LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MEETING OF THE INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT COMMITTEE COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

Saturday, July 18, 2015

8:34 a.m.

Radisson Blu Minneapolis Hotel 35 South 7th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Julie A Reiskin, Chairman Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P. Robert J. Grey Jr. Charles N.W. Keckler Martha L. Minow Gloria Valencia-Weber John G. Levi, ex officio

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Victor B. Maddox Laurie Mikva Gloria Valencia-Weber

James J. Sandman, President Lynn Jennings, Vice President for Grants Management Rebecca Fertig Cohen, Special Assistant to the President Wendy Rhein, Chief Development Officer Ronald S. Flagg, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary Carol A. Bergman, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Carl Rauscher, Director of Media Relations, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Marcos Navarro, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General Daniel O'Rourke, Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, Office of the Inspector General David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation, Office of the Inspector General Tom Hester, Associate Counsel, Office of the Inspector General Lora M. Rath, Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement Herbert S. Garten, Non-Director Member, Institutional Advancement Committee Frank B. Strickland, Non-Director Member, Institutional Advancement Committee Jean Lastine, Central Minnesota Legal Services Don Saunders, National Legal Aid and Defenders Association (NLADA) Robin C. Murphy, National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA) Terry Brooks, American Bar Association Standing

Terry Brooks, American Bar Association Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants (SCLAID) C O N T E N T S

OPEN	SESSION	PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	4
2.	LSC communications updates	4
3.	Public comment	22
4.	Consider and act on other business	22

Motions: Pages 4 and 22

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(8:34 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN REISKIN: I'm calling to order the
4	Communications Subcommittee of the Institutional
5	Advancement Committee. Can I have a motion to approve
6	the agenda, the short agenda?
7	MOTION
8	DEAN MINOW: So moved.
9	FATHER PIUS: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Second. All in favor?
11	(A chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN REISKIN: The only thing on the
13	agenda is communications update, although I have one
14	piece of other business after we're done. So I'd like
15	to ask Carl to start presenting. There's been a lot of
16	exciting stuff going on, and we're going to hear what
17	that is.
18	MR. RAUSCHER: Most of this is going to deal
19	with some really exciting digital developments we had
20	in the last we'll start with those in the last
21	quarter. We'll talk a little bit about some social
22	media campaigns, in particular about the LSC stories

campaign, and about our experiences live-tweeting a
 House and Senate briefing. And we'll update you a
 little bit on the new website and show some website
 graphics.

5 This is an analytical chart showing our 6 progress in Twitter. In terms of following, you see 7 that we are now up to 4,370 followers, which is up 8 about 400 over the last quarter and is up from 3,750 in 9 2014.

But the figures to look at are the engagement and impression figures. Last quarter we had 795 engagements. This last quarter, second quarter, we had more than 1300. And impressions, we had about 108,000 in the first quarter, and more than 400,000 in the second quarter. And there are some reasons why that happens that I'll get to momentarily.

But you'll see a similar trend on our other two major platforms, Facebook and LinkedIn. Last quarter we had 60,000 impressions on Facebook, up from 25,000 in the previous quarter. Our fans grew from 571 to 683, and that compares to 506 at the end of 2015. MR. LEVI: Impression?

MR. RAUSCHER: This is Facebook. 1 2 MR. LEVI: I know it is. But I don't have 3 Facebook. So what's an impression? 4 MR. RAUSCHER: An impression is basically a 5 view. MR. LEVI: Searching on the page? 6 MR. RAUSCHER: Yes. I can use "view" if you'd 7 8 rather. 9 MR. LEVI: That's okay. Whatever impresses 10 you impresses me. 11 MR. RAUSCHER: On LinkedIn, you'll see a 12 similar trend. Our page impressions were nearly 13 10,000, up from about 6,000 the quarter before. Our 14 followers continue to grow at a nice rate, and we're 15 significantly up from 2014. PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Carl, can I ask a 16 question? To what do you attribute the increases? 17 18 MR. RAUSCHER: That's what I'm going to 19 explain right now. We had a couple of campaigns going 20 on in social media during this guarter, and they 21 produced good results. The first I mentioned to you the last time, which was our series of LSC stories. 22 We

each day distributed on LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter
 a client success story from a different state. We went
 through all the states and all the territories.

