LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

TELEPHONIC MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

OPEN SESSION

Monday, May 21, 2012

1:38 p.m.

Legal Services Corporation 3333 K Street, N.W. F. McCalpin Conference Center, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20007

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

John G. Levi, Chairman Martha Minow, Vice Chair Robert J. Grey Jr. Charles N.W. Keckler Harry J.F. Korrell, III Victor B. Maddox Laurie Mikva Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P. Gloria Valencia-Weber James J. Sandman, ex officio

Richard L. Sloane, Special Assistant to the President Rebecca Fertig, Special Assistant to the President Kathleen McNamara, Executive Assistant to the President Victor M. Fortuno, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary Mark Freedman, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant, Office of Legal Affairs Carol A. Bergman, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Treefa Aziz, Government Affairs Representative, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General Laurie Tarantowicz, Assistant Inspector General and Legal Counsel, Office of the Inspector General Joel Gallay, Special Counsel to the Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General Ronald "Dutch" Merryman, Assistant Inspector General for Audit, Office of the Inspector General David Maddox, Assistant Inspector General for Management and Evaluation, Office of the Inspector General Chuck Greenfield, National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA)

CONTENTS

OPEN	SESSION	PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	4
2.	Approval of minutes of the Board's meeting of April 15-16, 2012	4
	Consider and act on the Board of Directors' transmittal to accompany the Inspector General's Semiannual Report to Congress for the period of November 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012	23
4.	Consider and act on a draft Strategic Plan for the Corporation	7
5.	Public comment	30
б.	Consider and act on other business	32
	Consider and act on motion to adjourn the meeting	33

Motions: 4, 20, 29, 33

1	4 PROCEEDINGS
2	(1:38 p.m.)
3	DEAN MINOW: So as Vice Chair, I'd like to
4	call this meeting to order. I'd like to actually
5	ask our President what exactly we can do while we're
6	waiting for John.
7	PRESIDENT SANDMAN: I think you could ask
8	for approval of the agenda and approval of the
9	minutes of the Board's meeting of April 15th and
10	16th.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVI: I so do, in an omnibus
12	request.
13	MOTION
14	FATHER PIUS: I move that we approve the
15	agenda and approve the minutes from the April
16	minute. This is Father Pius.
17	MS. MIKVA: I second.
18	MR. MADDOX: Victor Maddox. I was listed
19	as being present in the draft at one of the
20	meetings, the Sunday session last meeting, and I
21	wasn't. I don't know if Rebecca has fixed that yet
22	or not; otherwise

1 MS. FERTIG: It is fixed, yes. 2 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: We have fixed --3 DEAN MINOW: With that correction, all in 4 favor. 5 (A chorus of ayes.) 6 DEAN MINOW: Okay. Well, I'd like to thank 7 everybody for participation in our last meeting, 8 both discussions and the event at the White House. 9 I think it all went very, very well. I think we've 10 made real progress on the Pro Bono Task Force and have received terrific responses. 11 12 I don't know if anyone has anything to 13 share about our recent events? MR. MADDOX: Well, Martha, Vic Maddox. 14 15 DEAN MINOW: Yes? 16 MR. MADDOX: I just want to reiterate a 17 comment I made to you and John, which is that I 18 thought that the pro bono presentations were really 19 helpful and really informative. I thought it was one of the best learning sessions for me personally 20 21 since I've been on the Board. So I really 22 appreciated getting together on Sunday.

1 White House panels were equally as 2 informative. I've been to some of these things that 3 tend to get caught up in all sorts of different 4 agendas. But I thought it was very helpful, so I 5 appreciate it very much. DEAN MINOW: Well, that's wonderful. 6 7 Thanks so much. I happened to hear from Judge 8 Tatel, who was there from the D.C. Circuit, as 9 someone who's participated in pro bono task forces 10 through the years, that he thought it was the single best set of reports he'd ever heard on the subject. 11 12 So that was very gratifying. 13 Some other people have come on the line. 14 Who's here? 15 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. This is Gloria Valencia-Weber. 16 17 DEAN MINOW: Great. Hi, Gloria. 18 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Hi, everybody. 19 MS. MIKVA: I have been on, but I think I 20 was muted. 21 DEAN MINOW: Oh, okay. Good. All right. 22 Who all is on? I think we have Father Pius. We

2 there anyone else? 3 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: We have Laurie. 4 DEAN MINOW: Yes. Laurie and Gloria just 5 came on. 6 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Harry. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Harry. Great. 8 MR. KORRELL: Yes, I'm here. 9 FATHER PIUS: Sharon won't be on. 10 DEAN MINOW: So Jim, again, I look to you. What can we do? Has anyone found John? 11 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: We're calling him right 12 13 now. 14 MR. GREY: We could do strategic planning 15 since we've got good focus related to that. 16 DEAN MINOW: That's a good idea. Father 17 Pius, thank you so much for your revisions and 18 integration of everyone's comments. I think we're 19 just about there. Right? 20 FATHER PIUS: I think so. I sent out a 21 second revised copy to everyone, and I think that's what we're working on, which includes some comments 22

have Victor. We have Robert. We have Charles.

