LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MEETING OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

Friday, January 28, 2011

11:32 a.m.

Legal Services Corporation 3333 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Victor B. Maddox, Chairperson Harry J.F. Korrell, III Gloria Valencia-Weber

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Laurie Mikva Martha L. Minow Julie A. Reiskin STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT AT THE CORPORATION'S OFFICES:

Victor M. Fortuno, Interim President Patricia D. Batie, Corporate Secretary, Office of Legal Affairs (OLA) Stephen Barr, Director, Media Relations Janet LaBella, Director OPP Ann Carmichael, American Bar Association Tom Coogan, Assistant Inspector General for Investigations Joel Gallay, Special Assistant to the Inspector General Dan Sheahan, Program Evaluation Analyst, Office of Inspector General David L. Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation Cynthia Schneider, Deputy Director, OPP Mark Freedman, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs (OLA) Rebecca Weir, Special Counsel to the President Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant Inspector General for Audits John Meyer, Director, Office of Information Management (OIM) Don Saunders, National Legal Aid and Defenders Association (NLADA) Eric R. Jones, Network/Systems Engineer, OIT Lavon Smith, Network/Systems Engineer, OIT Robert E. Henley, Jr. (Non-Director Member Finance Committee) Uzma Malik-Dorman, Thompson, Cobb, Bazilio and Associates Alice Dickerson, Director, Office of Human Resources

CONTENTS

OPEN SESSION		PAGE
1.	Approval of Agenda	4
2.	Approval of minutes of the Committee's Open Session meeting of October 19, 2010	4
3.	Presentation of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Annual Financial Audit	6
	Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant Inspector General for Audits	
	Uzma Malik-Dorman, Thompson, Cobb, Bazilio & Associates	
4.	Review of LSC's IRS Form 990 for FY 2010	30
	David Richardson, Treasurer and Comptroller	
5.	Review of LSC's 403(b) plan performance	37
	Alice Dickerson, Director of Human Resources	
6.	Inspector General briefing	34
	Jeffrey Schanz, Inspector General	
7.	Report on the accuracy of grantee data	40
	John Meyer, Director, Office of Information Management	
8.	Public Comment	57
9.	Consider and act on other business	57

Motions: 4, 5

PROCEEDINGS
(11:32 a.m.)
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: All right. I'm going to
start the meeting of the Audit Committee of the Legal
Services Corporation. And I'm going to note the
presence of a quorum. Can all of the members identify
themselves for the record.
MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Gloria Valencia-Weber.
MR. KORRELL: Harry Korrell.
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: And I'm the chairman of
the Committee, Victor Maddox.
The first item of business today is the
approval of the agenda for the January 28, 2011,
meeting. Is there a motion to approve the agenda?
MOTION
MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: I so move.
MR. KORRELL: Second.
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: The motion is approved
and the agenda is approved.
The second item is the approval of the minutes

1 of the Committee's open session of October 19, 2010. Is there a motion to approve those draft minutes? 2 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 3 MR. KORRELL: So moved. 4 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: I'll second. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: All in favor? MR. KORRELL: Aye. 7 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: And Gloria? 8 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: 9 Yes. CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: And the motion and the 10 11 draft minutes of October 19, 2010, are agreed to. 12 Item number three on our agenda is the presentation of the fiscal year 2010 annual financial 13 14 audit. And we have with us today the inspector general 15 and Ronald Merryman of his office, and Uzma 16 Malik-Dorman --17 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Very good. 18 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: -- with Thompson, Cobb, Bazilio and Associates. I think we've met on the 19 20 phone. 21 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: And it's nice to meet you

1 in person.

2	MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Nice to meet you too.
3	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I guess we're good to go.
4	So I will turn it over to our panel. Mr. Schanz?
5	MR. SCHANZ: I will defer to the auditors who
6	are with me at the table. And you've all received a
7	copy of the audited financial report at the nth minute.
8	I do apologize for that, but you do have the report.
9	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Let's just hang on just
10	one second
11	MR. SCHANZ: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: to make sure that
13	we're okay here.
14	(Phone hook up.)
15	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you, Pat.
16	Mr. Merryman?
17	MR. MERRYMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you very much.
18	Just a few general words. The audit again this year
19	was without a material weakness or significant
20	problems. Uzma will give a more detailed briefing than
21	I will. There is some a one letter on control
22	deficiencies that are not considered significant

1 weaknesses, and she will talk about those also.

We provide you with four documents. One is 2 the financial statement audit, one is the internal 3 controls over financial reporting in compliance with 4 certain laws and regulations, one is the control 5 6 deficiency, and one is a communication document that is required by standards with the Board. So again, no 7 material or significant issues were developed or noted 8 during the audit. And I will now turn it over to Uzma. 9 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Thank you so much. Good 10 11 morning, everyone. My name is Uzma Malik-Dorman. Т 12 was the engagement partner on the audit of the financial statement of the Legal Services Corporation 13 for fiscal year 2010. The first report that you have 14 15 in your packet is the actual report, or opinion, on the 16 financial statements of the entity for 2010. And we 17 have issued -- I'm pleased to say that we have issued a 18 clean opinion, that's an unqualified opinion, for fiscal year 2010. 19

20 You'll see in the second paragraph of the 21 report, which is on page 1, independent auditors' 22 report, we also conducted the audit in accordance with

1 government auditing standards. That requires us to issue a separate report also on the organization's 2 internal control over financial reporting, and also on 3 the compliance with laws and regulations and contracts 4 5 and grant agreements. And that is separate in your 6 packet as, I guess, like --

MR. MERRYMAN: Is this the green tab? 8 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: The green tab. We did not identify -- and if you go to the green tab, you'll see 9 our report on internal control over financial reporting 10 11 and compliance. We did not identify any significant 12 deficiencies and internal control or any material weaknesses, which is a very good thing. 13

7

We did notice some control deficiencies, which 14 15 are in the blue tab, I believe, of your package. 16 That's a separate report, separate letter, really, that 17 we issued on certain matters that we, you know, thought we would recommend that controls be improved. One of 18 the areas is performance evaluations not being 19 performed timely. You'll see that in the blue tab, 20 21 again, on the first page on the bottom.

