LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS

## TELEPHONIC MEETING OF THE INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT COMMITTEE

OPEN SESSION

Tuesday, October 1, 2013

4:04 p.m.

Legal Services Corporation John N. Erlenborn Conference Room 3333 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

John G. Levi, Chairperson Charles N.W. Keckler Father Pius Pietrzyk, O.P. Herbert S. Garten (Non-Director Member) Frank B. Strickland (Non-Director Member)

OTHER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Julie A. Reiskin Gloria Valencia-Weber STAFF AND PUBLIC PRESENT IN THE CORPORATION'S OFFICES:

James J. Sandman, President

Wendy Rhein, Chief Development Officer

Rebecca Fertig, Special Assistant to the President

- Ronald S. Flagg, Vice President for Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary
- Atitaya Rok, Staff Attorney, Office of Legal Affairs
- Carol A. Bergman, Director, Office of Government Relations and Public Affairs

Jeffrey E. Schanz, Inspector General

- Chuck Greenfield, National Legal Aid and Defender Association (NLADA)(by telephone)
- Terry Brooks, American Bar Association Standing Committee on Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants (SCLAID)(by telephone)

CONTENTS

OPEN	SESSION	PAGE
1.	Approval of agenda	4
2.	Approval of minutes of the Committee's open session meeting of July 21, 2013	4
3.	Approval of minutes of the Committee's open session meeting of August 6, 2013	4
4.	Approval of minutes of the Committee's open session meeting of September 3, 2013	4
5.	Discussion of case statement	5
6.	Public comment	14
7.	Consider and act on other business	14

Motions: Page 4

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(4:02 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN LEVI: If I could call the meeting to
4	order. First, could I have a motion to approve the
5	agenda?
6	MOTION
7	FATHER PIUS: So moved.
8	MR. STRICKLAND: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?
10	(A chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN LEVI: Now I need a motion to approve
12	the minutes. And unless there's changes to any of them
13	in specific, we could approve all three of them. Do
14	folks have any changes or questions about any of the
15	minutes?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN LEVI: Then could we have a motion to
18	approve all three?
19	MOTION
20	FATHER PIUS: So moved.
21	MR. STRICKLAND: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN LEVI: All in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

2	CHAIRMAN LEVI: Between the last couple of
3	calls, Jim, Wendy, and I have been working on a draft
4	of a summary kind of case statement for the areas we've
5	been talking about, and seeing if we can put some
6	numbers to them, and also to flesh out the ideas, but
7	do it in a way that and this is still a very
8	preliminary draft and we want to get your input.
9	I have already recognized that if you were
10	going to take this to somebody to show it to them as a
11	basis for raising money, you would want a bit of a
12	description of the history of some of these for
13	example, the Rural Legal Corps and what happened to it
14	and where the Senior Pro Bono Fellow emanates from.
15	But I thought maybe, Wendy, you want to
16	present it for a minute, and then we can have some
17	discussion.
18	MS. RHEIN: Sure.
19	MS. REISKIN: John, excuse me. This is Julie
20	Reiskin. We just approved three minutes, but there
21	were four dates. So I just want to make sure that
22	nothing is wrong, or maybe I'm

1 FATHER PIUS: One's a closed meeting.

CHAIRMAN LEVI: There are closed session 2 3 minutes that have to be approved in the closed session. 4 But the open minutes --5 MS. REISKIN: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. б CHAIRMAN LEVI: One of those was a closed 7 meeting. 8 MS. REISKIN: Right. Okay. I'm sorry. 9 CHAIRMAN LEVI: No problem. 10 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I'm glad you're keeping us on 11 our toes. Okay. Wendy. 12 MS. RHEIN: This is Wendy. Sure. Hello, 13 everyone. Originally this group had approved some 14 topic areas in which we were going to explore for funding, and Jim and John and I and others had been 15 16 working on putting a little bit more information 17 together around those different projects. So we're looking at a fellowship program that 18 19 would have been something somewhat similar to having 20 the Reggie Fellows, but an updated fellowship program 21 that would be working with our grantees and beneficial 22

to our grantees; a summer Rural Legal Corps; a Senior

Pro Bono Fellows project. So those are what we're
looking at very specifically. And we've started
putting some dollar figures and some multi-year figures
to those particular projects.

5 Also, under Technology, there are a couple of б LSC-based projects that we're going to look at to raise 7 money -- an integrated service delivery system; and then also a fund that would take some of the 8 9 innovations but have come through the TIG grants, and 10 allow them to either go to scale or be implemented at a 11 local level, taking some of the burden of sustaining 12 those projects away from the grantees and providing an 13 additional opportunity for funding for that.

Within the Leadership category, there are some very specific leadership training opportunities for the leaders and the up-and-coming leaders of the grantee program.

Within Innovation and Research falls the Pro Bono Innovation Fund that we're seeking a match for the amount that is currently in the appropriation request, and then also looking at funding for events and other public awareness kinds of activities.

1 So this really is just a first step in being 2 able to provide some more detailed information on the projects that would be funded. As John said, before 3 4 anyone would go out and speak to a potential funder, you would have a lot more detailed information, 5 б basically a one-page description on each one of these 7 different kinds of projects that would offer more details, more background, time frames, how the money 8 9 would be spent, et cetera.

10 So at this point I think we were just looking 11 to see if anyone had any comments or additional 12 questions prior to -- just on these general areas or 13 general topics.

