically two states who have 27 successfully funded and employed outstation workers. One of 28 the states is Oregon. They funded outstation workers through 29 the Department of Human Services, and they have an employee 30 posted at community -- at like community agencies or at 31 something like the local grocery store.

Also, in a rural area, what they have is a 33 volunteer who actually goes out to a rural area, helps 34 people, who's educated -- or trained, excuse me -- about 35 filling out this application, these applications, which is 36 another barrier.

37 Helping eligible -- or people who are trying to 38 apply to actually fill out these applications, and bringing 39 back the applications to the office because there are 40 transportation difficulties and people are just very 41 intimidated by the application process.

And also, one thing that Oregon is really thinking 43 about, which is extremely exciting and a really exciting 44 idea, is thinking about how to have Americorps workers 45 involved in workers outstationed.

And secondly and lastly, Vermont is another state 47 who have been having success at employing outstation workers. 48 Vermont funds their outstation workers through a state plan 49 and grants.

And Vermont has a kind of a different spin on this. 2 Basically, they went out and identified local agencies who 3 really wanted to be involved in food stamp outreach. 4 outstation workers who are paid and trained are either posted 5 at places or, actually, these -- at certain locations, or 6 actually the local agencies themselves have taken on some of 7 the food stamp outreach, the application, actually have 8 incorporated it into their responsibilities. So anyway, basically that's it for now. I want to 10 keep it to ten minutes. I'm really grateful to have had a 11 chance to just share with you some of this work. And I think 12 that because I have suffered such poverty -- and I still do; 13 I'm still struggling against it; it's a weight that kind of 14 reverberates -- if I can make it easier for one person, 15 whether it's recognized or not, I feel such a sense of You know, if I can make it better for one person. 16 relief. And I've done extensive volunteer work throughout 17 18 my life, maybe to make myself feel better about being poor. 19 I don't know, you know. But it makes me feel better to go 20 out and knock on doors and fundraise for homeless shelters, 21 and really to try to ease food and security, no matter what 22 state I'm living in. 23 And I'm just really grateful to Legal Aid Services 24 of New Mexico for making this opportunity available to me and 25 supporting me in my efforts. And I'd like to thank you for That's it. 26 listening. Thank you. 27 MR. ARANGO: Thank you, Ann. We're very grateful 28 for the contribution you make. And thank you for helping us 29 out today. That's our panel. I would, just as a final thing, 30 31 like to have the other members of the New Mexico Legal Aid 32 staff who are here stand up for a minute, just so you can 33 know who they are. 34 Ismael Alvarez at the end is the deputy director of Lisa Krooth is our special counsel for hunger 35 the program. 36 and homelessness, is working with Ann. In the back is Gloria 37 Molinar, who is my assistant and jack of all administrative And Karen Marquez, who is handling all of our 38 trades. 39 technology issues. I think that's it. So if you have questions --41 you've been remarkably patient. But if you have questions, 42 we'd be glad to answer them. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: This has been a very powerful In fact, I think it got more powerful as it 44 presentation. 45 went along. Very moving. I would ask any board members if you have questions I know Maria Luisa will have some 47 of any of the panel.

I was just curious in the Law Access

48 questions.

MS. MERCADO:

49

1 program that you all developed. Is that run primarily by
2 paid staff, or do you have a combination of volunteers who
3 come in and do some of the brief advice that you provide?
4 MR. ARANGO: Law Access is about nine months old,
5 and so it's still staffing up. Under its current staffing
6 arrangement, it has three full-time staff, a director, a
7 supervising attorney, and a staff attorney. Their tasks are
8 largely to prepare -- to do the research that's necessary to
9 collect solid, accurate advice and to supervise the attorneys
10 who are working in the program.

There are also, I believe now, ten part-time 12 attorneys who actually answer the phone. So when a client 13 calls from anywhere in New Mexico, the first voice they hear 14 is of an attorney, who listens to their -- determines their 15 eligibility. Because it's a non-LSC program, the only 16 eligibility question they have to ask is their income. There 17 are no -- no other criteria are involved. And then they can 18 either provide advice or make a referral to an appropriate 19 organization.