22 On the second page, we also had an observation

relating to long outstanding liabilities and compliance
issues relating to TIG grants, which I'm sure you're
aware of the report that was issued by the Office of
Inspector General.

5 So we -- this was an observation, also, from 6 the last fiscal year. And so it's repeated again here as item number 2 on page 2. And then the last item is 7 8 on page 4. We are recommending that you improve controls over granting -- revoking logical access to 9 network and applications. So again, these are not 10 11 considered to be significant deficiencies or material 12 weaknesses. If they were, they would be in the second report that I discussed. 13

During the audit -- and if you could go to the red tab, you'll see these are some of the communications that we are required to make and report to the audit committees, since you're in charge of governance of the financial reporting process of the Legal Services Corporation. We did not have any problems.

21 So starting on page 1 of the red tab, just let 22 me summarize the report. Not in any specific order

here, but we did not have any problems obtaining any information. We requested items, I guess in the planning stage, also during field work, and we did not have any problems with management obtaining information.

6 We did not have any disagreements relating to 7 any accounting related matters or financial reporting 8 relating matters. The management judgments and 9 accounting estimates, we consider depreciation to be a 10 significant estimate in the financial statements, and 11 we do annually test the fairness of depreciation, and 12 we consider it reasonable.

13 The disclosures in the report, the first 14 report that we went over, are considered adequate and 15 in accordance with standards. So we didn't have any 16 issues with the disclosure requirements. We also did 17 not have any audit adjustments.

Again, we talked about the significant deficiencies and material weaknesses. We did not identify any. And there were no major issues that were discussed with management prior to our retention as auditors.

So that concludes my report. I would be happy
 to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Well, let me just start 3 and say thanks, first of all, for the report, and for 4 ultimately the opinion. I have one question, I guess, 5 6 about the process, and this goes as much to the OIG as well as to you. I mean, I just got the report 10 or 15 7 minutes ago. So I can't give any substantive analysis. 8 I can't even really talk meaningfully about it. 9 So that's a problem I think for myself, as well as for the 10 11 Committee members.

12 You know, the Committee was created because the GAO found that there was basically a deficiency in 13 the Corporation's corporate governance by the lack of a 14 15 committee, and while I think all of us have varying 16 degrees of financial expertise, I may have as much as anybody on the Committee, but -- I actually studied 17 18 accounting in college and worked as an intern for what was then a Big 8 accounting firm. That tells you how 19 20 long ago it was.

21 But, you know, I'm not an auditor. So I guess 22 given that it looks like the process internally worked

1 well -- there were no disputes; there were no
2 substantive issues -- is there something we can do to
3 improve our process so that we get this report, you
4 know, a week or two in advance of our annual meeting?
5 MR. MERRYMAN: The intent was for the report
6 to be finished by the 15th. That's what we tried to
7 set this up as.

8 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Right.

MR. MERRYMAN: We did have some discussions, I 9 believe it was on the 13th, and some additional 10 11 questions, you know, work was done. But the biggest thing that happened this year was a confirmation letter 12 from the bank. Though it was requested in time, the 13 14 bank's records indicated it was mailed, it was not, 15 evidently, received. And a second request went out for 16 the statement or for the confirmation. That was not 17 received, I believe, until last Friday. And because of that, we couldn't release the report. 18

19 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Right.

20 MR. MERRYMAN: And that was -- that's the only 21 reason why you didn't get it sooner.

22 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Right.

1 MR. MERRYMAN: And once that confirmation came 2 in and the final work done on that and we received a 3 copy of the final reports, I believe it was Wednesday 4 evening, via e-mail, we immediately put together the 5 reg with the letter to try to transfer it to people. 6 But unfortunately, the Board was traveling.

7 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I guess there -- I mean, 8 there is nothing we can do, any of us can do, to keep 9 that from happening. I hate to be at the mercy of the 10 accounts payable clerk, you know, at the bank who might 11 be on vacation. Is it possible, is there a -- is it 12 possible to prepare us with a draft of those parts of, 13 you know, the audit that are complete?

I mean, presumably testing an account is not going to hold up 95 percent of the report. And I just, I mean, internally the members of the Committee might get it in advance so that we, you know, we have a chance to look at it and prepare.

MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Absolutely. I mean, I don't have a problem with that at all. And in fact, the draft has been done for some time.

22 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Sure.

1 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: So certainly -- and I just wanted you to note that even though this report is 2 dated January 7th, we could not issue until we did 3 receive the confirmation. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Right. 6 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: That's why there is a gap. CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: 7 Right. 8 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: I just wanted to point that 9 out. 10 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: You couldn't complete 11 your opinion until then. 12 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right. Correct. MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: And we would not want you 13 14 to. MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right. But I don't have a 15 16 problem with the audit committee, of course, having a draft. 17 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I just think, let's think 18 about that next year so that, you know, we have a 19 20 chance to look at the footnotes, and whatnot, ahead of 21 time. The footnotes are always where the interesting 22 stuff is anyway.

1 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right.

2 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Any other suggestions or 3 comments?

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: I would join on that 4 5 because if you had grants or other things where you, 6 again, have to submit reports, generally you see the draft before it's submitted. And there is parts always 7 8 hanging out, you know, even though you made your best effort to get it timely. But it's not delivered. 9 So you just put in those places to be received or 10 11 whatever. But as you said, you've done 90 percent of 12 the report. So for us, we can begin to plow through that. 13

14 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right.

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: So then we, you know, just tab and mark where we're going to do the looking when you get all of your documents.

18 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I mean, also keep in 19 mind, reading these reports is your bread and butter 20 and for us, at least --

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: We have to shift.
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: -- it's kind of like a

1 different exercise altogether.

MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Absolutely. I understand.
CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: So we need a little extra
help.

5 Let me ask one other question while I've got 6 I want to make sure I understand what it is you vou. do on the internal control aspect of it. Your report 7 on internal control over financial reporting and 8 compliance said that you considered the internal 9 controls as a basis for your audit, but you don't 10 11 express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal 12 controls. So this is something of a nuance of the accounting profession I know. Can you explain a little 13 more, you know, for a layman, exactly how that 14 distinction falls out. 15

MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Sure. We look at internal controls over key accounting and financial reporting processes and then also key controls over compliance with laws, regulations, and any significant contracts, grant agreements. So we don't look at internal control, all controls. We only -- because we have to rely on controls or else we would have to do a lot more

1 work, substantive work.