MR. GARTEN: Herb Garten here. I was very impressed with this document. I put it through an adding machine; I don't know if anybody's done that. And it's a very substantial figure if everything was promoted at the same time.

19 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Oh, yes, it is. One thing 20 that what I think may not be fully clear from it is 21 that we would give folks five years -- or firms, 22 businesses, what have you -- five years -- individuals

-- to pay pledges. And in some way, it seems like that
could be helpful in terms of reaping larger numbers.

3 But also, we don't really want -- I don't, at 4 least -- really want to start fellowships, a fellowship 5 program or internships, and then have to stop them. Ιt б would be in the hope that if we have funding over a 7 five-year period, that each year would help to fund those, and that during that time we could actually 8 9 continue to raise for future years or even endowments 10 for some of them.

I know the Rural Legal Corps once existed, and I also know that some fellowship program existed. It was different than this one. The Reggie program was a two-year program, and we're talking about a one-year fellowship.

I think this is a judgment call that people have to make. But my sense, from where I sit here at my law firm and see what the law students are currently thinking about, their loans and costs and whatever, I just felt like two years might not be as palatable. But also, given the needs of our programs prospectively and how we help them build a future, having these

fellowships available to more people over time would be
helpful.

What isn't said here also is that I did talk 3 4 with David Stern, and I don't want to get ahead of him, but I think Equal Justice Works does have the 5 6 infrastructure for vetting and choosing, and I'm not 7 sure we would necessarily need to replicate because I think he would be happy to administer this. But we 8 9 haven't worked out any such detail. I just put it to 10 him when he happened to stop by and make the mistake of 11 seeing me the other day.

But Jim, you may have talked to him aboutthat, too.

14 PRESIDENT SANDMAN: I have, but it's been a15 while.

16 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Yes. Well, it's good that we 17 reminded him, then.

MS. REISKIN: I have a big question and a small question. This is really exciting, really exciting. My small question is, there's a couple places where it says every state, and do we need to say every state and territory, or is that implied? Because

I know we're actually in territories, and hopefully will soon be in all. So that's just like a little question.

The big question is, do you guys have a set of priorities? Like if a donor says, "Well, I'll do one thing, but what do you guys need most?", have you guys talked about that yet? And have you thought about what if we got half of something? And I may be getting ahead of things. This is all really exciting.

10 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, I do think, if you want 11 to talk about a priority, is to make sure that our 40th 12 year events are funded. But there, as you see, I don't 13 want to say they're a "drop in the bucket." They're 14 not. But certainly we want to make sure that we get 15 that taken care of and then I think, personally, move 16 on to the fellowship world and --

MS. RHEIN: Julie, it's Wendy. We have not yet gone through a process of ranking and looking at it very specifically.

20 Your question, too, well, what if you get half 21 of it from here, half of it from there, I think that 22 depending on how that would work with the funder, how

that conversation would go, we would either ask that person to help us potentially find others that would be willing to contribute that may be right now out of our network so that we could reach the full amount. Or we would work internally and look at other funding pockets. What really is the priority?

7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Well, if anybody knows one 8 individual or whatever that wants to do all of it for 9 us, well, then, we won't have that problem. But I 10 don't think we've gotten to that point. We just really 11 got this done, and it's not done. It's a draft, and 12 you've noticed some typos and stuff.

13 Any other comments, questions, about it?14 (No response.)

15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any from the staff? Board 16 members?

17 FATHER PIUS: This is Father Pius. You're 18 right. I think given what we've all talked about over 19 the last year, especially in terms of a focus, I think 20 it tracks it very well. I think the narrative that you 21 give at the beginning is actually quite compelling and 22 leads you into it.

1 I agree with Julie or maybe with Herb. If you look at all the numbers, it's quite significant. So 2 what we're looking to do is rather bold. But I think 3 that, given the narrative, these things fit into it 4 5 rather well. It's still a great unknown, and we're not б going to know for sure until we actually do it. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I don't know that we would aggregate these things and split them out and say, 8 9 we've having a certain dollar amount campaign. 10 FATHER PIUS: I don't think that would be the 11 best idea. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I think we have to see how 13 that goes. And then if we get confidence that, hey, 14 people are actually giving at a certain level and 15 they're really coming in, firms are and businesses, 16 then we have a sense of confidence. 17 Since we're so new to this, I tend to agree with Father Pius on that. We'll take stock of it as we 18 go along, which I guess isn't fully traditional, but I 19 20 don't see any harm to it. 21 Wendy and I did talk with a campaign 22 consultant, and he actually suggested that a number of

1 campaigns are actually started that way these days as the campaigning world has evolved. So that gave me at 2 least the confidence to think we weren't crazy in 3 4 starting without a number, really. 5 Other comments or questions or suggestions? б (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Public comment? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Do we need an action on this? 10 It said action. 11 MS. ROK: No. No, there's no action. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I don't think that we're ready 13 to act. Okay. Any public comment? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Any other business? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRMAN LEVI: I quess then we need to move to a closed session, which does require that the 18 19 Committee members hang up and dial in again. Is that 20 right, Atitaya? 21 MS. ROK: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN LEVI: Can you direct everybody on

1 what to do?

MS. ROK: Sure. Everyone will hang up and the Committee members will dial back into the closed session number that I emailed to you. CHAIRMAN LEVI: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 4:19 p.m., the Committee was б adjourned to executive session.) \* \* \* \* \*