LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MEETING OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

Sunday, October 4, 2015

3:51 p.m.

Hyatt Regency San Francisco 5 Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Robert J. Grey Jr., Chairperson Laurie Mikva Martha L. Minow Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P. Allan J. Tanenbaum (Non-Director member) John G. Levi, ex officio

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Charles N.W. Keckler Harry J.F. Korrell, III Victor B. Maddox Julie A. Reiskin Gloria Valencia-Weber

STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:

James J. Sandman, President Ronald S. Flagg, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary Lynn Jennings, Vice President for Grants Management Rebecca Fertig Cohen, Chief of Staff Mayealie Adams, Special Assistant to the President for the Board David L. Richardson, Comptroller and Treasurer, Office of Financial and Administrative Services Wendy Rhein, Chief Development Officer Lora M. Rath, Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement Carol A. Bergman, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Carl Rauscher, Director of Media Relations, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Janet LaBella, Director, Office of Program Performance Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General John Seeba, Assistant Inspector General for Audit, Office of the Inspector General Daniel O'Rourke, Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, Office of the Inspector General David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation, Office of the Inspector General Herbert S. Garten, Non-Director Member, Institutional Advancement Committee Robin C. Murphy, National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA) The Honorable Lora Livingston (Judge), SCLAID

C Ο Ν Τ Ε Ν Τ S

OPEN	J SESSION	PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	4
2.	Approval of the minutes of the Committee's Open Session telephonic meeting of July 9, 2015	5
3.	Approval of the minutes of the meeting of July 15, 2015	4
4.	Approval of the minutes of the Committee's Open Session telephonic meeting of August 13, 2015	5
5.	Presentation on LSC's Financial Reports for the ten-month period ended July 31, 2015	6
	David Richardson, Treasurer/Comptroller	
6.	Report on status of FY 2016 appropriations process	11
	Carol Bergman, Director, Government Relations & Public Affairs	
7.	Report on status of FY 2017 appropriations process	24
	Carol Bergman, Director, Government Relations & Public Affairs	
8.	Consider and act on Resolution #2015-0XX, Temporary Operating Authority for FY 2016	25
	David Richardson, Treasurer/Comptroller	
9.	Public comment	36
10.	Consider and act on other business	36
11.	Consider and act on adjournment of meeting	37

Motions: Pages 4, 4, 5, 5, 35 and 37

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(3:51 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREY: We're on the record. I ask
4	for a motion to approve the agenda.
5	MOTION
б	FATHER PIUS: So moved.
7	DEAN MINOW: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye.
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	CHAIRMAN GREY: There are three sets of
11	minutes from open meetings and Board meetings. If we
12	could take them in order.
13	There is first the approval of an open session
14	telephonic meeting on July 15th.
15	MOTION
16	DEAN MINOW: I move its acceptance.
17	CHAIRMAN GREY: Second?
18	FATHER PIUS: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	CHAIRMAN GREY: Approval of the July 16th
22	minutes?

1 DEAN MINOW: Did we just do that one? Do we need July 9th now? I move that one. 2 CHAIRMAN GREY: We're coming. We've 3 got -- I'm sorry. July 9th, then July 16th. 4 5 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ б DEAN MINOW: So moved. 7 FATHER PIUS: Seconded. 8 CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye. 9 (A chorus of ayes.) 10 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 11 DEAN MINOW: And then there's an August. I 12 move the August 13th. 13 FATHER PIUS: Seconded. CHAIRMAN GREY: Is there any correction? 14 MR. LEVI: Yes. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREY: Mr. Chairman? 17 MR. LEVI: There is a correction because it says "Other Members Present," and at this point Harry 18 19 Korrell was a member of the Committee. And so he 20 should be moved up into the Committee. 21 MR. FLAGG: So noted. 22 DEAN MINOW: Okay. Good reading.

CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1

MR. LEVI: The reason the other two meetings 2 it didn't happen is because for whatever reason, he was 3 4 out doing something else but wasn't at the meeting. MR. KORRELL: I was in court fighting for 5 6 justice. 7 (Laughter.) 8 CHAIRMAN GREY: It is a proper excuse, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 MR. LEVI: And he did pre-notify me, and I 11 forgot that. 12 CHAIRMAN GREY: Now we have that straight, I'd 13 like to ask David Richardson to give us a report on 14 LSC's financial report for the ten-month period ending July 31st, 2015. Mr. Treasurer? 15 16 MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you, sir. For the 17 record, I am David Richardson, the Treasurer/ Comptroller of the Corporation. 18 19 The report begins on page 144 of your Board 20 I will stand on the report as it is presented in book. 21 the Board book, but I would like to update you on just a few things that are contained on page 4 in regards to 22

1 employment.

2 MR. LEVI: Page what? MR. RICHARDSON: I'm sorry. 147, page 4 of 3 4 I list the outstanding positions as of July the memo. 31st. We have made some significant progress since 5 б this writing. You've met Ms. Adams, with us today. 7 She has come on as the special assistant to the President for the Board, so there is one position 8 9 additional outstanding there. 10 In the Program Performance, you see that we've 11 hired a counsel that's noted. We have also hired a 12 grants coordinator. And then the other positions that 13 are noted here we continue to recruit for. Interviews 14 are underway, and recruiting is continuing. So we have 15 filled a number of those open positions. 16 MR. LEVI: Is that one position at the bottom 17 there? Is that one position, Compliance and 18 Enforcement? 19 MS. RATH: Yes. 20 MR. RICHARDSON: That is correct. 21 DEAN MINOW: Is there still an effort not to 22 fill all the positions pending the uncertainty in

1 Congress? Or is there a goal now to fill all of them? MR. RICHARDSON: We continue to recruit and 2 3 fill all these positions. We certainly have enough carryover at this point to carry forward with the 4 5 positions as stated here. б CHAIRMAN GREY: Father Pius? 7 FATHER PIUS: The million and a half in grant recoveries, is that typical? Is that a typical number 8 9 that we get, or is that a little higher than usual? 10 MR. RICHARDSON: It's a little higher than 11 usual. Usually it's 200- to \$300,000. 12 FATHER PIUS: Yes. Is there any idea why it's 13 so high this year? 14 MR. RICHARDSON: There's a couple of significant recoveries, one of Legal Services of New 15 16 York City, which was a result of the program not

17 spending the funding due to a strike or their

18 employees. So we received \$1.27 million back from that 19 program.

20 FATHER PIUS: So \$1.27 million of that is all 21 from one program?

22 MR. RICHARDSON: That is correct.

FATHER PIUS: Well, that's significant.
 Right. That certainly makes it clear. Thank you.
 MR. RICHARDSON: You didn't roll it into
 bonuses or anything.

5 (Laughter.)

6 CHAIRMAN GREY: That's a good observation. 7 Any other questions for the Treasurer?

8 FATHER PIUS: Are we just on this, or are we 9 on to the next chart yet? Attachment A, can I ask a 10 question about it? It's sort of related to everything 11 else, but Attachment A. Sorry.

12 The contingency funds you have on chart A on 13 page 149 of the Board book is \$4.567 million. But when 14 you carry it over into the budget, it's a lesser 15 number. I forget exactly what the number is. And I 16 just wasn't understanding why that was.

When you go into temporary operating authority, that number is less than 4.567. It's 4 something or other, 4.357. And I wasn't sure what the discrepancy was.

21 MR. RICHARDSON: On page 149, we're looking 22 through ten months. FATHER PIUS: But that's still a higher number than what you've got for the contingency fund for -- so if you look on page 162 of the Board book, you've got an MGO contingency fund of only 4.357, which is \$210,000 less. And I'm not sure why it's \$210,000 less for the full year. \$

7 MR. RICHARDSON: Okay. What we do is we 8 received \$18,500,000 last year. This year we're 9 looking at the same amount as far as the continuing 10 resolution. We do our budget -- last year's budget was 11 \$20,400,000, and the budget that's before you now is 12 \$20,900,000. So we take money out of that contingency 13 to support the coming year's budget.