2 So we will highlight -- we will focus on, for example, your closing process or your key controls over 3 cash disbursements, cash receipts compliance with laws 4 5 and regulations. And we will, on a sample basis, we 6 will select transactions for testing. And then based on the results of that testing, if we think we can rely 7 8 on your controls, we can then reduce the amount of substantive audit procedures that we perform basically 9 testing account balances. You know, we can reduce the 10 11 amount. But if we find that we cannot rely on the 12 controls, we'll have to do more procedures.

Part of the control work is also because a lot of the information is processed through systems, we have to -- we have information technology auditors that review the controls surrounding your systems because, obviously, everything is processed through those systems and we have to rely on those or else we would have issues.

But again, we do not -- like this report is saying, we do not express an opinion on your internal controls because there are so many controls around

here, but we don't -- we only focus on key controls of that we need to rely on to get our substantive audit procedures done. So that's why we're not expressing an opinion on the operating effectiveness of your controls overall.

6 MR. MERRYMAN: If I may add to that. First of all, in order to give an opinion over internal -- on 7 internal controls, the effectiveness, we call it 8 It's an audit, but it requires a whole 9 examination. different set of requirements of management, a whole 10 11 different set of requirements of the auditors. And the 12 testing, the type testing, it requires management to document their controls, to test their controls, to 13 accept responsibility for their controls, make 14 15 representations that they have done these type of 16 things.

There is a lot of work that goes into it before you can give an opinion on controls. And this is one of the things that we look at and struggle with, you know, from the grantees' standpoint. We look at the standards that auditors would have to follow, the amount of work that would have to be done, the cost

sets involved in order to get that. So there is a lot
 more work that has to be done to go to that next step.

3 The auditors are required, if they see 4 anything material or significant, to report it, 5 obviously. And then if they see opportunities for 6 improvement, like in the deficiencies, to let 7 management know. But they have not done the amount of 8 work required by standards in order to express an 9 opinion on the system of controls.

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: So to have that kind of opinion, you would have to have at least a different set of protocols as to standards and then you would also have to have possibly a different set of professionals in the audit besides those you presently have?

16 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: No.

17 MR. MERRYMAN: No.

MS. MALIK-DORMAN: It would be the sameprofessionals. Yeah.

20 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Most of the protocol 21 standards.

22 MR. MERRYMAN: Protocols would be different

1

and there would be different requirements for

2 management too.

3 MR. KORRELL: This is Harry. And I think I 4 may just be -- I mean, I think I'm just restating to 5 make sure I understand it because Vic, I marked the 6 same -- I made the same note to myself to make sure I 7 understood.

8 Is what you're saying that you need to rely on 9 the internal controls that are there in the course of 10 doing your audit of the financial statements and that 11 in doing so, you use them and look at them and did not 12 find them deficient? So you could rely on those 13 internal controls --

14 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right.

MR. KORRELL: -- to allow you to do the audit
of the financial statements.

17 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right.

18 MR. KORRELL: But which you have not done any 19 audit of the internal controls, even the financial 20 reporting internal controls.

21 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: We haven't done a separate 22 audit on internal controls.

1 MR. KORRELL: I mean, but what I'm looking at is the sentence at the end of that paragraph that Vic 2 mentioned, "We do not express an opinion on the 3 effectiveness of LSC's internal controls over the 4 financial reporting." 5 6 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right. 7 MR. KORRELL: So there is not an opinion on 8 that. 9 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Correct. MR. KORRELL: Though you did, you had to use 10 11 them and did not find them deficient in the process. 12 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: We looked at controls. Right. 13 MR. MERRYMAN: See, there is two things with 14 15 controls. One, you have got to look at the design to 16 begin with. Is it designed properly. If it's not 17 designed properly, right then and there, you've got to 18 do some testing. And you have to see, once it's designed, it has to be implemented to be useful. 19 So 20 you have to make sure it's implemented. 21 The example that I always try to use in trying to explain this a little bit is accounts receivable. 22

1 If you're a charity or a non-profit organization, you 2 get people promising to pay you money and you have a 3 significant amount of accounts receivable that people 4 have not paid on a budget yet, you want to make sure 5 that system is good because you wouldn't want it to be 6 inflated to draw, you know, to overstate or misstated 7 the financial statements.

8 So you would look at the design of the 9 controls. If the controls are fine, you would still 10 test the controls for that part of it, but you would 11 not have to go as deep as if you found that there was a 12 design deficiency or that you found, in checking the 13 controls initially, that there was a problem of 14 implementation of those controls.

Because what the goal is in this is to be able to express an opinion on the financial information that's in there. And so there is levels of work that you do on internal control, but it does not rise to the level of being able to express an opinion.

20 MR. KORRELL: So how often does an 21 organization typically look at, in-depth and in an 22 audit sense, financial reporting, internal controls.

And is that something that we need to think about
 doing?

MR. MERRYMAN: I don't know that for sure. 3 Ι could tell you some related information, part of 4 5 Sarbanes-Oxley, you know they put in a requirement to 6 do that for corporations or companies over 75 million, and they delayed implementing for companies from 75 --7 I believe I read recently in the last two months, they 8 decided not to require companies 75 million and below 9 to have the test of internal controls like on an annual 10 11 basis like they have for the larger companies. So my 12 guess on that is not very often.

13 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Right.

14 MR. RICHARDSON: And if I can jump in.

15 CHAIRPERSON GREY: Let me recognize the 16 treasurer, David Richardson.

MR. RICHARDSON: The last two meetings, we'veprovided presentations to you on cash management,

19 grants making, and the different aspects of the 20 financial system. We review those annually because we 21 update this information to provide to our auditors. If 22 we had a change, for instance, in signature authority. If we've had a change in the way we present our
 financial statements.

We go through that process and do that, 3 provide it to the auditors, and that is the basis for 4 some of their testing. If you'll recall last year, we 5 6 had a note in regards -- we did all of our grant processing, and we do most of them through wires, 7 through direct deposits, and we had, last year, two 8 grantees that received checks. And the auditors 9 checked four of those check processings for the 10 11 grantees, and two of them were not signed. So that was 12 a breakdown in the internal control that we corrected.