1

7

Is

1 from Sharon. But if anybody has anything else?
2 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Is it time to
3 make comments?

4 DEAN MINOW: Sure. 5 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Again, 6 appreciation of Father Pius and everybody else who 7 kept contributing. I did have a chance to read the 8 revised draft, the one you sent on the redline, and 9 it looks very good. I have basically just a couple 10 of -- I don't know whether they're questions. 11 One is, perhaps, on page 5 and 6 of the 12 revised draft where we're dealing with maximizing 13 the availability, quality, and effectiveness of

legal services, and possibly, under Initiative 1,Best Practices.

16 My comment relates to Initiative 2, which 17 is there as just a metric. I wholly support and 18 know that we should be moving to as much 19 quantification and metric measurements of all the 20 different elements, both what will help us achieve 21 the most with our money, but also hold us most 22 accountable.

1 But I do want to state a concern I have 2 about the things that are substantive, but not so measurable in metrics, that we need to somehow 3 4 acknowledge and put in there, perhaps in developing 5 the best practices area. What I am specifically 6 talking about are important factors involved in 7 delivering services effectively to people that do 8 not so easily lend themselves to be measured. 9 Some of that involves a good matter of our 10 geographically isolated, rural, North American, and very likely some of our migrant clients. 11 And to some extent, we can do better in a quasi-metric way. 12 13 For instance, if we collect data on the 14 distance in miles between our clients and the LSC or 15 attorney help, that might give a fuller picture; and then secondly, clearly not measurable metrically but 16 17 what I consider cultural or substantively present 18 elements. That includes different languages. That may include regional practices. 19 20 I recall our rural panel, our rural task

21 force panel talking about how rurally isolated
22 people might not be as eager to be represented by a

city attorney from Louisville because of what goes
 on in that region.

3 DEAN MINOW: Gloria? Can I ask if you have 4 some specific language to suggest to the proposal? 5 I think it's easier to respond that way. 6 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Okay. I'm 7 presently in a van going from the airport, so why 8 don't I send to the Board, when I get to a computer, 9 some suggested language. That's where I think it 10 belongs, in that section. 11 And then the second area, I didn't know if 12 others felt pleased enough about -- again, we talk 13 about increasing our training across the board --14 whether or not we need to make a specific 15 acknowledgment of board training for constituent or 16 client reps, non-attorneys. Okay? 17 DEAN MINOW: That's great. Thank you. And 18 we're so glad to hear you had good news about your 19 sister's surgery. 20 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Oh, it went 21 well. It was a two-stage medical process over a 22 period of time, but it all -- when completed, it

1 looks very good.

2 DEAN MINOW: Great. Other comments on the 3 strategic plan? CHAIRMAN LEVI: I'm on, Martha. 4 5 DEAN MINOW: Oh, there's John. Great, 6 great. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Now, where did we --8 DEAN MINOW: We skipped over -- we were 9 just in the middle of talking about the strategic 10 plan. We skipped over the question of acting on the transmittal to accompany the Inspector General's 11 12 semiannual report to Congress because I didn't know 13 if you had anything you wanted to say about that. CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, but this is a vote. 14 15 Have we approved the agenda? DEAN MINOW: Yes. We did that, and we 16 17 approved the minutes. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. And did we 19 acknowledge or say anything about Tom (inaudible, 20 poor telephone connection)? FATHER PIUS: Not yet. 21