FATHER PIUS: Okay. So you've removed about \$200,000 from the contingency. Because I have as the continuing budget for this year about \$20,980,000 as opposed to \$20,400,000. So that's an increase of about \$580,000.

And a couple hundred thing is taken from contingency, and where is the rest of that coming from? Is that rollover? Is all the rest of that rollover? MR. RICHARDSON: Well, when I look at the

1 amount of money here, that shows a contingency of \$2.18 2 million. I do a projection for August and September 3 spending, and I projected a little less spending. So 4 that had to come into play here.

5 FATHER PIUS: I probably have a few more 6 questions when we get to the continuing budget, but 7 I'll wait for that.

8 MR. RICHARDSON: Okay.

9 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any other questions? Julie? 10 MS. REISKIN: No. I'm sorry.

11 CHAIRMAN GREY: If there are no further questions of the Treasurer, it's probably in order to 12 13 hear about the appropriations process as it is 14 unfolding. We have two budgets that are sitting in 15 front of the Congress, one on '16 appropriations, and the proposed '17 appropriations. And so I would invite 16 17 Carol Bergman, Director of Government Relations and Public Affairs, to share her thoughts and observations 18 19 about that.

20 MS. BERGMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 It's always a good time to be reminded of why 22 I like doing this kind of work because it's always changing. There is never a dull moment, and it never
 looks like it did the last time. I was thinking about
 what a very different report I would have made if it
 had been two weeks prior. It's amazing how quickly
 things can shift.

6 So the bottom line is that Congress has not 7 passed an FY '16 appropriations bill, as you all know. 8 There was a continuing resolution passed on September 9 30th at the eleventh hour that funds the federal 10 government through December 11th.

11 So the timing is such that the debt ceiling is 12 set to expire at the end of October. Treasury 13 Secretary Jacob Lew indicates that we're likely to 14 exhaust extraordinary funding measures November 5th.

15 As I'm sure you all know, Mr. Boehner has 16 resigned the Speakership as of October 30th. There are ongoing budget talks, or at least referenced. 17 Mitch McConnell indicates that there are ongoing 18 19 conversations going on with the White House, with the 20 leadership, but there's obviously a debate about which 21 leadership are participating in those talks.

22 The Republican leadership election is now

scheduled for October 8th, although as of this morning,
 it looked like there was a very strong partition
 circulating in the House to at least delay part of the
 leadership elections.

5 At the moment, Mr. Boehner is saying that the 6 election for the Speaker will still go ahead on the 7 8th, but they may decide to hold off on the election 8 for other positions.

9 There is clearly a lot of interest being 10 expressed right now in the House to reconsider some of 11 the rules with regard to whether or not people have to 12 resign their committee chairmanships or their current 13 positions in order to run for another position in the 14 leadership.

15 So all of this is happening at the same time, 16 obviously. There are various options. The House and 17 Senate are far apart with regard to LSC funding, as you 18 recall; the Senate came in at 385, and the House came 19 in at 300.

20 These were funded under the joint budget 21 resolution spending caps that applied sequestration to 22 discretionary domestic spending under the Budget

Control Act of 2011. So what the White House has
 indicated they're looking for is to have talks about
 changing the caps.

At this point, the President has said he will not sign another short-term spending bill. That was what he announced when he signed the current CR. He's also indicated that he has no intention of negotiating about the debt ceiling.

9 So I did not bring my crystal ball. Whether 10 or not we're actually going to see a deal cut for FY 11 '16, whether or not we're going to see an ongoing CR at the 2015 spending levels, or whether we're facing a 12 government shutdown in mid-December, I think those are 13 14 basically the three general directions that things are going to move in. But I think it's very, very unclear 15 16 right now how this is going to play out.

Meanwhile, you may recall that we are now providing revised census data adjustments to our grantees on a three-year basis. So in the notice I sent out to the grantee executive directors last week, I made it clear that those go into effect January 1st. We anticipate letting folks know that obviously we have the new data from the Census Bureau.
 We will provide estimates as to how it will apply to
 the 2015 spending levels for the CR in mid October.