We've done that, we've provided the 13 information to the auditors when they came in this year 14 to review so that we make sure that we're on -- we 15 satisfy what occurred last year, show them that we have 16 17 made progress, corrected the problem and moved forward. 18 And any other changes -- we had changes in personnel this year that we have now reflected in the document. 19 20 So that annual -- that process is done annually and 21 provided to the auditors.

22 MR. KORRELL: That's an internal LSC staff

1 process.

2 MR. RICHARDSON: Right. That's correct. MR. KORRELL: I'm new to this as well. How 3 often does someone -- do we need to take a look at with 4 5 an outside auditor looking at our internal controls or 6 is that something that is not --7 MR. RICHARDSON: Okay. If I can. We do it, 8 we document it, and we provide it to the auditors for them to do -- start the review and look at our 9 processes. And as they do the review within the 10 11 system, they see the checks and balances we put in 12 place and make sure that everything is documented. MR. KORRELL: But at the end of the day, we 13 still get a sentence that says we're not expressing an 14 15 opinion on your internal controls and financial reporting. And I just don't know, do we need to do 16 17 something so that we don't have that sentence, so we have a sentence that says, we did look at it and we 18 think they're fine. 19 20 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Well, I think it's standard 21 audit language, and it's not a part -- typically, other

22 organizations don't go beyond this; specifically,

non-profit entities. One control that you do have in place is the Office of the Inspector General. So you do have, on an ongoing basis, an independent entity kind of looking at management's controls, and that's ongoing. And I think that's a key control.

6 It's like in a corporate entity, the internal audit department. You're not subject to 7 8 Sarbanes-Oxley. So you don't have to really take a further step, but you do have, you know, Office of 9 Inspector General performing periodic reviews of 10 11 controls. And then internally, you have management who 12 is also watching, you know, and kind of self-testing, I don't know if that is the right word, but looking at 13 controls ongoing, and then we come in. 14

So you do have -- I think you have a good control environment, if I may say so myself. You do have a strong control environment. I don't think it would add anymore benefit for you to go a step further. And then, again, you have to look at the cost effectiveness of doing something like that. So those are my comments.

22 MR. KORRELL: Thank you.

1 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Sure.

2 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Gloria?

This is kind of connected MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: 3 to Harry's question. And this may be just, again, a 4 special term of art or technical term. On the blue tab 5 6 part where you're talking about the kinds of what you consider identified deficiencies; for instance, where 7 it says the performance evaluation is not being 8 performed timely and then you have the management 9 response where the situation is because of the 10 11 formation of the union, the evaluations for personnel 12 have not been conducted subject to the reaching a collective bargaining agreement. 13

And is the term "deficiencies" applicable even when an organization is either under a legal, or other binding mandate, that it cannot, you know, engage in a process you might normally have expected to find?

MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Well, I guess control deficiency is a pretty strong word, especially for this particular observation, but again, this is standard language that we have to follow in issuing our report. So and it's also -- you know, I don't see this as a

1 serious matter, especially since this, you know,

2	agreement has not been negotiated. But still, you
3	know, we have it in here because management still
4	expects to complete these evaluations.
5	MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: All right. Okay. So it
6	is a specialized term meaning
7	MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Mm-hmm. Yes. And it
8	sounds really bad, you know, controlled deficiencies,
9	but, you know, that's the language that we're required
10	to use, and, you know, when it gets worse, it's
11	significant deficiency or material weakness, which you
12	don't want to see. You know, and that would be in the
13	other report.
14	MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you. Any other
16	comments from the other board members?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Mr. Attorney General, did
19	you have anything to add? Mr. Inspector General.
20	MR. SCHANZ: Well, thanks for the raise.

21 (Laughter.)

22 MR. SCHANZ: Victor knows Eric Holder a lot

1 better than I do, but I did work for him.

2	No, I appreciate the support and questions of
3	the audit committee. We are very interested in
4	timeliness and we are very interested, as an OIG, in
5	internal controls. And I'll mention that if you go on
6	our website, a lot of our external grant audits involve
7	a review of selected internal controls. And that's
8	part of our risk assessment discussion as to which
9	grantees are at risk of defalcations or of not spending
10	money properly or of not doing what they're supposed to
11	do with the limited funds they get from the LSC.
12	So our titles of our grant reports, our review
13	of selected internal controls, once again what Uzma
14	says, if we take a look at all of their controls, then
15	you get into a cost benefit analysis.
16	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Sure.
17	MR. SCHANZ: So using a risk assessment, we
18	know which areas nationwide, on the work that we've
19	done, that are vulnerable to misuse, fraud, waste and
20	abuse, using IG language.
21	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Outstanding. Thank you
22	very much.

Malik-Dorman. 3 MS. MALIK-DORMAN: Thank you. Thank you so 4 5 much. 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. We will move to the next item on our agenda, which is the review of the 7 LSC's IRS Form 990 for fiscal year 2010. 8 And Mr. Richardson, the treasurer and 9 controller. Over to you. 10 11 MR. RICHARDSON: Okay. Again, I would like to 12 apologize for getting this to you just now. I received this late last week. I did not have a chance to review 13 it because of things going on here in the Corporation. 14 15 I finally got it completed last night. I've made sure, 16 and I've initialed here -- when you look at the 990 and 17 you look at the financial statements, you'll see that everything is in balance, and I made sure of that last 18 night as we look at this. 19 20 There is a report that is 50, I think it's 55 21 pages in total. I've only given you the first seven

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you, Ms.

1

2

22 because it lists all the grantees. It gives you all

the loan repayment assistance folks and their home addresses. It also gives salaries and time spent on LSC activities for management and for the Board members. Unfortunately, I'm still receiving time reports for Board members. So it's not 100 percent complete. We're getting much, much closer.

7 What I will do is provide you a complete copy 8 of the form. That way you will have a Form 990 when 9 it's complete work. Our goal was, of course, to have 10 it done before this meeting. One of the delays, of 11 course, was with the audit in getting this and then the 12 cross pollination within the 990.

But now that we've got this completed, 13 hopefully by next Friday is my goal to be able to get 14 15 it completed and in your hands. But at least at this 16 point, we know that the financial data does match the 17 audit and that we'll just get the last information in as far as the time records for those that are needed. 18 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: So it's fair to say that 19 20 the 990 form is going according to your procedures. There is nothing exceptional or extraordinary about it. 21 You're satisfied that all the information is there and 22

1 the form is going to be in order?