22 DEAN MINOW: No, not yet.

1 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. Well, I don't want 2 to stop the discussion of the strategic plan. Let's conclude that, then. I have to say Father Pius did 3 4 a fantastic -- I apologize for the mixup on the time 5 here. Somehow I thought it was at 1:30 Chicago 6 time, and I'm not in the office because of the NATO 7 nonsense. 8 So in any event --9 DEAN MINOW: Well, Gloria had some good 10 comments. I wonder if anyone else does. 11 FATHER PIUS: Martha, this Father Pius. 12 DEAN MINOW: Yes. 13 FATHER PIUS: I think everybody got the 14 email that Julie sent out. I emailed to her just on 15 my own -- I always forget to hit "Reply to All" --16 but I didn't include her comments. The primary 17 reason is because a strategic plan to me seems to be 18 over things that we have control over. 19 Her point was about a commitment to being 20 fully funded by the federal government, which is a nice point; it's just that it's not something that 21 22 seems to be very achievable in a strategic plan. So

1 that was my suggestion to her, that perhaps we include a line that we fully expect that a majority 2 3 of our funding would come from the federal 4 government. Having that as a strategic goal seems to be outside of our control. 5 6 DEAN MINOW: That's a good point. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. In your revisions, 8 you acknowledged since there's enough in here to 9 attest that we are dependent on the federal 10 government for funding. 11 FATHER PIUS: I thought so. 12 MR. GREY: Father Pius, this is Robert 13 I've got two comments I don't think I need to Grey. 14 bother you with for the committee, for the group, 15 but right now. One is on page 3, and the other has to do with the incentives. That's set out on page 16 17 7. But I'll send those to you. I don't see them as 18 terribly difficult, and so I think it's better to 19 just deal with them offline. 20 FATHER PIUS: Sure. 21 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: This is Jim. I have

22 one comment that I can get you specific language on,

Father Pius. But it's on page 6 under "Quantitative
 Metrics," the third sub-bullet, about needs
 assessments.

4 I think we need to acknowledge that 5 programs are required to do local needs assessments. 6 This might be read as suggesting that we're talking 7 about national needs assessments that might not be 8 consistent with the local needs. I think we just 9 need to conform this to the requirements that we 10 already have for grantees to do their own local 11 needs assessments.

12 FATHER PIUS: Right. And I added that13 simply because it was from the GAO report.

14 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Right.

15 FATHER PIUS: But yes, we can do that for16 local. That's right.

17 Anything else?

18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other significant

19 matter?

20 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: This is Gloria. 21 And maybe this is more about when our plan is put 22 out for comment and when we finally adopt. But this

is a year-to-year annual strategic plan, unlike our 1 2 consultants and other organizations put out a five-3 year plan or a very tight, line-focused plan. And 4 so we will need to draft a statement about why we 5 are not engaged in that kind of plan. CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, that's not true, 6 7 Gloria. This is a five-year plan. 8 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: I understand 9 that from our discussion. But is that going to be 10 put out as the public terminology? 11 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I'm not sure I understand 12 you because this is not an implementation plan. 13 This is a strategic plan. 14 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Right. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: And it's an overview for 16 the next few years. 17 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Right. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: It's what occurs up to 19 2016. It's the kind of things we're trying to 20 accomplish within the next five years. Are you 21 asking for an implementation plan? 22 No. PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: No, I'm

16 not. I'm just saying, then, I guess because I 1 didn't see it here, a clearcut labeling or 2 description term saying it's a five-year plan. 3 4 That's all I'm raising. Okay? CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. Well, I guess we 5 6 could substitute on the cover page and on page 1. 7 That may be in the body of the document; it needs to 8 be said a couple places. 9 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Okay. CHAIRMAN LEVI: Father Pius, can you find a 10 11 couple of places where we can maybe make a little 12 comment to that point? 13 FATHER PIUS: Sure. I think so. 14 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. I would think so. 15 DEAN MINOW: I think we should try to wrap this up. This is something that could go on for a 16 17 long time. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: My (inaudible) here, 19 Martha, I'm sorry, is to have all of these comments given to Father Pius, and then we should circulate a 20 21 draft quickly if anybody has any more nits or nats. But I'm ready to get this out for public comments so 22

1 we can begin the comment period (inaudible). That's
2 my objective. I don't know if --

3 DEAN MINOW: I think that's very helpful. 4 I hope we don't have to then have a board meeting 5 before that time to approve it. So I'm wondering if 6 we could imagine a conditional approval so that we 7 can then put it out for comment, conditional on 8 these final small changes. 9 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Do you want a 10 motion, Martha? 11 DEAN MINOW: Yes, if John does. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. I would like one. 13 But let's talk, though, first about a time frame. 14 Father Pius, if you're the scribe here, what would 15 be realistic for you to turn this one more time? 16 FATHER PIUS: Sorry. I had it turned off 17 I can turn around fairly quickly. I have to here. 18 show Sharon around Rome on Wednesday, but other than 19 that my schedule is pretty light. 20 (Laughter.)