But at this point, until it becomes clear what's going to happen with FY '16, I think we don't know that we're going to go through the process of coming up with precise numbers twice. We'll obviously give folks an approximation so they know what to anticipate moving forward.

10 FATHER PIUS: And can you give a copy of that 11 to the Board, or at least to me? I'm sure the rest of 12 the Board might want it as well. But the estimates, 13 what you think about in October?

MS. BERGMAN: Oh, of course. Absolutely. Sure. And of course they will be adjusted as soon as we know what's going to happen with FY '16.

17 So before I move on to FY '17 --

18 MR. LEVI: Well, can I --

MS. BERGMAN: -- I'd be happy to answer any questions.

21 (Laughter.)

22 MR. LEVI: Carole, so the continuing

resolution has us at the current level of funding?
 MS. BERGMAN: Yes. The entire government is
 funded at the FY '15 spending level.

MR. LEVI: Okay. And if there were to be a 4 budget deal, would it be a retroactive -- we knew? 5 б MS. BERGMAN: Well, David and I have had 7 conversations about this. Usually when there is a CR, it is in fact analyzed so that it goes back across the 8 9 board. But they can do whatever they want. So you can 10 create a CR with whatever terms they want to create a 11 CR.

MR. LEVI: Do we need to be doing anything proactively at this juncture to protect LSC as the negotiations possibly unfold?

MS. BERGMAN: Well, I think the good news and the bad news is that LSC is not being singled out in this process at all. This is really a conversation about sequestration and whether or not they're going to change the discretionary spending levels.

If they're not going to, is there just going to be a deal cut where they're splitting the difference between the House and the Senate numbers on every

1 single account, or whether or not we're talking -- you know, all of that is happening in a way that we are not 2 in any position to influence, John. I wish there were. 3 4 MR. LEVI: Yes. The unfortunate thing is that 5 a game of halvesie here would be a 10 percent cut б our --7 MS. BERGMAN: Yes. That is true. 8 MR. LEVI: And so that's what's very worrisome 9 time. 10 MS. BERGMAN: Yes, it is. 11 MR. LEVI: And I'm trying to see if there's 12 anything that we should or could be doing in this funny 13 period to --MS. BERGMAN: Well, I think that, obviously, 14 we have limited permissibility to do lobbying. But 15 16 obviously, the Board can weigh in as much as possible 17 with the key players. MR. LEVI: I'm not talking about lobbying. 18 19 No, no. 20 MS. BERGMAN: But beyond that --21 MR. LEVI: We're allowed to advocate for 22 funding.

1 MS. BERGMAN: Yes. Of course. That's what I 2 mean.

3 MR. LEVI: Yes.

MS. BERGMAN: So yes. But I think we've 4 certainly done that as much as we're in any position --5 б MR. LEVI: So I know you've been amazing. But 7 when you've got all of these other streams going, do people need to be reminded? That's the question I 8 9 have. Because there's a lot on their plates, and the 10 question is, will we have fallen off the plate so much 11 that the current situation -- I'm not expecting an 12 answer.

13 MS. BERGMAN: Right.

14 MR. LEVI: Maybe you can think about that. 15 MS. BERGMAN: Yes. No, it is something to 16 think about. It's only something that really comes up 17 if there is going to actually be an FY '16 18 appropriations bill.

At the moment, when you're either talking about a CR or a deal that deals with discretionary spending caps that are going to be applicable across the board, that individual accounts are irrelevant.