2	MR. RICHARDSON: That's correct. The deadline
3	to get it filed is February 15th, and we will do that.
4	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Yeah.
5	MS. REISKIN: It's February 15th?
6	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes.
7	MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: It's different than the
8	individuals.
9	MS. REISKIN: Oh. You just about gave me a
10	heart attack.
11	MR. RICHARDSON: Because it's fiscal.
12	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Did I hear you say that
13	one of the holdups is that the Board members have not
14	gotten reports of their volunteered time to you
15	already?
16	MR. RICHARDSON: Some. We may make a few
17	phone calls early next week.
18	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Do we, as a committee,
19	need to admonish the Board?
20	MR. RICHARDSON: No, I don't think so.
21	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Anybody here guilty?
22	MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: No. I didn't have to do

1 it, but I'm not guilty.

2 MR. RICHARDSON: No. You two did not have to 3 do it as yet. CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Oh, that's right. 4 MR. RICHARDSON: You came in October. 5 6 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: We didn't have to do 2010, but I thought we had to do the first quarter of 7 fiscal year 2011, which is what I sent in. 8 9 MR. RICHARDSON: That's correct. And that's what we're trying to do is to make sure that people are 10 11 on top of it by getting it quarterly instead of waiting 12 until the year end and saying oops, I've got to go 13 review 12 months worth of records to see how much time 14 I've spent. 15 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Yes. I know exactly what you're talking about. Anything else? If not, we thank 16 vou for --17 18 MS. MIKVA: Are there some dire consequences of underreporting the hours? 19 20 MR. RICHARDSON: No, there is not. 21 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. Well, thank you 22 very much.

1 We'll move now to item number five, which is 2 the report on the LSC's 403(b) plan performance. Is 3 Ms. Dickerson --MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: I thought I saw her 4 earlier. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Yeah, I don't see her. We can move on to the item number six and 7 defer that to the next item. Is the inspector general 8 available? We'll just move on to item number six for 9 10 now. 11 Item number six is the Inspector General's 12 briefing, and I turn it over to Jeffrey Schanz. MR. SCHANZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 I'm going to defer a little bit also because I would like 14 15 to make our presentation on our work plan to the entire 16 Board on Saturday because this being the audit 17 committee, I can talk to you about our audit 18 activities, but I would prefer to defer to give you a complete snapshot of what we're going to be doing in 19 20 the next fiscal year. 21 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I think that's fine. Any objection? 22

1 (No response.)

2 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay.

3 MR. SCHANZ: Okay. Thank you very much.
4 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: That will be great.
5 Thank you.

6 A PARTICIPANT: Ms. Dickerson is on her way. 7 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. Then we'll just 8 wait for Ms. Dickerson, the director of human 9 resources.

10 MR. KORRELL: Mr. Chairman, a question for 11 you.

12 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Sure.

MR. KORRELL: I've been looking at the agenda and I looked back at our -- when I was looking at the -- and this may be other business, but while we've got a second here, I was looking back at the minutes and we had talked about approaching the idea about getting some input on revising the charter for our committee. Is that a live issue still?

20 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: It's not a live issue 21 now. That's an issue that really was something that I 22 brought up. And I'll just, if I haven't explained this 1 already, I'll do so again.

2	The our charter provides for, in essence,
3	employees of the Corporation to bring complaints about
4	certain matters outlined in the charter to the
5	Committee, and then the Committee is obliged to
6	determine, A) if the matter falls within the
7	Committee's jurisdiction, and B) if so, if it needs
8	investigation and resolution by the Committee.
9	The way the charter presently reads is it
10	gives the chairman certain discretion to decide if A)
11	if it's within the Committee's jurisdiction and B) if
12	it needs further handling by the Committee and then
13	ultimately by the Board itself. And I understand that
14	that language came from sort of best practices and
15	reviewing other federal agencies and whatnot.
16	My own thought was that I'm not particularly
17	happy with the idea that I, as chairman, am A)
18	empowered or B) compelled to exercise discretion to
19	decide if an employee's complaint is something that
20	deems further, you know, deserves further
21	investigation.
22	So my thought was that we would amend the
charter to provide that I would determine if it's
 within the Committee's jurisdiction. And if it is,
 then the Committee would determine if further action
 was required.

I think I was convinced, either by talking to 5 6 others or thinking about it further, that we would just wait and see how this played out, since we haven't yet 7 8 had any complaints. There was an item that came to the Committee's attention last year before you-all were 9 involved that sparked my interest in perhaps revising 10 11 it. And I think that I'm just prepared to wait and see if we have a problem. 12

MR. KORRELL: My recollection of the issue wasa broader one, but we've got Ms. Dickerson here.

15 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay.

16 MR. KORRELL: So I'm happy to -- if it's okay, 17 I'll just bring that up in the other business as a 18 topic for discussion.

19 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. Then we recognize 20 the director of human resources, Alice Dickerson, for 21 report on the LSC's 403(b) plan performance.

22 MS. DICKERSON: Thank you. I apologize,

first, for being out of the room, but I started to
 cough. I've had bronchitis recently and I started to
 cough right before and I didn't want to interrupt
 David's presentation or to appear coughing.

5 So basically this is just a brief review of 6 where we were in October when we reported to you on the 403(b). We have now made changes of several of the 7 funds, as described in the memo. We have still not 8 made a decision as far as whether to go ahead and enter 9 into an agreement with Mesirow as far as the shared 10 11 fiduciary responsibility. We are awaiting further 12 clarification from them on a couple of things that Mark Freedman had requested clarification on. And I think 13 once we receive that, then we'll be prepared to go 14 ahead and make that decision. 15

We are also looking into getting fiduciary insurance on the plan. Mr. Meites had requested that before he was no longer on the Board. So we are looking into that. We have an application in process, and we're getting quotes. So we very possibly will be moving in that direction as well.