There are clearly many opportunities in this 21 program for law students, for non-attorney volunteers, and 22 volunteers. And in fact, there are many demonstration 23 programs around the country, the chief one being Legal 24 Counsel for the Elderly in Washington, that has very 25 successfully integrated non-attorney volunteers as well as 26 attorney volunteers in this whole program.

I'm sure that Kathleen Brockel, who's the director 28 there, a former legal services attorney but now running her 29 own independent organization with funding from Sarah, will -- 30 you know, will go in that direction.

But right now, what they're focusing on is staffing 32 up and then ensuring gradually covering different parts of 33 the state. They cover a little bit more than half of the 34 state now. In another month or so, they'll be taking calls 35 from Albuquerque, and finally will take calls from the 36 northwest, where in addition to providing services in English 37 and Spanish, they'll have to provide services in Navajo.

MR. MEITES: A follow-up question. With all the 39 lawyers advertising on cable TV at 3:00 in the morning and 40 these credit "counseling" services, how do you publicize your 41 service as a good guy service and not one of the traps for 42 the consumers?

43 MR. ARANGO: Well, we are in the process right now 44 of -- that's what we generally refer to as outreach. And 45 we're in the process of developing a coordinated program with 46 all our other partners.

But one of the things, for example, we're 48 considering is we will run an advertisement in every Yellow 49 Page in every directory in New Mexico that will say,

```
1 essentially, New Mexico Legal Aid, and will have the number
                   Because that's the single point of entry
2 for Law Access.
3 that we'd like for all persons. And then we'll have a brief
4 description in language that eligible clients can understand
5 about the services that are available.
                                          We already have a
6 pretty extensive program where we go out and meet with
7 community groups and describe to them what we do.
                                                     But my own
8 assessment is we need to do more outreach.
            And basically, we find ourselves in a curious
10 position. We have -- we serve a lot of people, but we're
11 full. And so you are tempted to not say anything to anybody
12 else about the service for fear that that would generate more
13 cases. But because we have this additional money available
14 now, we're contemplating a much more ambitious campaign.
            I suppose another thing that I should mention is we
15
16 have another organization, another separate organization,
17 that does fundraising in New Mexico. And they do a very good
18 job of ensuring that the private bar in the state understand
19 the services that we're performing and will give us a check.
20 And so that's another form of outreach that we do.
            Also, we're doing much more fundraising with both
22 state agencies and with the United Way organizations around
23 the state, and part of that involves a pretty extensive
24 campaign of helping them to understand our services.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
25
                                  Yes, Rob?
26
            MR. DIETER:
                         Sarah, why did the $25 fee pass on the
27 third go-around?
                    I mean --
28
            MS. SINGLETON:
                            Why did we get the governor to sign
29 it?
30
            MR. DIETER: Yes.
                               How did that happen?
31
            MS. SINGLETON:
                            Our former governor developed a
32 unique interest in legalization of drugs. And as part of
33 that, he actually saw the impact that drugs can have on
34 people, and particularly people living in poverty.
35
            And through that work that he was doing
36 independently, he finally realized that yes, access to courts
37 with a lawyer could be important. And he didn't want to see
38 people who might be -- for example, the one case he mentioned
39 was a person living in an abusive situation where the husband
40 was on drugs, and she would have nowhere to go to get a
41 lawyer to help her get out of that situation.
42
            And that concerned him, and so he finally decided
43 he would sign the bill.
            MR. DIETER: And was it always at $25, or did it
45 change, or --
            MS. SINGLETON:
                            It was always at $25, from every
46
47 time it was proposed. It's $25 for our district court, which
48 is our court of record. For the magistrate metro courts,
49 which are lower courts, it's $15. And it's only on civil
```

```
1 things, and not for people who can't afford it.
                                                    They don't
2 have to pay it.
            MR. DIETER:
                         Yes.
4
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes, Herb?
                         Sarah, what impact is your IOLTA
            MR. GARTEN:
6 program having on fund resources?
            MS. SINGLETON:
                           We until last year were one of the
8 only two voluntary IOLTA programs. We did not typically
9 collect the same kind of monies through IOLTA that most
10 states had collected.
            And so we changed it last year to be an opt-out
11
            We don't have the figures yet on whether or not
12 program.
13 that has made a significant impact, although we were hopeful
14 that it would. But IOLTA has not raised the money in New
15 Mexico that it has in other places.
            I want to say I think that a couple years ago,
17 before the interest rates got even worse than they are today,
18 I think the total of the grants they gave for legal aid type
19 programs was 125,000, which is small. I mean, that's smaller
20 than our private bar campaign raises, which I think is pretty
21 atypical.
            MR. GARTEN: I do want to take note that New Mexico
22
23 has given much leadership on a national level to legal
24 services. In Maryland, John Arango has given us advice for
25 many years, and he's very well respected and well-known.
26 Sarah, of course, is known nationally.
                                          And of course,
27 Roberta Ramo, former president of the American Bar
28 Association, a native of Albuquerque and I presume she's
29 still practicing law there, was a great supporter of legal
30 services.
31
            So I think you've done a magnificent job, and I'm
32 hopeful --
            MS. SINGLETON: And I don't want you to forget John
33
34 Robb, who has time after time gone to Congress to make sure
35 that funding for Legal Services Corporation continues.
            MR. GARTEN:
                        Right.
                                 So we thank you.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: It may be too early to tell
37
38 since you just adopted the -- under your merger the New
39 Mexico Legal Aid name, did you say, as of the first of this
40 year?
                               That's right.
41
            MR. ARANGO:
                         Yes.
42
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: It may be too early to tell.
43 But can you see any indication that the common identity of
44 your offices in the various states will be an advantage to
45 the program overall and a better understanding among your
46 potential clients about what your organization is and what's
47 available to them?
                         I think the answer is yes, although it
48
            MR. ARANGO:
```