1 That's not what this is about.

2	That's the challenge, that the kind of
3	conversation we're having applies if there truly is
4	going to be a negotiated FY '16 appropriations bill.
5	And the discussions so far among the various people who
6	have announced their candidacies for leadership in the
7	House have not indicated that that is on their radar
8	screen, that kind of a negotiated appropriations bill
9	for FY '16.
10	CHAIRMAN GREY: Allan?
11	MR. TANENBAUM: To follow up on that, if there
12	were to be an FY '16 passed appropriations bill that
13	was less than the continuing resolution, would that
14	then result in a risk to LSC and to the funds that
15	we've given out that we would have to recoup money from
16	grantees? If that were to occur?
17	MS. BERGMAN: Go ahead.
18	MR. RICHARDSON: It's a matter of timing.
19	It's according to when it happens. Currently the CR
20	goes through December 11, so we will have two months
21	and eleven days of funding, which will affect the
22	January check because October, November October is

already gone. We do not issue a check in November.
 And then we will issue a December check based on the
 funds that we currently have.

If an appropriation is passed that is less, it's a matter of when because we do have level funding to affect the January amount of the payment. We would not have enough money for the February payment.

8 So going back to Carol talking about crystal 9 ball, if there's another continuing resolution, which 10 the President says he will not sign, we'll have to see 11 what happens. A couple of years ago, we did get CRs 12 through April. And at that time we got an increase and 13 we got a decrease and then we got a further increase.

So we went back and forth. I think we did funding three times. And finally, the check in June was the one with the catch-up, and then July was what they were funded for the rest of the year. So it's a matter of timing.

19 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Could I have a crack at 20 that? I understood Allan to be asking a different 21 question. If Congress approves appropriations 22 legislation that reduces LSC's appropriation and we've 1 been paying out at a higher level up until then, are we
2 going to have to get money back from our grantees?

The answer is, it will reduce the amount of the payments they get in future months. They will not have to write a check back to LSC.

6 MR. TANENBAUM: So they will at the end of the 7 day be annualized at the lesser amount?

8 MS. BERGMAN: Yes.

9 MR. TANENBAUM: So take that, not the 10 grantees, but take it from our operating budget. So 11 how would you plan for that issue where you're working 12 on a CR with employees that have committed salaries, 13 and you might get a lesser amount for the remaining 14 eight months or nine months? How are we guarding against having to lay off anybody or reducing the 15 16 operating budget services as a result of a lower 17 amount?

18 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: I have two answers to 19 that. First, neither the House nor the Senate has 20 proposed any reduction in funding for management and 21 grants oversight, what funds LSC centrally. Both would 22 keep us at \$18-1/2 million.

1 The cut that's been proposed in the House of Representatives comes entirely out of basic field great 2 funding for our grantees. So that will not affect --3 4 MR. TANENBAUM: So we're relying on the fact that neither side has reduced the amount. But that's 5 б the reason why we're not planning a contingency for a 7 lower amount? 8 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: And we also can use our carryover. So between those two things, no signal from 9 10 either house of Congress that they're proposing to 11 reduce the management and grants oversight 12 appropriation and the fact that we have carryover, we 13 don't anticipate the need to lay anybody off. 14 MR. TANENBAUM: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GREY: Martha? 16 DEAN MINOW: Does the word "sequester" still have any relevance to this discussion? If so, can you 17

MS. BERGMAN: Yes, absolutely. The Budget Control Act of 2011 is what put into play ten years' worth of sequestration. This all had to do with a ten-year plan in terms of reducing the federal deficit.

18

explain that to me?

So we have been operating for two years under a deal, the Ryan-Murray deal, that basically put the sequestration numbers aside and made an agreement to raise what had been caps on both domestic and international funding, defense spending, so that they would not apply for those two years.

7 So the current numbers in both the House and 8 the Senate, because we were dealing with a 9 Republican-controlled Senate and House, both created 10 budgets that fell within the sequestration numbers. So 11 the House and the Senate numbers are both consistent 12 with the Budget Control Act 2011 caps.

What had happened, the main reason that the Senate never passed any appropriations bills, is that there was resistance to bringing any bills to the floor that fell within those caps. So that was the stalemate in the Senate over moving anything.

So that's why the White House has said that they would not sign any appropriations bills that fell within those caps. So moving forward, this is the move to either have talks -- that's what Mitch McConnell has been referring to, the conversation about having

another two-year deal that would come up with an
 agreement to once against suspend the sequestered
 numbers to deal with the both the defense and domestic
 discretionary funding budgets.