22 We did go ahead and add the Mesirow

1 recommended funds, and they are doing very well for us 2 in the fourth quarter. The plan made a hundred and fifty thousand dollars. There was -- let me say -- I'm 3 Let me say this differently. The assets 4 sorry. increased by \$850,000. If we subtract the 5 6 contributions, which total 480,000, the actual gain is 370,000. But for one quarter, that was actually very 7 good. And so we're very pleased with that. 8

The new funds have been well received by 9 employees. We added four different categories of funds 10 11 that were not previously available. And that was a 12 small growth fund, a real estate fund, natural resources, and diversified emerging markets. And so we 13 had the mapping in I think it was October or November 14 15 of this year. And so all of the assets now are 16 invested in the new funds.

And we still have our investment advisor come in on a monthly basis and meet with employees and advise them on how to best invest or how to best capitalize on what they have. And they are also willing to meet with people on a one-on-one basis. And many employees take advantage of that opportunity as

1 well.

2	So we continue to be very well pleased with
3	AUL as our plan administrator. We're very pleased that
4	we made that change, and they have continually
5	impressed us with the way our account is handled. If
6	anyone has any questions, I would be glad to
7	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I guess the only question
8	I have is last year I think you provided us with some
9	comparative data on the fund's performance.
10	MS. DICKERSON: I can send that to you. I do
11	have it.
12	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Could you make that
13	available to us?
14	MS. DICKERSON: Certainly. Actually, I can
15	have it copied this afternoon and give it to you
16	before
17	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Yeah, that would be
18	great.
19	MS. DICKERSON: before you leave.
20	CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: And then I guess one
21	other question is on the fiduciary issue, it seems like
22	this has been an ongoing issue now for, what, six or

1 nine months?

2 MS. DICKERSON: It has been an ongoing issue 3 since June.

4 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay.

5 MS. DICKERSON: And is Mark -- Mark is here. 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: I recognize Mr. Freedman 7 of the legal counsel's office.

8 MR. FREEDMAN: It has been an open issue. In 9 some sense, the ball has been in their court. We had 10 an extensive teleconference with some folks at Mesirow 11 itself who originally didn't want to deal directly with 12 any of the employers who were in the plan. They wanted 13 to do everything AUL. But we had sufficient questions 14 for clarification that they came to the table.

15 We've had some correspondence on confirming, 16 okay, here is what we understand you said you 17 understand your agreement to say. Until we've got that 18 nailed down, we're not quite ready to say okay, we can go ahead with this. And right now, we're still covered 19 20 under the fiduciary coverage agreement directly with 21 AUL. And it's AUL that primarily wanted to have us move over to Mesirow. So we're going to follow up with 22

1 them to say are we moving forward with this. But right 2 now, we're -- we don't have a gap. We just have kind 3 of a delay.

4 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: So just for 5 clarification, where do the fiduciary responsibilities 6 lie right now and where do we expect them to be and 7 where will the relationships be once the Mesirow deal 8 is completed?

9 MR. FREEDMAN: And this has to do with, this 10 narrow chunk of the fiduciary having to do with the 11 investment advice services. Now when we signed on with 12 AUL, it was a little convoluted to begin with. In one 13 sense, it will be simpler, but the parties will be 14 different.

AUL agreed to provide investment advice services from a place called Ibbotson. Well, Ibbotson was providing the investment advice, which basically boiled down to narrowing the field and saying pick from these. And Ibbotson was backing up the we're telling you to pick from these. And if that's bad advice, that's our bad, if it's insane.

22 They're actually -- we're actually providing

1 that information to AUL. So when AUL provided that 2 information to us, AUL was providing the backing for 3 that saying we think this is good enough, that if that 4 was a bad narrowing, sue us.

5 They restructured it so that instead of 6 Ibbotson providing the advice, a company called Mesirow 7 out of Chicago will be providing the advice. And as 8 part of that restructuring, Mesirow will be offering 9 that fiduciary, if we narrowed it bad, that's our bad. 10 Go after us.

11 The terms of the agreement that Mesirow wanted 12 us to execute were a little different than the terms that AUL had. And also we had some concerns that we 13 were adding yet another party into the mix. And 14 beforehand, we had -- our planned administrator was 15 also offering this fiduciary. So it was kind of part 16 17 of the big bundle. And part of the complexity here was 18 that while Mesirow was providing this to lots of different employers through the -- it's really a 19 service Mesirow is providing to AUL. 20

21 And Mesirow only wants to deal with AUL, but 22 the agreement for the fiduciary here is an agreement

1 between us and Mesirow. And AUL isn't actually a party to that agreement. But AUL essentially was negotiating 2 -- not really negotiating, presenting the agreement to 3 Those were the complexities that most our 4 us. 5 understanding from -- with AUL and also our financial advisor is that most employers, fine, whatever, 6 7 whoever. We noticed some things that just didn't seem 8 right. And then as we pushed on that, they actually changed their form agreement on some matters, and then 9 we had this follow-up on others to get clarification. 10 11 So in the end, it's also a very small risk 12 area, given the type of work that Mesirow is providing,

and the quantity that they're doing is an extremely low 13 risk that there be any liability associated with the 14 narrowing down to the which investments are in the 15 16 package that we're going to select from. And of course 17 our advantage is, once it's been narrowed down there, 18 we have this almost safe harbor of saying, you know, 19 we've go the best experts to say anyone of these is, 20 you know, well above what would be a reasonable, 21 prudent choice. But it's getting that extra little 22 coverage there.

1 Now as part of this process, we also identified that there is an additional layer of 2 protection of a fiduciary insurance that we can get for 3 essentially the entity, which is kind of like an 4 5 umbrella policy. And given the layers of protection we 6 already have, the premiums are pretty low. And I think the comptroller is looking into exactly what we do. 7 Additionally, there is -- I think of the code 8 provisions right now, but there is a way we have a 9 planned structure that falls into certain additional 10 11 safe harbor provisions. So right now I think we have a 12 number of overlapping spheres of protections saying that we are being as careful as possible. 13 And generally, as we've gone over things with David Levine, 14 15 who is our counsel at the Groom Law Group, which is a

17 under the prudence level and the care level, we are 18 well above our peers.

benefits boutique firm, they've said that we are --

19 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay.

16

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Does that answer both the 21 structural question and I think the underlying 22 concerns?