49 is early. But we have focused on finding what was common in

1 the legal work that various offices did throughout the state 2 and forming the advocates who are working on common issues in 3 teams or practice groups to coordinate their work.

And I think that effort has been particularly successful. As I said, we're expanding our fair housing project by picking up paralegals that were working in the southern part of the state and incorporating them into the project. Ismael Alvarez is starting an employment project because the most recent data is showing that the issue that is rising in terms of its impact on poor people are issues related to employment, and so we will have a practice area in that area.

13 We have -- family law will be another one of our 14 practice areas. Government benefits will be one. Disability 15 will be one. And the effect it's going to have on clients is 16 that right now, their perception of what legal services is is 17 the services that had been available from their local office.

18 So if the local office did mostly family law, the 19 word in the community was, if you have a family law problem, 20 go to that office. But if you need Social Security or if you 21 have a housing problem, they don't do that.

So what the clients are going to begin to see is a 23 much broader range of legal services are going to be 24 available in every office. Eventually we'll get to the place 25 where we'll have a similar pattern of legal services 26 available throughout the state, and poor people have a much 27 better understanding of what we're all about as well as a 28 much broader view of the kind of service that we can get from 29 our program.

So our sense is you start with the substantive work 31 and focus everybody on that, and then I have to tell you on 32 the side we're scrambling like crazy to get our 33 administrative house in order. But we believe it's very 34 important that clients see us as a place that they can come 35 to get help on issues that are really important in their 36 lives.

37 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes. Judge Pope, go ahead.
38 JUDGE POPE: Because I have to confess, and Sarah
39 and John are well aware of this, that when the merger was
40 proposed, I was really quite opposed to it because I saw it
41 as three different cultures trying to blend, and I didn't
42 see -- I didn't really see the utility in it.

But as we went along on the merger and as we've 44 gotten into the program, I find that the three cultures can 45 blend and they lend strength to each other. Because all 46 three of the areas had different emphases.