5 But these numbers that the House and Senate 6 are working with for FY '16 both fell within those 7 caps. So if there were to be a deal, one would presume 8 at the heart of that deal is raising the allowable 9 discretionary domestic spending.

10 DEAN MINOW: Thank you.

11 MS. BERGMAN: Sure.

12 CHAIRMAN GREY: Further questions?

13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRMAN GREY: Ms. Bergman.

MS. BERGMAN: FY '17. Moving right along. So LSC submitted our budget request, based on the Board's vote this past July, on September 10th, and the request was for \$502.7 million.

We had a request from the OMB budget examiner
to meet with LSC. That meeting took place last week.
Per usual, we are in the process now of beginning to
think about what our budget justification will look

1 like going to Congress.

2	The White House, by law, is supposed to summit
3	its budget request the first week of February, and we
4	always plan to try and submit our budget justification
5	at the same time, and then initiate all of our meetings
б	with folks on the Hill.
7	We will continue to presume that kind of a
8	calendar unless it becomes clear that anything is
9	changing as a result of the lack of planning, lack of
10	clarity with regard to FY '16.
11	But for all intents and purposes, everything
12	is moving forward within the federal government for the
13	normal plans, moving forward on the next fiscal year
14	budget. Any questions?
15	CHAIRMAN GREY: Questions? Comments? FY '17.
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREY: Thank you.
18	MS. BERGMAN: Sure.
19	CHAIRMAN GREY: Mr. Treasurer, resolution?
20	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, sir. On page to the
21	correct page here 66, there is a resolution for a
22	temporary operating budget with special circumstance

1 because of the CR that we're operating under.

When we do get an appropriation, we need to be able to respond appropriately so that we can increase or decrease the grants, as may be necessary, to the field. We do that after there is a consultation with the chairman of the Finance Committee and the chairman of the Board.

Page 159 just lays out our plan. It talks 8 about we're operating under a CR that's \$375 million, 9 10 which is the funding that we got last year. We've got 11 a projection of carryover, which is \$12,500,000. And 12 then we've got our U.S. Court of Veterans' Appeals 13 funds, \$2.5 million, which then gives us the total of 14 the budget that we have before you today in the resolution, which is \$390,013,070. 15

16 CHAIRMAN GREY: Questions? Father Pius? 17 FATHER PIUS: I'm just trying to get the 18 numbers straight in my head, so I'm sorry if this is 19 obvious. But looking at your -- oh, I've to go to the 20 right page.

21 So you've got on page 1 of the memo a 22 management and grants oversight number of \$18,500,000.

But then when you go to page -- what page is it -- page 165 of the Board book, you've got a MGO number of \$20,980,000. Why are those two numbers -- I'm just not sure where the extra \$2 million is coming from. MR. RICHARDSON: They're carryover. FATHER PIUS: That's all carryover?

7 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, sir.

8 FATHER PIUS: Okay. And the other thing I 9 just want to talk about, and maybe this is more of a 10 question for Jim because this does show some change in 11 budget priorities, which should probably be perhaps a 12 bit more explicit.

Because essentially, the number for the end of 13 14 2014 is really the same, more or less. There's about a \$500,000 difference. But the budget priority I'd 15 16 actually quite different. And I don't think that it's 17 bad, but it's just something I think should maybe be made more explicit because we're changing around the 18 budget allocation numbers within the category 19 20 significantly.

21 The most significant, of course, is the data 22 analysis, which in comparison to the 2014 numbers, has

1 the biggest increase of about 25 percent, of about 2 \$168,000.

Then the second biggest increase is in Legal Affairs, and there's some question as to why that one would be getting such a big increase -- I don't think it's a bad idea, but why that reallocation within the Legal Affairs budget is so large.

8 Then the Board of Directors budget is increasing significantly. I assume that's probably 9 10 because of the strategic planning and for hiring the 11 consultant for the strategic planning. But some 12 discussion, and if you're not prepared for it now, 13 maybe in the future, especially when we're doing 14 year-to-year budget priority changes, about why those 15 numbers are changing the way they are.