1 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Is that the, essentially 2 the fill-in for the sentence you have here, that we are in the process of applying for independent fiduciary 3 4 insurance? 5 MS. DICKERSON: Yes. The comptroller is 6 working with various insurers getting quotes and so on. 7 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Okay. CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. Well, thank you 8 9 for that report. 10 MS. DICKERSON: Okay. 11 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you, Mark. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: My pleasure. MS. REISKIN: May I ask a question? 13 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Sure. 14 15 MS. REISKIN: Do all of our grantees have 16 these kinds of things? 17 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Not necessarily. MS. DICKERSON: I think some of them do. I 18 don't think I can say all of them do because I'm not 19 sure that we even know for sure if all of them do. But 20 21 I know from a time earlier in my career at LSC where I 22 was actually visiting grantees at times, I remember

1 them telling me they did not have. So and I think even 2 the ones who do probably are not able to contribute, 3 for instance, on a level that LSC is able to 4 contribute.

5 And the reason our contribution is so generous 6 is the fact that at -- initially, LSC employees had federal benefits. So our employees were in the CSRs. 7 8 When the federal government developed FURS, there were technical amendments to FURS. And at the time they did 9 the technical amendments, they excluded agencies like 10 11 LSC. I shouldn't use agency because we're not an 12 agency.

13 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Entities.

MS. DICKERSON: Entities like LSC who were not 14 15 federal, but who were funded by congressional 16 appropriation. And we were all taken out of the federal benefits at that time. So when we worked with 17 18 the other benefits providers and brokers, we designed the plan to be as much like the federal plan as 19 possible so that we would be able to contribute on the 20 21 same level and provide, you know, quality for our 22 employees.

2 question? 3 MS. REISKIN: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you, Alice. 4 MS. DICKERSON: You're very welcome. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Item number eight is public comment. Is there any public comment? 7 8 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Hearing none, we will 9 move to item number nine. 10 11 MR. KORRELL: Did we skip seven? 12 MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: Mr. Meyer? CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Oh, I'm sorry. Always 13 use your glasses when looking at the agenda. 14 Item number seven is a Report on the Accuracy of Grantee 15 16 Data by John Meyer, the director of Office of 17 Information Management.

CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Does that answer your

18 MR. MEYER: Office actually of, but, you know,19 that's a typo type correction.

20 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay.

1

21 MR. MEYER: And my report, this is on page 101 22 in your book. So you, you know, you can turn to that if you want. And I will -- I have footnotes and so on.
 I won't read all that. I will give you the gist. I
 know we're way late.

CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Please don't read the
report to us, but I mean, we'll read the report.
MR. MEYER: Yeah.

7 CHAIRPERSON GREY: But if you can provide, you
8 know, your summary and refer to it as much as
9 necessary.

10 MR. MEYER: Okay. Okay. Right. Okay. This 11 is about the grantee program data. And what was 12 covered in this is three major categories that are 13 discussed here, are the case service report, the CSR, 14 which are the cases the grantees do; financial 15 information; and grantee staffing. And CSRs come in 16 annually. And they are a detailed report.

They cross match the level of service given and the type; that is, whether it was a consumer case or an income maintenance case, or what, and we can pull that information out in considerable detail so you can get down how many consumer cases were bankruptcies done by and what decision. 1 The case types are also, there is 10 broad 2 categories and they're subcategorized into 77. We also 3 have a separate report between the case in general and 4 PAI, which I know is very interesting. And so you can 5 subcategorize the PAI cases and pull them out in the 6 same level of detail.

7 And these reports are subject to extensive 8 guidance. We have worked on this over a long period of 9 time, and the 2008 CSR Handbook is our current 10 guidance, which is backed up by also frequently asked 11 questions, which they're all on the Internet. 12 Actually, pretty much everything here is.

In general, this CSR data is pretty solid. 13 What we have is a what we call a self-inspection, which 14 is required to be submitted with the actual cases. And 15 16 the grantees sample their own, what they're going to submit according to a list of different things they 17 need to check, and they tell us out of these cases, 18 which they pull up and check specifically, did this all 19 20 check out or was there an error. And we have -- that 21 sample has, in the last six or seven years, been about a 3, 3.5 percent, which I would say is pretty good. 22

1 Then okay. We also collect number of open 2 cases as of December 31st each year and we have an age, 3 ethnicity and gender report. For all of these, we can 4 do national statistics, and we can also do statistics 5 by grantee and we can slice them out.

6 In addition, there is what we call the other services report. And that deals with assistance given 7 that isn't cases. Things like forms for pro se, 8 community legal education. Most of that is Internet. 9 There is a very large number of those, much larger than 10 11 the CSR's. And then that, in terms of the accuracy level, we -- you know, these are not reported and 12 checked individually. It is not reported and checked 13 individually. And they are reasonable, but I wouldn't 14 make the same level of claim of accuracy. 15

16 Grantee financial information -- I think my 17 problem was I was sitting too far back. Grantee 18 financial information is also submitted annually, the 19 unaudited ones that -- and this gives us a picture of 20 everything nationally by grantee, by service area. It 21 sliced the revenues or sliced that LSC and non-LSC in 22 the bias subcategories as laid out here. So we can

1 find out what came out of IOLTA, you know, what the bar 2 association submitted, et cetera.

And here I have, which I'll skip over, a coverage of how the trends have been in this over the years. You can read that.

6 Then we have grantee staffing. And that's -actually, we get reports of all grantee staff members, 7 considerable information about them. 8 Now the 9 individualized reports come here, and we have a legitimate use for them, but, you know, we don't give 10 11 that out because on the individual level, unless -- if 12 there is a FOIA, we would give out what's required, but otherwise, we don't give that out. But we do use it 13 when, you know, an OCE or OPP visit is occurring. At 14 15 that point, it's legitimate and relevant information to 16 be used.

You know, mostly that's good, but we do run a number of checks to make sure, for example, that somebody didn't put in -- you know, if we get a \$600,000 salary, we know it was an error, somebody hit an extra zero. So we check through these things and pull out, and we have some experience as to what tends

1 to be errors. So we can do some improvement with that. Again, I have a listing of historically how 2 3 the data has varied over recent years, which hasn't been that much. It's been a level of considerable 4 5 constancy. And that will conclude my summary. 6 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: So it's fair to say that you're pretty comfortable with the --7 (Interruption to proceedings.) 8 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Excuse me. Is somebody 9 on the phone? Could you mute, please. 10 11 You're comfortable with the accuracy of the 12 data that you use, and that's the data that goes into presenting, for instance, our fact book for a year? 13 14 MR. MEYER: Absolutely. Actually, I had -definitely this is the fact book data. That's what 15 16 I've concentrated on. And I'm very strong on the CSRs; 17 the revenue data I haven't seen any problems with. And 18 yes. I would say, without just repeating everything, 19 yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Okay. Any other 21 questions from the Committee or other Board members?