47 And I think that the fact that they had three 48 different emphases has actually been -- is a strength because 49 they can -- because Albuquerque brings us something that we

I didn't have in the housing area, and the south is really 2 strong in the migrant area and that brings something, and the 3 north was really interested in water and some other issues. And staff can bring those strengths to the table So I'll formally tell Sarah that I was 5 and blend well. 6 mistaken and I'm reconciled to the state concept. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: We'll let the record reflect 8 that. 9 JUDGE POPE: Even a judge can admit that he's made 10 a mistake. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: That's probably why we want 11 12 the record to reflect it. 13 Tom, did you have a question? I would like to direct a question to MR. FUENTES: 15 Ms. Pedroza, that you might inform us a little bit. 16 migrant program, we're dealing here with folks of American 17 citizenship? Of green cards? Is there a screening process? 18 Who is eligible? 19 MS. PEDROZA: Sure. We are very well aware of the 20 restriction on representing undocumented immigrants, so we do 21 not. They are all screened. The case itself that we had 22 here was surprising to me because we often find that in a 23 group of plaintiffs, there will be some percentage that are 24 undocumented and that we have to reject. 25 In fact, in this case, there were none. They were 26 either permanent residents or they had their temporary visa. 27 And there were also a sprinkling of U.S. citizens as well, 28 of national -- probably Hispanic origin, Mexican descent, but 29 having been born either in Texas or New Mexico or some place 30 in the United States. 31 MR. FUENTES: And when does that process go on? 32 what stage of an individual's contact with you would that --MS. PEDROZA: Their first -- I'm sorry. 33 I'm going to back up. When there were -- I 34 first contact. 35 guess it was about three or four who first made contact and 36 came to tell about their distress over having to weed without 37 any kind of hoe at all. And at that point, no, I didn't ask 38 them before I spoke to them. But immediately that we began considering taking it 39 40 as a case, then we did the formal intake process and then did 41 look into their finances, their documentation. 42 MR. FUENTES: Thank you. 43 CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Ernestine Watlington, did you 44 have a question you wanted to ask? MS. WATLINGTON: Not at this time. It's been 46 interesting listening, as always. CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Well, thank you. 47 Somebody 48 advised me that you might have a question. I didn't want to

49 overlook you. And for your information, Ernestine, we're

```
1 proceeding as a committee of the whole in receiving some
2 reports today, so that's going to be the course of business
3 for today.
            Anything else from --
                             The only thing I would --
5
            MS. WATLINGTON:
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Yes?
            MS. WATLINGTON:
                             The only thing, I regret not being
8 about to go out to visit the program. I've always enjoyed
9 that, to actually see what they're actually doing in the
10 communities.
               To hear it being reported at a meeting is a lot
II different than actually seeing it, and that's what I've
12 always looked forward to. But I regret not being able
13 health-wise to be able to do that today.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: We're all looking forward to
15 the visit here, and sorry you're not with us.
            Anything else from our panel?
16
                        Pat has a couple of concluding words.
17
            MR. ARANGO:
            MS. HANRAHAN:
                           Oh, I was just going to thank them,
18
19 if this is appropriate, to thank them very much for coming.
20
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: And we join in thanking the
21 panel.
          It's been a very impressive presentation.
22 you for your time today.
            According to our agenda here, it appears we have
24 finished a few minutes early on the morning session and we're
25 going to break for lunch at this point.
            MS. HANRAHAN: Mr. Chair, I think we have one
26
27 more --
28
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                  We do?
29
            MS. HANRAHAN:
                           Yes.
30
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND:
                                  Sorry. Oh, I beg your
31 pardon.
           I mistook that for our visit.
                                           My mistake.
32
            MS. HANRAHAN:
                           It's a short presentation.
            MS. MERCADO:
33
                          No.
                               I was just going to tell
34 Ms. Pedroza that I remember going to work in Silver City in
35 the hatch fields, and the battle -- this was -- I don't want
36 to tell you how old I was, Ms. Pedroza, but it was still the
37 short-handled hoe and I was only seven years old at the time,
38 working in the migrant fields.
39
            And, you know, most of us were either U.S. citizens
40 or permanent residents that worked in southern New Mexico.
41 And they would load them all up from the buses from El Paso
42 or around the area. And, of course, back then we didn't have
43 a legal services that could help us with that work.
            But needless to say, even though I like the area,
45 there's something about those green chiles that, you know,
46 bring back those hot sun memories. But I'm glad that you're
47 doing the work there.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: I apologize for mistaking
48
```

49 this agenda item. And so let's go ahead and take that up.