MR. RICHARDSON: Okay. I can explain some of this. For instance, in the Board's budget, it does budget \$50,000 for a consultant to help with the strategic directions planning there. Last year we had ten Board members; we have budgeted for eleven. And then we have increased, I think, just

22 slightly the amount of guests that we're inviting to

our Board meetings because they seem to be rising. So
 we've made that allocation within the Board of
 Directors budget.

4 I think the next question that you've got is 5 in regards to the Data Governance and Analysis. Last б year there were four individuals budgeted in the Office 7 of Information Management. We are moving one -- at least it's planned in the reorganization document to 8 9 move one staff member from OPP into the Office of Data 10 Governance and then hire the four new employees there. 11 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: And not to replace that 12 person in the Office of Program Performance.

MR. LEVI: And the third would be the legal office because that one does -- by my reading of the numbers, it's an increase of about \$225,000 over the previous year. And why such an increase for Legal Affairs?

18 MR. RICHARDSON: There's two reasons for that. 19 There's also an additional person that was in the 20 Office of Information Management that is being 21 reassigned to the Office of Legal Affairs. And we've 22 also seen an increase in our outside counsel costs.

1 FATHER PIUS: Yes.

2	MR. RICHARDSON: And there's some new tools
3	that are going to be included in the Office of Legal
4	Affairs budget also. So that's the main items that we
5	are talking about for the increase there.
6	FATHER PIUS: No. That's very helpful. I
7	just want to make sure that the Board is at least
8	aware, when we're making these kind of shifts in budget
9	items, especially with MGO, of helping us to understand
10	why they're being done and the justifications for them.
11	So that's very helpful. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN GREY: Gloria?
12 13	CHAIRMAN GREY: Gloria? PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've
13	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've
13 14	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the
13 14 15	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the restructuring, basically, that we've done of the
13 14 15 16	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the restructuring, basically, that we've done of the corporate operations, many from the Pro Bono Task Force
13 14 15 16 17	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the restructuring, basically, that we've done of the corporate operations, many from the Pro Bono Task Force recommendations, that we've essentially not only moved
13 14 15 16 17 18	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the restructuring, basically, that we've done of the corporate operations, many from the Pro Bono Task Force recommendations, that we've essentially not only moved people but created new positions, new authorities,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. David, I've been under the impression that because of the restructuring, basically, that we've done of the corporate operations, many from the Pro Bono Task Force recommendations, that we've essentially not only moved people but created new positions, new authorities, particularly in information technology and then in data

22 MR. RICHARDSON: That is correct. In the

past, the Office of Information Management has been,
 for instance, producing the Fact Book or the By the
 Numbers, as it is now called. What this new unit is
 going to do is work within that realm plus analyze the
 data.

6 There will also be an additional emphasis put 7 on gathering data and analyzing it for use of the 8 Corporation and being able to put forth our best foot 9 when it comes to appropriation requests and filling the 10 information to help us move forward with our funding. 11 CHAIRMAN GREY: Harry?

MR. KORRELL: Thank you. David, could you tell me what the consulting line is, just in general? What does consulting cover? I know you mentioned a facilitator for the Board. And I just looked at a couple of areas.

Under the Board of Directors, we have
\$124,000, and I'll come back to that. Legal Affairs, I
assume that's outside counsel costs. Is that correct?
MR. RICHARDSON: That is correct.
MR. KORRELL: And then there's some pretty big
numbers -- Information Technology, almost \$500,000;

1 management and grants oversight, over a million;

2 Inspector General, 430. Can you just give me, in broad3 brush strokes, what that covers?

4 MR. RICHARDSON: Okay. Your fees, as far as 5 the Board of Directors, are charged as consultants; so 6 the fees for the meetings, the fees for the corrupting, 7 and of course the \$50,000 that we've included for the 8 Strategic Directions facilitator.