4 At the end of that, we decided to bring them together in an easy-to-access graphic, which is this. 5 To get to a success story in your state, all you have 6 to do is click on the state. This is becoming a portal 7 for us in our new website, and we're continuing to 8 9 build each state. As we get more client success 10 stories from EDs, we add them to this, and hopefully we'll keep compiling a lot of easy-to-find stories. 11

12 The total success of the campaign: We had 13 about 26,000 impressions from this, which accounts for 14 some of what you've seen in the growth there, 160 15 retweets, 72 favorites, and really, nearly 600 views a 16 day on this. The single most viewed tweet, which was 17 about Micronesia, got slightly more than a thousand.

18 Similar trends with LSC stories on the other 19 platforms as well. We had more than 25,000 impressions 20 on Facebook. There's the Micronesian one I told you 21 about. And I think, to explain that, the word Ebola is 22 in that, and people pattern-search Ebola quite a lot.

We also did very well on LinkedIn, with more than 27,000 views or impressions. And every day, 226 people -- that was the average engagement rate, which means they actually went in and read the story.

5 What we did here is to Storify this campaign. 6 And Storify is a narrative device that you can bring 7 together in a chronological order, and you can see how 8 you were received in Twitter land. We have several 9 dozen tweets congratulating us, particularly on the 10 map.

11 The top tweet, if you see up there, is from 12 Congressman Joe Kennedy, who is basically telling 13 people to go to our map and read our LSC stories. This 14 brought in a lot of people, and it also brought in 15 other members of Congress. In the days following this 16 tweet, Lois Frankel, Jackie Speier, and Amy Klobuchar 17 all started following us.

18 So this kind of high engagement tweeting is 19 very important, and we were happy to see Congressman 20 Kennedy do this. As some of you know, he was a most 21 eloquent defender of our budget during the House 22 deliberations.

1 MR. LEVI: I called him to thank him, and he 2 called me back.

3 MR. RAUSCHER: So that's one reason our 4 figures are up. The second is the live tweets we did 5 in our Senate and House briefings. The first briefing 6 was in the Senate. It was about pro ses. There were 7 four Supreme Court justices, as I recall. Jim 8 moderated. John was in the room.

It was hard to tweet because, believe it or 9 10 not, the Senate doesn't have WiFi in their conference 11 So we had to set up a hotspot and tweet on our rooms. phones. But we still got out a reasonable number of 12 13 tweets, and total views that day were almost 7,000, 14 which was pretty significant. The total number of 15 clicks, people actually going through, retweeting, doing something with it, was 144. 16

17 Now, we also did -- oh, yes. In Facebook we 18 did very well, too, with more than 3,000 impressions. 19 And you can see the top "Likes" there. One was just 20 describing who was there. Justice Hecht was retweeted 21 more than anyone else, which doesn't surprise anyone 22 who, I think, knows Justice Hecht, because he's so

1 quotable.

22

2 Now, take a look at this one. This is our House briefing on veterans. Will Gunn was there, Nan 3 4 Heald was there, one of her clients was there, and 5 former Congressman Patrick Murphy. We had a quarter of a million views that day 6 from our tweets, 251,198, which is astounding. 7 That's 8 about 20 times more than we've ever gotten on anything. And even our click-through, at 813, is really 9 10 something memorable. 11 We also had really good impressions on Facebook, more than 6,000, and similarly with --12 MR. LEVI: What do you attribute that to 13 14 primarily? 15 MR. RAUSCHER: I think there were three reasons. One, veterans. If we've ever doubted the 16 potency of that as a message for us, I think this 17 reinforces that that is one of the things we do that 18 19 people respond to most favorably. I think it's a very 20 powerful message. 21 Second was Patrick Murphy is an incredibly

enthusiastic man, and he was tweeting as a panel

member, which helped us. He was tweeting out, "Want a
 free sandwich? Come by the House Room" whatever,
 whatever. I mean, he was trying everything.

4 But on that particular day, Patrick Murphy was a star of Twitter because the train that took him home 5 from that hearing is the train that derailed in 6 Philadelphia. He was on that train. He helped pull 7 people off that train. And he live-tweeted from that 8 train. And that brought people to his Twitter feed who 9 10 then saw what we were doing, and I think that's the 11 third reason.

So I think it's the potency of the message, getting an enthusiastic panelist, and a pure accident of history. But for whatever it is, we'll take it. 250,000 -- I didn't mean that to be a pun. But it was quite impressive.

I'll just show you some basic website figures
because our new website should be debuting by the end
of April.

20 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Do you mean August? 21 MR. RAUSCHER: August. Yes. And this will 22 sort of be the last -- and they're just very general

figures on the website that now exist. In April through July, we had about 152,000 page views, slightly up from the first quarter. And our users were slightly up as well, and our sessions were slightly up. Some of this -- and this was combining the 40th page and the main website.