It's a very short MS. HANRAHAN: Okay. Thank you. 2 presentation. But we wanted you to get a glimpse of another 3 facet of the legal services work that's being done in New 4 Mexico, and so we've invited Anna Marie Johnson, who actually 5 presented to the board a year ago and talked about the 6 litigation docket at her program. She's here now as executive director, and she's 8 going to give you a brief five- or ten-minute overview of the 9 services provided by her program to the Navajo tribe. 10 program is DNA Peoples Legal Services. There is on page 242 11 some information, background material, on it. And again, I'd ask you just to hold your questions, 13 if they arise, just till the end. Her presentation, as I 14 say, will be extraordinarily brief. But we wanted you to 15 also understand the full array of services that are provided 16 to people in this state. MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Ann. Ya'ahte be'ne. 18 That's a traditional Navajo greeting. Good morning to the 19 members of the board, Mr. Chairman and Mr. President. 20 DNA Peoples Legal Services serves the Navajo 21 reservation as well as the Jicarilla Apache reservation in 22 New Mexico and the off-reservation portion of San Juan 23 County. Our service area is actually fairly large. 24 from the corner where New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, and Utah 25 come together to the non-contiguous portions of the Navajo 26 reservation, which are roughly 90 miles south and west of 27 Albuquerque. It's a very large service area. 28 Our population is scattered out across a rural 29 area. There are no cities in our service area. The city of 30 Farmington has a population of only 50,000, and when you're 31 talking to others who say, oh, I live in a small town, it's 32 only 170,000 people, and I go, man, the town I live in has 33 only 17. You do not come from a small city. 34 According to the Navajo Nation's Department of 35 Economic Development's 2001 census report, in the New Mexico 36 portion of the Navajo reservation, the unemployment rate has 37 gone down to 43 percent. Eighty percent of the Navajo 38 population that live in New Mexico qualify for DNA's 39 services, and we cannot possibly meet that need at this 40 point. We meet approximately 15 percent of the need of our 41 service area's population. 42 Out of the folks who live on the Navajo 43 reservation, 30 percent do not have electricity. 44 service area, we have the San Juan power generating station, 45 which provides us the electricity that we have here today. 46 Within a mile of that plant, there are Navajo homes that have 47 no electricity and have no access to electrical service. Forty percent of our population do not have 48 49 telephone or access to telephone service. And according to

1 the Navajo Nation's statistics, 49 percent of the population 2 do not have access to a running automobile. All of this provides DNA with significant barriers 4 to providing services, and we try to overcome those barriers 5 as we can. We have our offices in Crown Point, New Mexico, 6 Shiprock, New Mexico, and Farmington, New Mexico. 7 out to where our clients are. We'll go out and visit our clients in their home. 9 Those roads aren't paved, and if you've been out here during 10 the winter or if you've been here during rainy season, you II have to have four wheel drive if you're going to get around. We have worked out cooperative deals with the local They're called 13 government agencies on the Navajo Nation. And each chapter has a chapter house. 14 chapters. And for the remote portions of the reservation that 15 16 we serve down near Socorro, out near Ramah, To'ahjillii, 17 which is near Albuquerque and are far away from our Crown 18 Point office, the local community members can come in to each 19 chapter house. There is a free phone that you can pick up. 20 It connects to our toll-free number in our Crown Point 21 office, and we can do intake over the phone with anyone. We also travel out there and hold regular intake at 23 the remote sites. But at any time when there's a legal need, 24 somebody can come into the local chapter house and use the 25 phone that we have set up in the chapter house to reach us. We also have created self-help centers so that 26 27 somebody who has -- just wants to have some information on a 28 legal issue can come into the local chapter houses. 29 are 37 chapter houses in New Mexico, and they can go to their 30 local chapters and pick up information on consumer issues, 31 housing issues, family law issues. 32 We make our pro se self-help forms for both the 33 state and the tribal courts available at the chapter houses. 34 Plus we have self-help centers throughout San Juan County in 35 the local law -- or in the local public libraries. And we are currently working on our kiosk project. 37 And our kiosks are internet-based and internet accessed for 38 the community to get to our legal information. It's a touch If you go to Wal-Mart or K-Mart or some store and 39 screen. 40 you have like the bridal registries, it's just like those. 41 It's the easiest way to explain it to people. But you can go to the screen and touch on a 42 43 particular topic that you want to listen to, and all of the 44 information is provided in Navajo and Hopi because most of 45 our population does not speak English as a first language. 46 So they can get their -- they can see the information on the 47 screen, but also listen to all the information in their 48 native language.

