CDC meets the requirements of paragraph (b) and (c) of this section. If a CDC intends to use a contractor to perform liquidation, it must obtain approval from SBA of both the qualifications of the contractor and the terms and conditions in the contract covering the CDC's retention of the contractor. SBA will notify a CDC in writing when the CDC can begin to perform liquidation and/or debt collection litigation under this section.

Hector V. Barreto,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 05–21681 Filed 11–2–05; 8:45 am]

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION 45 CFR Part 1631

Expenditure of Grant Funds

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) proposes to delete in its entirety of the Legal Services Corporation's regulation at 45 CFR part 1631, Expenditure of Grant Funds. The proposed deletion is warranted because the statutory authority for part 1631 is no longer the prevailing rule of law.

DATES: Comments on this NPRM are due on December 5, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted by mail, fax or e-mail to Mattie C. Condray at the addresses listed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mattie C. Condray, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street, NW., Washington DC 20007; 202–295–1624 (ph); 202–337–6519 (fax); mcondray@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Part 1631 provides that LSC grant recipients may not expend LSC funds except as in accordance with the restrictions and provisions contained in the Corporation's Fiscal Year 1986 appropriations measure (Pub. L. 99-180, 99 Stat. 1136), unless such funds are expended pursuant to a waiver from the Corporation. Part 1631 was promulgated in 1986 in response to Congressional concerns that some pre-1982 funds were being held by recipients and spent on activities which were not prohibited at the time the funds were appropriated, but which were later prohibited (and on which recipients could not spend currently appropriated funds). 51 FR 24826 (July 9, 1986).

In 2005, there is no longer any concern that recipients have any pre-1982 funds to spend. In addition, in 1996, Congress adopted new restrictions and provisions applicable to recipients of LSC funds which supersede the restrictions in Public Law 99-180. Public Law 104–134, 110 Stat. 1321. These restrictions have been incorporated by reference in each subsequent appropriation, including the current appropriation. Public Law 108-447, 118 Stat. 2809. These restrictions have been separately incorporated into LSC's regulations and removal of part 1631 will have no effect on the later restrictions and provisions imposed by Pub. L. 104-134. See, e.g., 45 CFR part

As part 1631 is now obsolete, LSC believes it is appropriate at this time to delete part 1631 in its entirety. LSC believes this action will streamline LSC's regulations and avoid any potential confusion the continued existence of part 1631 might create. Accordingly, LSC proposes to remove and reserve part 1631 from Chapter XVI of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

PART 1631—[REMOVED AND RESERVED]

For reasons set forth above, and under the authority of 42 U.S.C. 2996g(e), LSC proposes to remove and reserve 45 CFR Part 1631.

Victor M. Fortuno,

General Counsel and Vice President for Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 05–21942 Filed 11–2–05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Prisons

28 CFR Part 524

[BOP-1131-P]

RIN 1120-AB32

Classification and Program Review

AGENCY: Bureau of Prisons, Justice. **ACTION:** Proposed Rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Bureau of Prisons (Bureau) proposes to revise its regulations on classification and program review to remove unnecessary regulations and to ensure that classification and program review procedures adequately address inmate needs.

DATES: Comments due by January 3, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Our e-mail address is BOPRULES@BOP.GOV. Comments should be submitted to the Rules Unit, Office of General Counsel, Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, DC 20534. You may view an electronic version of this rule at http://www.regulations.gov. You may also comment via the Internet to BOP at BOPRULES@BOP.GOV or by using the http://www.regulations.gov comment form for this regulation. When submitting comments electronically you must include the BOP Docket No. in the subject box.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sarah Qureshi, Office of General Counsel, Bureau of Prisons, phone (202) 307–2105.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In this document, we revise and streamline the regulations which set forth the classification and program review rules, which currently describe procedure, practice, and general statements of policy, to remove an unnecessary level of operational details with regard to the classification and program review process.

Details removed from the regulations will be addressed in our corresponding policy statement on the classification and review program. We do not, by this rule, intend to make any substantive changes to the current rules or to the classification and program review system. We merely intend to clarify and streamline the existing rules.

Executive Order 12866

This regulation has been drafted and reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review", section 1(b), Principles of Regulation. The Director, Bureau of Prisons has determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), and accordingly this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

Executive Order 13132

This regulation will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, under Executive Order 13132, we determine that this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Director of the Bureau of Prisons, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), reviewed this regulation