I want to give you an advance look at where we're headed with our new website. This is moving in the direction of what the landing page will look like on the new site. It's cleaner. It's more navigable. We don't have five unidentified web pages floating at the top of the page that no one could figure out. And they all had strange names.

The search function here will take you through the entire panoply of what we have. At the top we have the opportunity to highlight five different stories in rotating what we call hero graphics. At the right we will have a new quote about every three days; we've already gotten a whole bank of them.

Below that is another way that we are highlighting our client stories. The map is set up if you want to find a client story geographically. Those

1 impact stories are by topic or theme. And so if you're 2 interested in domestic violence but not in a particular 3 state, you can easily access it this way.

We'll have a blog on the left there. Our live Twitter feed will be on the bottom. And we couldn't capture it, but right below that is a "Donate" area for donating to the 40th campaign.

8 The three things below the main site there 9 right now are just teases of some of the things we can 10 do, and they can rotate. There could be a return of 11 investment category as well. When we finally settle on 12 which ones are the best, those will be direct 13 click-throughs to material relevant to what they're 14 talking about.

So it is enormously time-consuming putting this website together -- migrating content from the old site to the new site, reconfiguring it, making sure we don't take material we don't need. It has consumed a lot of time of a lot of people, and it's coming along well.

I could talk about other things, too, but let me stop here on the digital point and see if there are 1 any questions.

2 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Are there any questions?3 Martha?

4 DEAN MINOW: It may be too expensive, but 5 there is a software called WordPress that allows you to 6 easily migrate back and forth and is adaptable to 7 mobile devices and all of that. And it keeps the 8 metrics.

9 MR. RAUSCHER: We're migrating with a vendor 10 called Beaconfire, and they have their own system. And 11 so WordPress wouldn't quite fit into their Drupal-based 12 system. The 40th page, by the way, is in WordPress, 13 and it's very easy to manipulate.

14 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Gloria?

MS. BERGMAN: Can I first just expand on that for a second? We actually are using WordPress for individual things right now, Martha. But according to both Peter, who heads up our IT, and the vendor we're using, it's not the best way to deal with the website overall.

21 But we still have the capacity to use it for 22 individual things, which as they're saying, we did it for the 40th website, and we can do it for individual things that come along. But it's not robust enough to deal with the majority of what we need to be able to do with the website. It's not an issue of cost at all. But beyond that, we've reached my knowledge level.

6 (Laughter.)

7 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Gloria?

8 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. I really 9 appreciate even how far this has gone since our last 10 meeting, where you began to show us this. How much of 11 this is being done by our LSC internal staff, including 12 some that you've hired, Jim? And then you mentioned 13 vendors.

Obviously, yours is the people deciding what's to be done and what you need to get done. Purely in terms of our internal operating costs and responsibilities, how much is it of the new hires or

18 current people or what inside LSC?

MS. BERGMAN: It is a combination. I don't have the numbers with me. We have a consulting contract with a vendor, as Carl mentioned, Beaconfire, that is helping us with the integration. Because we're

integrating five websites into one. So they're
 creating the new website, and they're working with us
 to do it. Beyond that, it's all being done at the
 staff level.

5 What that involves is each department having to review all of their content on all of the five 6 current websites to determine what should be moved 7 8 What we don't want to do is just move over over. everything. And then simultaneously, we're rolling out 9 10 an archival system so that we're not getting rid of 11 things. We're just archiving it in Box so it's accessible, but not on the main website. 12

13 So a lot of it is the staff time of reviewing 14 and then actually inputting the content into the new 15 website -- that is the most labor-intensive of the 16 whole part -- and figuring out, making the decisions, 17 about -- what we're trying to do is also have a clean 18 sense of messaging across the board.

We're also creating a taxonomy so that everything is searchable and that the goal of the website is really for an external audience, versus much of the way the websites had been designed was really

1 almost for internal use by LSC staff.

2	So the biggest transition is helping people to
3	understand that the goal is to make this much more
4	accessible and user-friendly for others. So it's a
5	significant amount. Maybe it's half and half, I don't
6	know. It's been a year-long contract with the vendor,
7	X number of hours. We'd be happy to provide you the
8	specifics on what that looks like.
9	CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Charles?
10	MR. KECKLER: Thank you. You may not know
11	this question, and you certainly don't know it about
12	the website as it's going to come out, the new website.
13	But how much do we know about the users and the people
14	that are looking at our social media?
15	Are they people looking for legal aid? Are
16	they lawyers? Is there a way for us to know that or to
17	learn that going forward?
18	MR. RAUSCHER: Yes. Those analytics are
19	available to us, and we have done some of that with the
20	social media. We can tell you on the web page what
21	area code the people came from to access the page. So
22	as part of the birthing process of this web page, we're

going to do an awful lot more analytics because we want to see what's working and what's not working. But we already have the capacity to be that granular.