21 CHAIRMAN LEVI: If we suggested that you
 22 might, by the Tuesday after Memorial Day, have

1 another draft to us, is that possible, or the 2 Wednesday after? 3 FATHER PIUS: Oh, John, I'm not in America. When is Memorial Day? 4 5 (Laughter.) 6 CHAIRMAN LEVI: It's May Day. It's May 7 Day. It's Monday. 8 FATHER PIUS: Oh, yes. That's easy, John. 9 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. So if we could have 10 that, then I want to ask Jim Sandman what internally, in terms of your own -- you and the 11 12 readiness of your crew to give us any comments or 13 feedback if any of the changes are of concern, can 14 that happen by the end of the week? 15 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Yes. You're talking 16 about the end of next week? 17 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Or June 1st? 18 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Yes. So then we would 19 be in position to put it out for public comment by -- how long does it take to publish it in the 20 21 Register? 22 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: About three days.

1 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Two days? 2 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: Three days. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Why don't we say that we 4 want the comments, and then what I would do is say 5 that on June 4th, everybody will have a final draft. 6 And if they wish to, they can send in any final 7 email suggestions. 8 DEAN MINOW: No. I think that, no, this is 9 the end. There has to be an end at that point. 10 Father Pius has to close down the document at that 11 point, I think. Right? Otherwise there's an 12 instant regress. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, the problem here is, 14 Martha, we have two board members that are not on this call, and I hate to --15 16 DEAN MINOW: Well, can we email them and 17 tell them at this point that that's the time frame, 18 and by then everything has to be done? Because it 19 will go on and on and on. If you want to have it 20 noticed for comment by mid-June, I think that we 21 want to lock it down. 22 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I was getting to the same

1 place you are. I was just --

2 DEAN MINOW: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I just was going to give 4 folks, following that, if he hadn't heard from them 5 by the 8th of June, it was going to be published. 6 DEAN MINOW: Fine. Thank you. Sorry. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I think that's -- so with 8 that -- Gloria, can you make that motion? 9 ΜΟΤΙΟΝ 10 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Yes. I move we 11 -- what's the proper term -- give preliminary 12 approval to the strategic plan as drafted, with 13 subsequent editing, minor editing. 14 DEAN MINOW: Right. 15 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: And with it to be completed, comments for editing to be sent to 16 17 Father Pius, and a final version by June 4th for all 18 edits. 19 FATHER PIUS: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Second? 21 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Sorry it wasn't 22 very sleek, but basically that we give preliminary

1 approval with subsequent minor editing, to be 2 completed by June 4th. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. And Father Pius, you 4 seconded? 5 FATHER PIUS: I did indeed. 6 CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor? 7 (A chorus of ayes.) 8 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN LEVI: The motion carries. And 11 thank you, Father Pius, in advance. Really, you've done a terrific job here. 12 13 DEAN MINOW: Thank you so much. 14 FATHER PIUS: Happy to help. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: We're very grateful. 16 I want to just say that when a number of us 17 joined the Board or we were in our orientation, Tom 18 Fuentes was a board member. He'd been a board 19 member for seven years before we actually came on 20 the scene. He couldn't have been more gracious to 21 us as we began to learn about LSC.

22 He was a practiced board member. He tried

1 hard. By listening to him in board meetings, he was 2 insistent on proper board process. He was a 3 stickler for that. He was very aware, though I 4 don't believe he was a lawyer, that the pro bono 5 community somehow -- when pro bono and the needs of 6 LSC were not in synch, and he was, I would say, most educated about that. I think it's something our 7 8 Board has taken a very serious look at. And he was 9 very, very, dedicated. I think that Frank told me 10 he rarely missed a meeting, and he was a very, very dedicated member of the Board. 11 12 So I just want to recognize the fact that,

after a long battle with cancer, that he passed away on Friday. And we can send our condolences to his family. I spoke with Frank Strickland, who was going to let his board know. And he tells me he will prepare a statement that we'll issue later today.

So I don't know if anybody else wants to say anything, but I thought we should recognize his service at this time.

22 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: Thank you. This

1 is Gloria. I remember that in that transition 2 period when we had unconfirmed but nominated board 3 members, Tom was gracious about extending a welcome 4 to those of us that we in that position to help us 5 join the Board.