You're able to print out at the little kiosk all of

I the forms that we have available and our brochures, and at 2 some stage in the kiosk project, you'll also be able to sit 3 and listen to all of the videos that we have developed on 4 various legal topics and on DNA's services. That's how we 5 are working to provide services to our client community. I wanted to touch upon just one particular success 7 story that DNA has had because we have such a wonderfully 8 graphic representation of it right here in this room, that

9 gorgeous Navajo rug that's on the wall back there.

Judging by its design, the artist is probably from 11 the Toadlina region of New Mexico. Most artisans back before 12 we started this project would spend their time weaving a rug, 13 and a rug of that size and a design of that complexity 14 probably represents two years out of that artist's life.

They would sell that rug to agents from the 15 16 galleries in Santa Fe and other places around the Southwest 17 who would come out looking for works like that, and would 18 probably only receive a few hundred dollars for that gorgeous 19 piece of work.

20 The artists would -- you know, would be coming to 21 Santa Fe, particularly for the Indian market that takes place 22 every August, and would see their rug for sale in the 23 galleries and stores in this area being sold, for something 24 like that, probably \$25,000.

DNA's employees in the Crown Point office decided 25 26 that there was something that DNA could do to help the local 27 artists. And they formed a nonprofit organization and helped 28 the community form what is called the Crown Point Rug 29 Auction.

30 It is a nonprofit agency. It is a cooperative of 31 artists. And they get together every month, and on the third 32 Friday of the month, they hold a rug auction. And this rug 33 auction is advertised throughout the country. You have 34 dealers coming in from galleries and museums and stores from 35 throughout the country to bid on the work that is done.

And the artists have started bringing their work 37 only to the Crown Point Rug Auction. If you want to get the 38 good artwork that's being done by the local Navajos, you go 39 to the Crown Point Rug Auction now.

And it has become phenomenally successful. 40 41 takes place every month. People from all over the country 42 show up. And artists are actually getting prices for their 43 work that it's actually worth.

And that is one of the huge successes that DNA has 45 had, and it's had a large impact on the community because it 46 has allowed some artists, one, to gain national recognition 47 for their work; when they sell to the agents from the 48 galleries, they wouldn't necessarily have recognition and 49 nobody would necessarily know that that may have been made by

```
I one of the local artists like Jean Yazzie, which is a very
2 famous name.
            It also allows them to get prices for their work
4 that much more reflects the -- excuse me, I just blanked
5 out -- that much more reflects the work that goes into
6 something like that rug. And it also means that the money is
7 staying in the community. It's not going off to Santa Fe and
8 it's not going off to Phoenix and Sedona.
            And that has been a huge help to the Crown Point
10 community and some of the smaller communities around there.
11 And DNA is justifiably proud of the work it did in
12 establishing the nonprofit and the Crown Point Rug Action.
13
            I go to it every once in a while now, and the
14 prices have gone to the point that it's beyond my ability to
15 be able to bid on some of the artwork that's there.
            Thank you, and if you have any questions about what
17 DNA does, I'd be happy to answer them.
18
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Are there any questions?
19
            (No response.)
20
            MS. JOHNSON:
                          Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Thank you very much for your
22 presentation today. We appreciate your coming.
23
            Anything else, Pat?
24
            MS. HANRAHAN:
                                That concludes our presentation
                          No.
                 Thank you very much.
25 this morning.
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: For the morning presentation?
26
                 Thanks very much, and we'll adjourn for lunch,
27 Okay.
          Good.
28 and then after lunch we're headed for the reservation.
29 guess we'll be leaving from out in front of the registration
          Is that right, Mauricio?
30 lobby.
31
            MR. VIVERO: We'll catch the bus right out here.
32
            CHAIRMAN STRICKLAND: Oh, outside of this building?
33 Okay.
          Thank you.
34
            (Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m., a luncheon recess was
```

35 taken.)