MS. REISKIN: Is this aggregated on the

22

1 website, the data?

MR. MEYER: Oh, yes. Well, okay. I mean, 2 there is a lot of data on the website. I mean, I'm 3 sort of discussing it broadly. A great deal of that 4 5 data is in the grantee profile --6 MS. REISKIN: Okay. MR. MEYER: -- with other data. I mean, I 7 8 wouldn't say it's all set out exactly as I was discussing in here, but we have all that data, and if 9 you want information, we either can point you to it or 10 11 we can give it to you. 12 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: The fact book is available online. 13 MR. MEYER: Oh. 14 15 MS. REISKIN: Yes. We've been given that. 16 MR. MEYER: Absolutely. The fact book is -again, the fact is available on the website. 17 18 Absolutely. The grantee profile has a lot of additional data in it and is, you know, very useful if 19 20 you want to find out what's going on in a grantee. And 21 then we have more specific, even more detailed data if 22 you want it.

1 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Gloria?

MS. VALENCIA-WEBER: I expect, with what's happened in the recession and the cuts, the loss of IOLTA and other public and private funds for our grantees, we have fewer attorneys, fewer employees, period, across all the grantees, you know, and you will give us a report on that. I notice your footnote six can only give us 2009.

9 In the meantime, where might we get an idea of 10 what those cutbacks have done in terms of number of 11 lawyers, paralegals, and all because just in doing our 12 visits around the country, people told us what they're 13 having to do. How many lawyers, how many paralegals, 14 what kind of staff they're having to layoff, but the 15 aggregate picture isn't really clear in my head.

MR. MEYER: Okay. We will be getting -- our data comes in annually in March, and then we've got to check it, but so we will be, fairly soon, having, you know, real data like this for 2010. Meanwhile, I can tell you that -- this is not a projection because, I mean, it's just a comment. I expected the funding totals of non-LSC to go down last year. They actually

managed to hold it level. I think they will go down
 this year.

3 IOLTA went down last year and it's going to go 4 down further. I mean, that's -- everybody believes 5 that. Last year as the data was coming in, they wanted 6 information. I tried to give field some idea of what 7 it was going to look like. And I was wrong even while 8 it was coming in.

So I have found, historically, staffing number 9 have had a remarkable -- a considerable level of 10 11 constancy that I would expect that non-LSC will be down 12 and staffing will probably be down, though we did fund LSC this year. And it might even be, you know, the 13 next report after this one where things really go down 14 15 depending, of course, on what we get in the LSC 16 appropriations. I don't think non-LSC will probably 17 recover in the next year or so.

18 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you very much, Mr.19 Meyer.

20 MR. MEYER: You're welcome.

21 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thanks for that report.22 Item number eight on our agenda is public

1 comment. Is there any public comment?

2 (No response.)

3 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Hearing and seeing none, 4 we'll move to item number nine. Any other business for 5 the Committee?

6 Yes, Mr. Korrell?

MR. KORRELL: Yes, Mr. Chairman, to return to 7 the topic I mentioned during our wait there. My 8 recollection of the discussion from our October 9 committee meeting about the charter, and maybe it's 10 11 just my recollection and my reaction to reading that 12 charter for the first time because I was new to this committee, along with Gloria, was that there was a lot 13 14 on that charter.

And I wondered aloud and I think -- I thought 15 16 others did also, whether that charter was sort of a product of some sort of brainstorming best practices, 17 what do other audit committees do, and that many of the 18 sources that we looked to did not have an Office of 19 Inspector General as part of their organizational 20 21 structure. And I wonder whether that charter is too 22 ambitious and sets for us a bar that we may not

1 realistically be able to clear.

CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: We did talk about it. 2 MR. KORRELL: And if that's the case, I'm 3 uncomfortable having a charter that says we will do 4 5 things that we realistically can't do or shouldn't be 6 trying to do, given that we have an OIG. 7 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Precisely. And we did -it does lay out all manner of things that I don't think 8 we can realistically do and yet we're required by our 9 charter to do every year. And so maybe we ought to put 10 11 on our agenda for an upcoming meeting a review of that 12 charter, perhaps staff recommendations on possible ways to streamline and perhaps consolidate some of those 13 items to more realistically reflect what it is we think 14 15 we are obliged to do and really can't do. Recognize the Inspector General. 16 17 MR. SCHANZ: I would report also that we have 18 audit committee bibliography that we put together when the audit committee was first formatted in the last 19 Board. We worked closely with the audit committee, and 20 21 I would offer our services to do that again. 22 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: We appreciate that. And

1 I think we talked last quarter or so about the

2 possibility of trying to have just a sort of informal 3 briefing with the Committee. It didn't happen because 4 of schedules and whatnot, but perhaps we can get that 5 in the next quarter or two.

6 MR. KORRELL: Yeah. Rather than waiting for 7 our next Board meeting, it might not be a bad idea if 8 we had a telephone conference with maybe the OIG or 9 appropriate staff. Just kind of talk about how that 10 document came to be.

11 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Or maybe a video 12 conference.

13 MR. KORRELL: Maybe a video conference.

14 MS. REISKIN: That would be okay.

15 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: That would be great.

16 MR. KORRELL: I think it would be all right. 17 Okay. So I leave that to the chairman to think about 18 if that's okay.

19 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: We'll consider that for20 our next agenda.

21 MR. KORRELL: Okay. Thanks.

22 CHAIRPERSON MADDOX: Thank you very much.

1	Thank you, Inspector General.
2	I think that concludes the open session of the
3	meeting today. And we'll now proceed to our closed
4	session. So those who are not involved, and otherwise,
5	authorized can leave the room.
6	(Whereupon, at 12:36 p.m., the open session of
7	the committee was adjourned.)
8	* * * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	