

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MEETING OF THE  
INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT COMMITTEE  
OPEN SESSION

Saturday, January 21, 2012

9:03 a.m.

Westgate Hotel  
Regency Room  
1055 Second Avenue  
San Diego, California 92101

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

John G. Levi, Chairperson  
Martha Minow  
Robert J. Grey Jr.  
Charles N.W. Keckler  
Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P.  
Herbert S. Garten (Non-Director Member)(by telephone)  
Thomas F. Smegal (Non-Director Member)(by telephone)  
Frank B. Strickland (Non-Director Member)(by telephone)

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sharon L. Browne  
Victor B. Maddox  
Laurie Mikva  
Julie A. Reiskin  
Gloria Valencia-Weber

## STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT:

James J. Sandman, President  
Richard L. Sloane, Special Assistant to the President  
Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs,  
General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary  
Mattie Cohan, Senior Assistant General Counsel,  
Office of Legal Affairs  
Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant, Office of Legal  
Affairs  
David L. Richardson, Comptroller and Treasurer, Office  
of Financial and Administrative Services  
Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General  
Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and  
Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General  
Joel Gallay, Special Counsel to the Inspector General,  
Office of the Inspector General  
Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant Inspector General  
for Audit, Office of the Inspector General  
Thomas Coogan, Assistant Inspector General for  
Investigations, Office of the Inspector General  
David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for  
Management and Evaluation, Office of the  
Inspector General  
Stephen Barr, Communications Director, Office of  
Government Relations and Public Affairs

Don Saunders, National Legal Aid and Defenders  
Association (NLADA)  
Chuck Greenfield, National Legal Aid and Defender  
Association (NLADA)  
Justice Earl Johnson, Jr., American Bar Association  
(ABA) Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent  
Defendants (SCLAID)

## C O N T E N T S

OPEN SESSION	PAGE
1. Approval of Agenda	4
2. Approval of minutes of the Committee's open session meeting of July 20, 2011	4
3. Approval of minutes of the Committee's closed session meeting of October 17, 2011	4
4. Report on Public Welfare Foundation grant(s) Jim Sandman, President	5
5. Discussion of Committee members' self-evaluations for 2011 and the Committee's goals for 2012Deferred	
6. Public comment	7
7. Consider and act on other business	7
8. Consider and act on motion to adjourn meeting	8

Motions: 4, 8

## 1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (9:03 a.m.)

3 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Can I have a motion to approve  
4 the agenda?

5 M O T I O N

6 DEAN MINOW: I so move.

7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Second?

8 FATHER PIUS: Second.

9 CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?

10 (A chorus of ayes.)

11 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Can we have a motion to  
12 approve the minutes from the July 20 meeting?

13 M O T I O N

14 FATHER PIUS: So moved.

15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Second?

16 DEAN MINOW: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?

18 (A chorus of ayes.)

19 CHAIRMAN LEVI: And now Jim is going to give a  
20 brief report on the Public Welfare Foundation grant.

21 //

22 //

1           PRESIDENT SANDMAN: As you know from the  
2 meeting that the Board had in December, we did receive  
3 approval from the Public Welfare Foundation for a  
4 planning grant related to data reporting and outcomes  
5 measurement for grantees.

6           The grant was in the amount of \$17,000, and  
7 was intended to allow us to convene a small advisory  
8 group to help us plan a proposal for a larger grant to  
9 address these issues.

10           We did convene the group of eight people, and  
11 included two IOLTA funders, five executive directors of  
12 LSC-funded programs who are currently doing outcomes  
13 measurements in their programs, and Esther Lardent, the  
14 president of the Pro Bono Institute.

15           We got a lot of good ideas from them. I think  
16 there was a consensus that it would be useful to try to  
17 develop a better data reporting system from our  
18 grantees and to explore the subject of outcome  
19 measures.

20           There is some concern out there, including  
21 among other funders, about LSC's doing anything that  
22 might look like promulgating what they describe as

1 uniform national outcome measurement standards.

2           We're very sensitive to that. We're sensitive  
3 to the need for coordinating and collaborating with  
4 other funders, building on what they're doing, not  
5 doing anything that might be contradictory to what  
6 they're doing. And we're also very aware of the  
7 variety of circumstances that our grantees find  
8 themselves in.

9           Our grantee community is hardly monolithic.  
10 It includes some programs that are heavily dependent on  
11 us for funding, others that get a small minority of  
12 their funding from us. Some are very limited-service  
13 programs. Some are only telephone hotlines, for  
14 example. The programs that we fund in Vermont and  
15 Connecticut are only telephone hotlines.

16           And to try to have uniform outcome measures  
17 across all of the different service delivery models  
18 that our programs offer, or to try to do something  
19 uniform despite the variety of funding resources that  
20 different programs have, would not be prudent. So  
21 we're very sensitive to that.

22           The next step is to prepare our proposal for a

1 larger grant, which could be on the order of 2- to  
2 \$300,000 to explore these issues in more detail.

3 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any questions for Jim?

4 (No response.)

5 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I think it's terrific that  
6 we've gotten this grant, and congratulations. And  
7 we'll look forward to -- well, we're getting our feet  
8 in the water, as they say.

9 We're going to, as other committees did, defer  
10 item No. 4 on the agenda to our next telephonic  
11 meeting, which is the self-evaluation and committee  
12 goals.

13 And before we just ask for public comment, let  
14 me say that we received a report today from -- in a  
15 closed briefing, we got a briefing from Robert Osborne,  
16 who has been helping to educate the committee as to  
17 possible ways to organize fundraising for the  
18 Corporation in the future. More to come on that.

19 Any public comment?

20 (No response.)

21 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other business?

22 (No response.)

1           CHAIRMAN LEVI: Can we have a motion to  
2 adjourn?

3                           M O T I O N

4           DEAN MINOW: So moved.

5           FATHER PIUS: Second.

6           CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?

7           DEAN MINOW: Second?

8           CHAIRMAN LEVI: Somebody seconded. Father  
9 Pius did.

10           All in favor?

11           (A chorus of ayes.)

12           CHAIRMAN LEVI: Thank you very much.

13           (Whereupon, at 9:08 a.m., the committee was  
14 adjourned.)

15                           \* \* \* \* \*

16

17

18

19

20

21

22