PRESIDENT SANDMAN: We look particularly at
access from Capitol Hill. We can do that by web
address.

7 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: And you can also tell the 8 platforms people are using, like how many are using 9 them from mobile phones versus -- and even what service 10 they're using.

11 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Gloria?

PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Are there any plansfor languages other than English?

MR. RAUSCHER: Well, there are vendors who do that, and one of them has contacted me. I don't know what the cost of that is, and that's something we should address as we go forward.

18 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Other questions before --19 you had more?

20 MR. RAUSCHER: Not on digital.

21 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Yes. But you had more?
22 MR. RAUSCHER: Yes. I just wanted to mention

a couple of quick things. John and Wendy and I were in
Omaha on Wednesday. John and Wendy went on a firm
visit, and we held a new conference to go through the
Cargill subgrants and to introduce the Summer Rural
Legal Corps.

It was a very good experience for us to be 6 with the grantee, and we got a lot of press coverage. 7 8 We were on the front page of the Omaha paper, which is Warren Buffett's paper, and the story quoted John and 9 10 quoted our two EDs, and more importantly, quoted 11 disaster people and Red Cross people saying, "What a 12 great idea it is to include a legal component into disaster response." That's exactly what we would want 13 14 in a story.

We also had a story in the Council Bluffs paper. The editor of the Nebraska Law Journal spent half an hour interviewing John for a feature next week. So we got quite a lot from that particular news conference.

I don't know if anybody noticed yesterday, but on MinnPost here, a prominent news site, John and Jean did a co-authorship of what's really a 40th anniversary

op-ed. It got great display, a picture of John, a picture of Jean, and pull-out quotes. It was really pretty good. I expect another op-ed next week in the same journal that interviewed John, which would bring us to 15 for 40th anniversary op-eds.

6 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Great. I'm very pleased 7 with this. This is great progress, and especially the 8 stories. I think that's really cool. It was funny --9 when I clicked on Colorado and looked at the story, the 10 first one that came up, anyway, I recognized it. It 11 was one I'd actually referred to our program.

12 Any other questions or comments about this?13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Anything else, Carl?

MR. RAUSCHER: Let me just mention two other things quickly. John, you can help me on the exact date. But the summer issue of Daedalus is coming out soon, and we looked over the galleys last week. And it has a 1600-word essay from John on LSC and his personal reflections. I urge you all to take a look at that. It's worth reading, for sure.

And some of you probably -- you all, probably

-- noticed that in the July issue of American Lawyer,
there was a story about the justice gap and large
firms, and an op-ed asking large firms to do more.
This resulted from an interview John did with the
editor of American Lawyer last year, getting her
interested in the justice gap. She said she would
pursue it.

8 This is the first step. The reporter who did 9 that story, Susan Beck, is looking for more stories. 10 She's talked to me a few times. She talked to John 11 last week. And she talked to Voices for Civil Justice 12 as well. Her mandate is to keep writing about the 13 justice gap. So I'm looking forward to a series of 14 stories from American Lawyer that could be helpful.

15 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Great. Thank you. At our 16 last meeting, I had discussed Kate Marple, who is the 17 communications person for medical-legal partnerships. 18 And I was going to contact her to see if she do a 19 presentation for us that she did at the medical-legal 20 partnership summit.

21 She responded to me and said she would be 22 happy to do it. So it'll be a webinar for the board

and I quess anyone else who's interested. So I'll go 1 2 ahead and set that up. I'll just have staff check out 3 schedules and stuff. So it'll be either in late August 4 or right after Labor Day. I assume people still want 5 to do that. It was very powerful, and I think it would be good information that I think would help synthesize 6 with all of this. 7 8 Is there any other business? (No response.) 9 10 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Any public comment? 11 (No response.) CHAIRMAN REISKIN: Can I have a motion to 12 13 adjourn? 14 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 15 FATHER PIUS: So moved. 16 DEAN MINOW: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN REISKIN: All in favor? 18 (A chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REISKIN: The Subcommittee is 19 20 adjourned. (Whereupon, at 8:58 a.m., the Subcommittee was 21 adjourned.) 22