6 DEAN MINOW: It's Martha, and I'd say the 7 same thing. And he also conveyed his strong 8 commitment to the connection between the mission of 9 our organization and the principles of the United 10 States. And I do believe that we adopted his 11 proposal to have the Pledge of Allegiance at the way 12 that we proceed with our meetings. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other comments? 14 (No response.) CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, I think that it's 15 16 been the Board's practice -- we can make sure -- but 17 I think at the next board meeting, we will adopt 18 some kind of resolution and send it to his family.

19 Okay. So now we need to consider and act 20 on the transmittal to accompany the IG's Semiannual 21 Report. Is the IG there?

22 MR. SCHANZ: Yes, sir. This is Jeff

1 Schanz.

2 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Do you want to say anything 3 about the report?

4 MR. SCHANZ: We did have two comments from 5 board members that I handled separately, one from 6 Victor Maddox and one from Julie Reiskin. And I 7 provided some additional background information to 8 Victor. And for Julie, who had some comments on the 9 body of the report, I advised her that it's the IG's 10 report, and the Board transmits the report but the report itself is not subject to revision unless 11 12 there's a factual error or something that I missed. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any comments or questions 14 from board members? 15 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: No questions.

16 This is Gloria. I understand the IG's position, and 17 accept that that's his report. I also appreciated, 18 though, the text of the accompanying transmittal 19 letter by John, and I think both documents together 20 make a good explanation of what has occurred through 21 our OIG operation.

22 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Do we have any other

1 comments? Questions?

2 MS. MIKVA: John, this is Laurie. Are we 3 talking about the letter in response at this point, 4 or just the report itself? CHAIRMAN LEVI: Both, as far as I'm 5 6 concerned. 7 MS. MIKVA: Well, I had a couple comments 8 on the letter. 9 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Go right ahead. 10 MS. MIKVA: Report on the Selected Internal Controls, on page 2, I just thought it sounded a 11 12 little defensive, as just (inaudible). And I guess 13 I would just -- instead of saying that they were 14 "not formally referred until," I would just say 15 "they were referred on." 16 CHAIRMAN LEVI: This letter --17 MS. MIKVA: Huh? 18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: What line are you on? 19 MS. MIKVA: Say again, John? 20 CHAIRMAN LEVI: You're on page 3 of the 21 letter? 22 MS. MIKVA: Page 2. Page 2, under the

1 bullet, Report on Selected Internal Controls.

2 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, there's another --3 we're talking about Mississippi, is what you're 4 saying?

5 MS. MIKVA: Right. So I'd just say, "The 6 question costs were referred to LSC management on 7 April 11th, which was after the reporting period. 8 LSC will review." I just -- "not referred until" to 9 me sounds a little defensive.

10 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I agree with you.

11 Okay. Then the next thing for MS. MIKVA: 12 both, then, the Appalachian research and the next 13 It just wasn't clear to me -- I guess I would one. 14 like some little explanation of why our numbers are 15 different than the Attorney General's. In some places, it's offered, but in some places it's not, 16 17 by the Inspector General.

18 So like it says, "The OIG referred a total 19 of" blah blah. "LSC" -- "When LSC came in with a 20 lower number without an explanation as to why." 21 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, I don't think in this 22 letter we normally go into of that detail. That's

27 1 not been -- at least in prior letters, we certainly 2 haven't done that. I'm not sure that there is the 3 need for that. That's a question for Jim as far as 4 why (inaudible). 5 MS. MIKVA: Okay. I meant to say, I think 6 in some places there was a sentence explaining the 7 difference, but then sometimes there wasn't. 8 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well --9 MR. MERRYMAN: This is Dutch Merryman. Sometimes there's a difference because of timing 10 issues, where by the time that we find it and report 11 12 it in the questioned costs, the five-year period has 13 passed. So sometimes that will be eliminated from 14 the questioned costs to begin with. 15 And that may be one of the explanations, that it's due more to a timing issue than anything 16 17 else. And in the full explanation that OCE puts 18 out, the numbers can be reconciled. 19 MS. MIKVA: Oh, okay. 20 MR. MERRYMAN: And it has the full 21 explanation. This is a very detailed explanation 22 that OCE puts out in its explanation, and this is, I

1 guess, a summary of that. It doesn't explain all 2 the nuances. So maybe it is a little much for this 3 type of report.