9 Outside counsel, you mentioned, is increasing. 10 The 497 that is in information technology, this is the 11 outside consulting costs for the grants management 12 system. We have made a decision as to the new grants 13 management system to replace Easygrants, and there will 14 be a significant amount of consultant needed to conform 15 the grants management system to meet the corporate 16 needs.

Outside of that, you may want to talk with the IG about his 430. But a good bit of that is quality reviews, the QCRs that they do, and additional network consulting that they have.

21 MR. KORRELL: And lastly, management and 22 grants oversight was a million. Just in general, what 1 does that cover?

2 MR. RICHARDSON: That's just the total. Ιf you look at that grants, that's just a total of the 3 Legal Affairs, the Board, information -- that's just a 4 5 total line for us. б MR. KORRELL: The consulting line for 7 management and grants oversight of a million? 8 MR. RICHARDSON: That's the total, yes. 9 MR. KORRELL: All right. Thank you. Well, 10 are these in line with past years? Nothing about this 11 strikes you as unusual? 12 MR. RICHARDSON: No, sir. It's up a little 13 bit because of the new grants management system. 14 MR. KORRELL: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GREY: Julie? 16 MS. REISKIN: We've added a lot. Is there 17 anything that we've stopped doing? Have we eliminated 18 any positions or stopped doing anything as we're 19 adding? Or is it just growth? 20 MR. RICHARDSON: With the reorganization, 21 there was three staff members whose positions were 22 eliminated. In addition to that, we just have the

Director of Office of Information Management is now
 going to be the Director of Data Governance and
 Oversight (sic).

4 CHAIRMAN GREY: I think, Mr. President, you've 5 done a really good job of advising us as the 6 organization has evolved about why it's evolving that 7 way, and putting the organization on a course to be a 8 lot more accountable and responsive for the 9 responsibility that it's afforded.

10 It may be also helpful, because you have to do 11 that on an "as it happens" basis, that from time to 12 time a reminder of the big picture and how the pieces 13 fit together is not a bad idea. And it just will 14 remind us and make us more knowledgeable and 15 conversant.

PRESIDENT SANDMAN: I'd be happy to do that at the next Board meeting. I'd be happy to do it in connection with my annual evaluation. And what I could do would be a comparison of where we were and where we are.

21 CHAIRMAN GREY: I think that would be very 22 helpful, I really do, because the questions that we're

1 having really, I think, would be in many ways resolved by just being given an opportunity to see that from 2 your point of view. 3 4 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Be happy to do that. 5 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any other questions? б (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN GREY: Is there a motion? 8 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 9 DEAN MINOW: So moved. FATHER PIUS: This is the resolution? 10 11 DEAN MINOW: Approve the resolution. 12 CHAIRMAN GREY: Appreciate all the help. 13 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN GREY: The motion is to approve the 14 15 resolution titled --16 MR. LEVI: Temporary operating resolution. 17 CHAIRMAN GREY: -- titled "Temporary Operating Budget and Special Circumstance Operating Authority for 18 Fiscal Year 2016." 19 20 DEAN MINOW: Page 166, yes. I so --21 FATHER PIUS: Seconded. 22 MR. MADDOX: Robert?

1 CHAIRMAN GREY: So that there's no confusion. MR. MADDOX: Actually, the Committee's just 2 going to recommend it to the Board. Right? 3 4 DEAN MINOW: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN GREY: No, but yes. As our recommended --6 7 MR. MADDOX: As an adopted resolution. 8 DEAN MINOW: So the Committee has now moved 9 and seconded, and now you want a vote on the 10 Committee's --11 CHAIRMAN GREY: I think we've got the language 12 right now. All in favor say aye. 13 (A chorus of ayes.) 14 CHAIRMAN GREY: All opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN GREY: Any comments from the public? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN GREY: Is there any other business? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN GREY: Is there a motion to adjourn? 21 11 22 11

ΜΟΤΙΟΝ DEAN MINOW: So moved. FATHER PIUS: Second. CHAIRMAN GREY: All in favor say aye. (A chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN GREY: The motion's approved. б (Whereupon, at 4:31 p.m., the Committee was adjourned.) * * * * *