4 MS. MIKVA: All right. 5 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: This is Jim. I'd 6 suggest one change in the bullet that Laurie was 7 referring to previously, the one about North 8 Mississippi. In the last sentence, instead of 9 saying, "LSC will review the report," I'd rather 10 say, "LSC is reviewing the report and will make a determination." We have that underway already. 11 12 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. And before the 13 bullet, I'd like to change in the bullet before 14 that I'm going to say, (inaudible) and take out the "not" and say, "LSC management are able." 15

16 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: This is Jim again. We 17 have one other change that we're making after 18 consulting with the Inspector General's office. In 19 the table that's attached at page 5, the first item under "Comments," we'd like to delete the "12 during 20 21 the reporting period" and just say, "To date, 26 22 recommendations have been closed and 10 remain

```
1
  open."
2
              CHAIRMAN LEVI: Twelve. And say, "26
     recommendations have been closed and 10 remain
3
4
     open"?
5
              PRESIDENT SANDMAN: "And 10 remain open,"
6
     yes.
7
              CHAIRMAN LEVI: Okay. Any other changes?
8
     Comments?
9
              (No response.)
10
              CHAIRMAN LEVI: Can we have a motion to
     approve the transmittal, as modified in this call
11
12
     (inaudible)?
13
                          MOTION
14
              FATHER PIUS: This is Father Pius. So
15
     move.
16
              DEAN MINOW: Second. It's Martha.
              CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any further questions?
17
18
     Comments?
19
              (No response.)
20
              CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?
21
              (A chorus of ayes.)
22
```

1 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other comments from the 2 public?

3 MR. GREENFIELD: Yes. John, this is Chuck 4 Greenfield from NLADA. The only comment I have is 5 that for the similar annual report in the way in 6 which the Board has now put online the information 7 to try to be transparent and open ahead of time, 8 before board meetings, which is extremely helpful, I 9 noticed that the Semiannual Report was not posted 10 online.

I I'm wondering whether the Inspector General would be willing to do that for transparency purposes so that we would be able to -- others would be able to see that when it comes up in front of the Board.

MR. SCHANZ: This is Jeff Schanz, the Inspector General. The Semiannual Report, once it's transmitted to Congress, is posted on our website for all to see. But it has not been transmitted to Congress yet, and in deference to the Hill, who provides all our funding, I don't feel comfortable putting out a draft draft until the final is

1 transmitted to the Congress by the Board, which will
2 occur as soon as we get this memo approved.

3 MR. GREENFIELD: Well, I appreciate that, 4 Jeff. This is Chuck Greenfield again. It's just 5 that it's being discussed in an open board meeting, 6 which presumably is recorded. And it's hard for the 7 public to know exactly what's being discussed 8 without having the information in front of us. 9 And so in your spirit of cooperation and communication, et cetera, and openness, which seem 10 11 to me to be as appropriate as the full Board has 12 done with their non-confidential board materials 13 that have been very helpful for the public to see. 14 MR. SCHANZ: Okay. I'll take that under 15 advisement, Chuck. But I've been advised by counsel 16 that our operating and authorizing statute says it's 17 not a public document until it's submitted to the 18 Hill. And we can check on that. 19 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Let me say, I appreciate 20 your comment, Chuck. I assume that even applies to the letter, which was a draft, which we, I don't 21

22 believe, had up on -- I hope we didn't.

1 But both of these documents will become 2 public documents, and certainly are available at 3 public comment time in any future meeting for any 4 member of the public to ask a question about or make an observation about. I don't know that that helps 5 6 you, since -- but it is not exactly released at the 7 same time frame, that is currently within a few 8 months. 9 MR. GREENFIELD: Yes. This is Chuck again. 10 Thanks, John. I appreciate that. I do see on the OIG website periodically where they -- or 11 12 consistently, I should say, where they post them. I 13 was just looking at obtaining the information a 14 little earlier while the Board discusses it. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. Any other public 16 comment? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other business today? 19 DEAN MINOW: Do you want approval of the transmittal letter or --20 21 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I think we did. 22 PROFESSOR VALENCIA-WEBER: I think we

1 approved.

2 DEAN MINOW: Oh, it's already approved? 3 Okay.

4 CHAIRMAN LEVI: We had an approval 5 consistent with the changes that were offered during 6 the call.

7 DEAN MINOW: Great.

8 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Wasn't that the body of our 9 motion?

10 DEAN MINOW: Sorry. Didn't get it.

11 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I believe so. Yes.

12 Any other comments? Questions? Issues?

13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRMAN LEVI: All right. Can we have a

15 motion to adjourn?

16 MOTION

17 DEAN MINOW: So moved.

18 FATHER PIUS: Second.

CHAIRMAN LEVI: Thanks, everybody. And I'm
 sorry about the time mixup on my part.

21 (Whereupon, at 2:14 p.m., the meeting was 22 adjourned.)